1	
2	
3	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
4	450 N STREET
5	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
6	STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
7	
8	
9	OCTOBER 24TH, 2023
10	CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
11	BOARD MEETING
12	
13	
14	000
15	ITEMS NO. 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6
16	000
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	REPORTED BY: Jillian M. Sumner, CSR NO. 13619

1		APPEARANCES	
2	For the Board of Equalization:		
3		Honorable Antonio Vazquez Chair	
4		Honorable Sally J. Lieber Vice Chair	
5			
6		Honorable Ted Gaines First District	
7		Honorable Mike Schaefer	
8		Fourth District (Virtually)	
9		Malia M. Cohen	
10		State Controller (Virtually)	
11		Hasib Emran Appearing for Malia M. Cohen	
12		State Controller (per Government Code	
13		Section 7.9)	
14	For the Board of Equalization Staff:		
15		Yvette Stowers Executive Director	
16			
17		Lisa Renati Chief Deputy Director	
18		Julia Himovitz Tax Counsel	
19		Legal Department	
20		Richard Moon Tax Counsel	
21		Legal Department	
22		David Yeung Deputy Director	
23		Property Tax Department	
24			
25			

1		APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2		Catherine Taylor Chief
3		Board Proceedings and Support Services
4		
5		Mary Cichetti Clerk
6		Board Proceedings and Support Services
7	Guest Speakers:	
8	(In Person)	Marc Aprea Principal
9		Aprea & Micheli, CATA
10		Paul A. Waldman Past President
		Aprea & Micheli, CATA
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		INDEX	
2			PAGE NO
3	Item 2		1
4	Motion		16
5	Item 3		19
6	Item 4		32
7	Motion		52
8	Item 5		59
9	Item 6		69
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
2	450 N STREET, SACRAMENTO
3	OCTOBER 24TH, 2023
4	000
5	
6	ITEM 2
7	
8	MS. CICHETTI: The next item on the agenda is
9	Item 2, Board Member Matters and Initiatives: Key Issues
10	and Recommendations from the 2023 Annual Meeting of the
11	Board and County Assessors.
12	Discussion and possible action on the key
13	issues and recommendations from the Annual Meeting of
14	the Board and County Assessors, and from the respective
15	Equalization District meetings thereafter.
16	This item is being presented by Mr. Vazquez.
17	MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
18	Members, since I have only one assessor in my
19	district, I took the liberty of listing in my memo to
20	you the key issues and recommendations discussed with
21	the Assessors during our annual meeting.
22	I know that you will have more to add from
23	your individual discussions with your Assessors.
24	It was clear that two critical issues to the
25	Assessors now and in 2024 are possessory interest

challenges, especially regarding JPAs and affordable
 housing, and regarding assessment appeals training for
 AABs and County Board of Supervisors serving as local
 Boards of Equalization and Clerks and County Counsels
 who advise them.

6 No. 1, regarding possessory interest, 7 Assessor Parrish recommended developing a clear 8 definition of moderate income. And Assessor Marks 9 emphasized the need for greater communication between 10 JPAs and Assessors, so that each uniquely structured JPA 11 can fully understand the property tax rules and receive 12 the appropriate guidance.

No. 2, regarding assessment appeals challenges, all eight Assessors urge the Board Assessors and County Clerks work together to encourage or provide some type of mandatory assessment appeals training for Boards of Supervisors, so that there is equity among the counties.

19 I think it was Assessor Marks who said that.
20 BOE has wonderful resources, and is well
21 situated as an independent party to help advance
22 education.

Delivering revenue and tax code information at the fingertips of all Assessment Appeal Boards and County Boards, Members, should be something that we

would want to -- in every county, so that we don't put in jeopardy tax dollars because of uneducated decisions.

At the conclusion of our meeting, one, I propose working with legislators to make assessment appeals training uniform throughout the state.

6 And Vice Chair Lieber proposed, No. 1, working 7 with CAA to determine what current training is available 8 in each of the 58 counties, and, two, to developing a 9 survey tool to send to the County Assessors to determine 10 the current training needs and pressure points.

