

SACRAMENTO COUNTY ASSESSMENT SAMPLING SURVEY

AUGUST 2022

CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

TED GAINES, SACRAMENTO

MALIA M. COHEN, CHAIR, SAN FRANCISCO

ANTONIO VAZQUEZ, SANTA MONICA

MIKE SCHAEFER, VICE CHAIR, SAN DIEGO

BETTY T. YEE

FIRST DISTRICT

SECOND DISTRICT

THIRD DISTRICT

FOURTH DISTRICT

STATE CONTROLLER

YVETTE M. STOWERS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR





STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
PROPERTY TAX DEPARTMENT
PO BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0064
1-916-274-3350 • FAX 1-916-285-0134
www.boe.ca.gov

TED GAINES
First District, Sacramento
MALIA M. COHEN, CHAIR
Second District, San Francisco
ANTONIO VAZQUEZ
Third District, Santa Monica
MIKE SCHAEFER, VICE CHAIR
Fourth District, San Diego
BETTY T. YEE
State Controller
YVETTE M. STOWERS
Executive Director

August 23, 2022

No. 2022/039

TO COUNTY ASSESSORS:

**SACRAMENTO COUNTY
ASSESSMENT SAMPLING SURVEY**

A copy of the Sacramento County Assessment Sampling Survey is enclosed for your information. The State Board of Equalization (BOE) completed this sampling survey in accordance with section 15640 of the Government Code and section 75.60 of the Revenue and Taxation Code for purposes of certifying the eligibility of the county to continue to recover costs associated with administering supplemental assessments.

The Sacramento County Assessment Sampling Survey Report is a quantitative evaluation of Sacramento County's 2019-20 assessment roll. The sampling indicates Sacramento County's 2019-20 assessment roll meets the requirements for assessment quality established by section 75.60.

We thank the Honorable Christina Wynn, Sacramento County Assessor, and her staff for their courtesy and cooperation.

Sincerely,

/s/ David Yeung

David Yeung
Deputy Director
Property Tax Department

DY:dcl
Enclosure

INTRODUCTION

Although county government has the primary responsibility for local property tax assessment, the State has both a public policy interest and a financial interest in promoting fair and equitable assessments throughout California. The public policy interest arises from the impact of property taxes on taxpayers and the inherently subjective nature of the assessment process. The financial interest derives from state law that annually guarantees California schools a minimum amount of funding; to the extent that property tax revenues fall short of providing this minimum amount of funding, the State must make up the difference from the general fund.

The assessment practices survey program is one of the State's major efforts to address these interests and to promote uniformity, fairness, equity, and integrity in the property tax assessment process. Under this program, the State Board of Equalization (BOE) periodically reviews the practices and procedures (surveys) and/or a sampling of the county's assessment roll (samples) of specified County Assessors' offices. This report reflects the BOE's findings in its current sample of the Sacramento County Assessor's Office.

SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

Pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code¹ section 75.60, the BOE determines through the survey program whether a county assessment roll meets the standards for purposes of certifying the eligibility of the county to continue to recover costs associated with administering supplemental assessments. Such certification is obtained either by receiving satisfactory statistical results from a sampling of the county's assessment roll or by a determination by the survey team – based on objective standards defined in regulation – that there are no significant assessment problems in the county.

The sampling of the county's assessment roll determines the average level (ratio) of assessment for all properties and the disparity among assessments within the sample. The ideal assessment ratio is 100 percent, and the minimum acceptable ratio is 95 percent. Disparity among assessments is measured by the sum of absolute differences found in the sample; the ideal sum of absolute differences is 0 percent and the maximum acceptable number is 7.5 percent. If the assessment roll meets the minimum standards for ratio and disparity, the county is eligible to continue to recover the administrative cost of processing supplemental assessments.²

¹ Unless otherwise stated, all statutory references are to the California Revenue and Taxation Code and all rule references are to sections of California Code of Regulations, Title 18, Public Revenues.

² For a detailed description of the scope of this program, please refer to the document entitled *Assessment Sampling Program*, which is available on the BOE's website at <http://www.boe.ca.gov/Assessors/pdf/assessmentsamplingprogram.pdf>.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY SAMPLING RESULTS

The BOE's County-Assessed Properties Division sampled Sacramento County's 2019-20 assessment roll, which contained 491,904 assessments with an enrolled taxable value of approximately \$172.8 billion. The composition of the 2019-20 assessment roll by property type is as follows:

PROPERTY TYPE	NUMBER OF ASSESSMENTS	ENROLLED VALUE
Residential	442,711	\$128,548,584,731
Rural	956	\$4,200,885,059
Commercial/Industrial	38,824	\$38,947,182,193
All Other	9,413	\$1,135,513,348
Total	491,904	\$172,832,165,331

The Sacramento County assessment roll meets the requirements for assessment quality established by section 75.60. Our sample of the 2019-20 assessment roll indicated an average assessment ratio of 100.17 percent and the sum of the absolute differences from the required assessment level was 0.24 percent. Accordingly, the BOE certifies that Sacramento County is eligible to receive reimbursement of costs associated with administering supplemental assessments.

COUNTY-ASSESSED PROPERTIES DIVISION SAMPLING GROUP

Sacramento County

Chief:

Patricia Lumsden

Survey Program Manager:

Holly Cooper

Manager, Property Tax Department

Survey Supervisor:

David Dodson

Supervisor, Property Tax Department

Sample Team:

Gary Coates

Supervising Property Appraiser

James McCarthy

Senior Petroleum & Mining Appraisal Engineer

Amanda Lopez

Senior Specialist Property Appraiser

Robert Marr

Senior Specialist Property Appraiser

Tina Salazar

Senior Specialist Property Appraiser

Jeff Arthur

Senior Specialist Property Auditor Appraiser

Alexander B. Fries

Senior Specialist Property Auditor Appraiser

Lee Coleman

Associate Property Appraiser

Chris Howell

Associate Property Appraiser

Artemis Oestreich

Associate Property Appraiser

Jennifer Prince

Associate Property Appraiser

Laura Ruiz

Associate Property Appraiser

Nancy Le

Associate Property Auditor Appraiser

Nicole Grady

Assistant Property Appraiser

Hanju Lee

Junior Property Appraiser

Dany Lunetta

Associate Government Program Analyst