I am hoping today that we can hear your report outs, and put our proposals into a formal motion, so that we have some definite strategies to move forward to staff and to bring back to the future meetings.

But I first wanted to put our two proposals into motion, so that we have these two as a minimum.

Motion one, move that the Board Work Group -or excuse me -- that the Board work with legislators to make assessment appeals training uniform throughout the state.

And the second one, that the Board and Ed work -- and the ED work with CAA to determine what current training is available in each of the 58 counties, and develop a survey tool to send to the County Assessors to determine the current training needs

1 and pressure points.

2 With that, let me turn to my Vice Chair 3 Lieber, to state correctly -- to see if I stated this correctly, especially her motion. 4 5 MS. LIEBER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 And I want to clarify that in my previous 7 comments, I'm very hopeful that we'll work with CAA. 8 But CAA will be the ones developing the survey tool, so 9 that it's something that is appropriate for their 10 members, and that they really take the -- take the lead 11 in doing that. 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 13 Members, are there any other comments or 14 questions, or even reports out that --15 Oh, it looks like Member Gaines, you might 16 have --17 MR. GAINES: Well, I'm not sure. Is this the 18 right time to talk about our assessor breakout session? 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 20 MR. GAINES: Okay. Good. 21 I -- I do have a few comments here. 22 Thank you. 23 Our breakout session was focused heavily on 24 Assessment Appeal Boards. One area noted repeatedly was 25 the need for the Assessment Appeals Board to have

1 specialized training to handle complex cases.

We discussed whether it was even possible for 2 3 assessees to receive a fair appeal if the Board Members didn't have the depth of knowledge needed to fully 4 5 understand the cases that were brought before them. 6 Several of my Assessors gave examples of 7 difficult cases where the Board probably didn't possess 8 adequate understanding of the complexities of the case. 9 This is an issue that needs addressing if the 10 counties are going to fulfill their charge to their 11 appellants. 12 This is particularly challenging in some of 13 the smaller counties where the pool of potential appeal 14 Board Members are smaller, and its often the County 15 Supervisors who serve as the Appeals Board. 16 We also discussed some Prop. 19 implementation. And my smaller counties are worried 17 18 about the pace of the implementation, and lack of 19 funding that may develop to offset the cost that they 20 are absorbing as Prop. 19 base year transfer 21 destinations. 22 A lot of them just don't believe the money is ever going to come. You know, it's a real problem. 23 24 Lastly, we did something fun, and we just 25 asked, you know, how are you improving the environment

1 in your office?

2 And we heard a lot of good feedback about how 3 they're trying to develop a team environment, both between management and the rank-and-file employees. And 4 5 keep it inspiring and informative, so that they always 6 have an opportunity to move up. 7 I think we've done a good job of this at the 8 BOE. And I want to recognize our Executive Director and 9 also Lisa Renati in terms of what she's done with 10 filling a lot of the open positions that we have. 11 Because we believe, and we have a philosophy 12 of hiring within, but we're also bringing in outside talent, which always brings new ideas and innovation to 13 14 the BOE. 15 And so all in all, it was a great meeting. 16 And we had a lot of -- I've got a lot of great Assessors 17 in my district, from very small to medium and large. So 18 it's really fun when they get together and collaborate 19 on ideas that will help their offices. 20 So thank you. 21 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 22 Vice Chair Lieber, go ahead. 23 MS. LIEBER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I thought it was a very productive meeting, 24 and a very productive day with the Assessors. 25

1 I had a good geographic range of Assessors who 2 were able to make it. And I know that many of our 3 members are going to the Assessors' Association Conference as well to get more information in. 4 5 And I, too, heard a lot about the diversity of 6 the ways that the counties approach this. You know, in 7 particular, the -- I think it's just over a quarter of 8 the counties in our state using their Board of 9 Supervisors as their Board of Equalization, and doing 10 their assessment appeals. 11 And so needing to make sure that, as we move 12 into development of potential solutions, that we 13 recognize the dynamics with those elected officials, 14 and -- who have been doing their jobs for, you know, in many cases quite a long time, and have a lot of 15 16 knowledge about their counties. 17 And I also heard a lot about the improvements 18 that the individual Assessors have made post the initial 19 phase of COVID, and how to make their offices really 20 useful for taxpayers; including putting toys in the 21 waiting area, so that as families come in, that they're 22 able to have something to be engaging there. 23 And I think if -- if anything, I -- the overall note that I heard from them was, please work 24 25 closely with CAA, so that we can make sure that there

1 aren't unintended consequences or undue

2 misunderstandings.

And so I think it's fortuitous that the CAA 3 conference is coming up, and that we'll have the 4 5 opportunity to get even more information from our folks who are out there in the counties. 6 7 So thank you. 8 MR. VAZQUEZ: Member Schaefer, do you have 9 anything to report out with your group? 10 I think you're muted. 11 MR. SCHAEFER: Yeah, I have to put an arrow on 12 the mic to do it. 13 I enjoyed the meeting very much, and the 14 luncheon that we put on the for Assessors. It's one of 15 the highlights of the year. And I'm glad to hear from 16 each of my Members what they took in from it, because it helps me do a better job. 17 18 Thank you. 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 20 With that, it sounds like there's a consensus, 21 especially listening to my Vice Chair, in terms of 22 possibly moving forward with having the Board, with the 23 Executive Director and CAA, to determine what current training is available at the 58 different counties, and 24 25 to develop some kind of a survey tool to send to the

1 County Assessors to determine the current training 2 needs, and I guess pressure points, at this point. 3 Is that what I'm hearing from my Vice Chair? 4 I'm getting a nod. 5 And I see my Executive Director coming up. 6 MS. STOWERS: Good morning. 7 Yvette Stowers, Executive Director. 8 Notwithstanding that we don't have a second 9 yet, I just need clarification about current training 10 needs at the county level for County Assessors and their 11 staff, or for County Counsel and AAB Board Members. MR. VAZQUEZ: Go ahead, Vice Chair. 12 13 MS. LIEBER: Thank you. 14 I think what we were talking about, and other Members can add onto this, was primarily focused on the 15 16 County Assessors, AAB members, and potentially the County Boards of Sups, and the 26th percent or so of the 17 18 counties that use that methodology. 19 MS. STOWERS: Developing a survey tool to send 20 to County Assessors, AAB members, County Board of 21 Supervisors to determine their current training needs 22 and pressure points, with CAA taking a lead on that. 23 MS. LIEBER: Yes. And to my mind, the survey tool would be going 2.4 to the Assessors, and not the AAB members. Because that 25

1 could be a lot of individuals throughout California, 2 especially in some of the counties. 3 But, really, as our first pass at it, getting as much knowledge as we possibly can from the 4 5 Assessors. 6 MS. STOWERS: Okay. Thank you for that 7 clarification. 8 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 9 And it sounds like -- I moved it, and sounds 10 like my Vice Chair second it. Just for the record. And, Member Gaines, you have a question, or 11 12 you're good? 13 MR. GAINES: No, I'm good. 14 MS. CICHETTI: Did you second it? 15 MS. LIEBER: Yes. 16 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 17 MS. CICHETTI: Okay. All right. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: And with that, I guess we need 19 to check if there's anybody on the line. 20 MS. CICHETTI: Yes. Let me just restate the 21 motion for the record, to make sure I'm correct. 22 The motion is to move that the Board Work 23 Group work with the Legislatures to make assessment appeals training uniform throughout the state, and the 24 25 Board and the Executive Director work with the County

1 Assessors --

2 MR. VAZQUEZ: The CAA. 3 MS. CICHETTI: -- CAA and the County Board of Sups to determine that the current training is available 4 5 in each of the 58 counties, and to develop a survey tool 6 to send to the County Assessors to determine the current 7 training needs and pressure points. 8 MR. VAZQUEZ: I think Vice Chair had a -- go 9 ahead. 10 MS. LIEBER: I think the basket of stuff came 11 from me. So I'll take a pass at simplifying it. 12 That the motion would be that we ask the 13 Executive Director to work with CAA to develop a tool to 14 research the Assessors' view on what training is currently provided or what is needed, as a first pass. 15 16 And then I think that once we get that information, if we could -- and I won't put this into 17 18 the motion currently at this time. 19 Once we get that information, we can continue 20 to do some research about what's going on in the 21 Legislature, and what the ideas and appetite that are 22 out there currently is. 23 MS. CICHETTI: Yes. And so we're going to need to -- so you have a new motion on the table. 24 25 MS. TAYLOR: An amendment of the prior motion.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Well, basically an amendment.
 MS. TAYLOR: A friendly amendment? You're all
 in agreement?

MS. CICHETTI: Okay. So we're going to repeat 4 5 the motion here again. We're going to try again. That the -- we ask the Executive Director to 6 7 work with CAA to develop a tool to research the 8 Assessors' view on what training is currently provided, 9 or what is needed for County Counsel and AAB members. 10 MS. LIEBER: I think we're dealing with County 11 Counsel on a separate agenda item, so I was thinking 12 that this was just for the AAB members and Assessors and 13 Boards of Supervisors that are used. 14 MR. VAZQUEZ: Welcome. We're going to get

15 some clarification here.

16 MS. HIMOVITZ: Good morning.

Julia Himovitz on behalf of the LegalDepartment.

19 So to step back, I believe there was a 20 two-part motion made by the Chair. So there was item 21 one of that motion, and then Ms. Lieber has amended a 22 friendly amendment to the second part of that motion.

23 My recommendation to make it clear would be 24 for the Chair to do the first part of the motion, read 25 that back into the record, maybe take that as a vote

1 separately, and then do Member Lieber's motion separately, or however you want to restate that part. 2 3 But you do have a two-part motion, so we need to make sure that we're reading the entire motion that 4 5 we are voting on into the record. 6 Does that work for you? 7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Is it possible for me to just 8 amend it to consolidate the two? 9 MS. HIMOVITZ: Absolutely. However you want 10 to make it clear. I just want to make sure that --MR. VAZQUEZ: What's less confusing, I quess, 11 12 is what I'm trying to get at? 13 MS. HIMOVITZ: I guess it depends on the 14 person. But I think it's fine for you to restate the entire motion, making sure that you're capturing both of 15 your thoughts. So long as we have a second on the 16 17 record. And then we can take a vote. 18 Does that work? 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: Sure. 20 Do you want me to give it a stab, or do you 21 got something? 22 MS. LIEBER: Sure. 23 MR. VAZQUEZ: Let me try this, and tell me if I'm capturing your piece of it. 24 25 So let me go back to my original motion. I'll

1 just make it a dual, instead of two separate ones.

2 Which would be to move that the Board work 3 with the legislators to make assessment appeals training uniform throughout the state. And that the Board and 4 the Executive Director work with CAA to determine what 5 current training is available in each of the 58 6 7 counties, and develop a survey tool to send to the 8 County Assessors to determine the current training needs 9 and pressure points. 10 How's that? 11 MS. HIMOVITZ: Okay. So that's accurate for 12 your original motion, but I think --13 MR. VAZQUEZ: That's why I'm asking. 14 Does this encompass your points, or would you want to amend this a little bit? 15 16 MS. LIEBER: That does encompass my points. I think that we should get that information 17 18 from the Assessors first before we go to legislators to 19 offer them up, so that we don't get crosswise with our 20 Assessors. And as long as that's the understanding with 21 it, I'm good with that. 22 MR. VAZQUEZ: I'm good. 23 Is it -- now let me ask the Legal, is that 24 appropriate, or should we keep it separate? 25 MS. HIMOVITZ: So I'm hearing conflicting

1 thoughts within the motion. And so that was perhaps why
2 I recommended splitting it.

3 But it's your motion, and you're welcome to shape it as you need. But I think the amendment was to 4 5 remove that piece where you ask there to be a tool to 6 take out and provide that tool. 7 I think what Vice Chair Lieber is trying to 8 suggest is that we find out what's needed before you 9 move forward with that. 10 So -- and I don't mean to speak for the 11 Vice Chair, but it sounds like she was wanting to remove 12 a piece of that original motion. 13 MR. GAINES: Could I weigh in? 14 MR. VAZQUEZ: Go ahead, Member Gaines. MR. GAINES: Because you could just reverse 15 16 the order in the motion. 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: I was thinking reverse or hold 18 off on the first part, which -- which is easy -- which 19 makes more sense, I guess. 20 MS. HIMOVITZ: So going off of what 21 Vice Chair Lieber was suggesting, it sounds like you want to explore what's needed prior to the other steps. 22 23 And so maybe just doing the first sentence of your second part of the motion, I think, captures what 24 25 the Vice Chair was suggesting.

1

Is that correct?

2 MS. LIEBER: I think, you know, if we have an 3 understanding that -- and I think it's now being conveyed publicly that we're going to surface the 4 5 solutions from the Assessors first. 6 And that doesn't preclude us going and looking 7 at, you know, whoever has done research, including State 8 agencies, or JLAC, or whoever has done research on the 9 issue. 10 But then once we do those preliminary steps, 11 then we could start having conversations with legislators. And that would prevent us from getting 12 13 crosswise with Assessors. 14 MR. VAZOUEZ: Is that clear? 15 MS. HIMOVITZ: So my understanding is that you 16 want to explore the options prior to requesting the 17 tools moving forward. 18 So that does change your original motion, and 19 so you as a Board should restate the motion. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: So I'm gonna go ahead and just 21 drop the first part of it. And what if I just open up 22 and -- let's make the motion now that it would be that the Board and the Executive Director work with CAA to 23 determine what current training is available in each of 24 25 the 58 counties, and to develop a survey tool to send to

the County Assessors to determine the current training
 needs and pressure points.

3 And leave it at that.

4 MS. LIEBER: I'll second that.

5 MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay.

6 MS. HIMOVITZ: So we have a motion, and we 7 have a second.

8 So, Mary, if you want to restate the motion, 9 then we can go to public comment.

10 MS. CICHETTI: Perfect.

25

11 The motion that's on the table is that the 12 Board and the Executive Director work with the CAA to 13 determine what current training is available in each of 14 the 58 counties, and to develop a survey tool to send to 15 the County Assessors to determine the current training 16 needs and pressure points.

All right. I do not have anyone in the audience who wanted to make a public comment. I do not believe I have anything in -- I do not have anything in writing on this item. So we are going to go to the AT&T moderator.

AT&T moderator, do we have anyone on the line who would like to make a public comment regarding this item?

AT&T MODERATOR: Ladies and gentlemen, if you

1 wish to make a public comment for this item, you may 2 press one, then zero at this time. 3 There is currently no one cueing up at this 4 time, Madam. 5 MS. CICHETTI: Thank you. All right. Just for the record, I'm going to 6 7 clarify that Mr. Vazquez moved the motion, and 8 Ms. Lieber seconded it. 9 I'm going to take roll at this time. 10 MS. CICHETTI: Chairman Vazquez. 11 MR. VAZQUEZ: Aye. 12 MS. CICHETTI: Vice Chair Lieber. 13 MS. LIEBER: Aye. 14 MS. CICHETTI: Member Gaines. 15 MR. GAINES: Aye. MS. CICHETTI: Member Schaefer. 16 17 MR. SCHAEFER: Aye. 18 MS. CICHETTI: And Deputy Controller Emran. 19 MR. EMRAN: Aye. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those 21 present. 22 MS. HIMOVITZ: Thank you. 23 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 2.4 Members, is there any other item from what 25 came out of your breakouts that we might want to

1 consider a motion with? 2 We're good? Good. 3 Seeing none with Member Schaefer, so I think 4 we're good. 5 6 ITEM 3 7 8 MS. CICHETTI: Item 3, Board Member Matters 9 and Initiatives: Workforce Planning Board Work Group 10 Minutes and Report. 11 Minutes and Report for Board discussion and 12 approval. 13 This item is presented by Mr. Vazquez. 14 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 15 Members, at our September 26th meeting, we 16 adopted a motion to approve the August 29th, '23 17 Workforce Planning Work Group minutes and report, and a 18 motion instructing the Executive Director, one, to work 19 with the Angela Jemmott, Chief of the Bureau of Real 20 Estate Appraisers, on whether their appraiser applicants 21 could obtain work experience in Assessors' offices to 22 meet their license requirements. 23 And, two, to welcome the offer of Dr. James Lancaster, vice chancellor of the LA Community 24 25 College District to request a regional labor market

analysis for the LA region to the Centers for Excellence
 for labor market research.

In reviewing the transcripts of the August 29th Work Group hearing and the September 26th Board Meeting, I realize that many valuable recommendations and ideas were left on the table, including some listed by the Work Group minutes and reports.

9 To ensure that we thoroughly consider and 10 process the key information and advice received, both 11 from leading educators and Assessors, I am preparing a 12 syllabus of workforce recommendations and suggestions, 13 from both the July and the August Workforce Work Groups, 14 and from the annual Board Assessors meeting, from the 15 individual Assessors thereafter, and from the Executive 16 Director.

Once the syllabus is circulated, I would like your input, so we can focus on the interest of the Assessors in the districts regarding the items in this syllabus.

Also at our November meeting, we need to consider which recommendations were consistent with the strategy on our 2020 comprehensive outline, as well as the current needs of the Assessors.

25 Based on the testimony received in the last

1 few months, the types of concerns and the number of suggestions voiced, we, Co-Chair Member Gaines and I, 2 believe we should take this holistic, rather than 3 piecemeal approach, to ensure that we are aligned with 4 5 our strategy, or identify where our strategy needs to be 6 updated. 7 The four strategy elements in the 8 comprehensive outline are found in the memo I sent to 9 you. And we will also be -- we will also resend the 10 link to the comprehensive outline in the syllabus. 11 With that, let me turn to Member Gaines to 12 provide comments or suggestions on this as well. 13 MR. GAINES: No, I'm in agreement. 14 MR. VAZQUEZ: You're good? 15 MR. GAINES: Yeah. Thank you. 16 MR. VAZQUEZ: Any comments or suggestions from any of the other Members? 17 18 Seeing no hands. 19 MS. STOWERS: Chair. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 21 Executive Director, come on up. 22 MS. STOWERS: Get some steps in today. 23 Good morning. Thank you for this opportunity. 24 Yvette Stowers, Executive Director. 25 I just want to comment that I do look forward

for the syllabus of suggestions that the Work Group will
 be producing.

And, as you know, Members, the agency has made great strides on our workforce planning, as evidence in the workforce report that we produced and presented at the July 24th Work Group.

And I do believe I sent you a copy of the
report, but I will send you another copy to you and your
deputies.

10 And, again, I look forward to looking to the 11 new ideas, to move moving forward with the workforce.

12 And I do want to note that some of the items 13 that's outlined from the 2020 suggestions, and in your 14 memo, may not be within our purview.

15 One that really stands out is the compensation 16 issue between the state and the counties. You know, two 17 separate entities. Our compensation is controlled by 18 CalHR and Labor, and counties compensation is controlled 19 by their Board of Supervisors.

20 So it's not -- I understand that pay is always 21 an issue. But there's not much that we, as a Board, can 22 do. So I just want you to have reasonable expectations. 23 Those were my brief comments.

24 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

25 With that, Members, if there's -- oh,

1 Vice Chair Lieber, yes.

MS. LIEBER: So the gist of the item today is 2 3 just that we'll receive -- we'll receive the syllabus and discuss it in November. So that's our opportunity 4 5 to bring our comments or --6 MR. VAZQUEZ: Ideas, thoughts, whatever, 7 changes. 8 MS. LIEBER: Individuals. 9 Okay. Thank you. 10 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah. 11 At this point it's pretty much just an 12 informational item. But I wanted to get it out there 13 and give you kind of a heads up. That if you have the 14 opportunity to take a look at it, and if there's 15 something that's missing, or you have suggestions or 16 comments or changes, you'll have an opportunity to do 17 that. 18 Member Gaines. 19 MR. GAINES: So just a question for 20 clarification that Executive Director Stowers brought up 21 in terms of compensation and classification plan. 22 You think we need to modify No. 2 in terms of 23 how it's expressed? 24 MR. VAZQUEZ: We probably should. 25 MS. STOWERS: I think that's something that

1 your Work Group can kind of modify it a little

2 differently.

3 MR. GAINES: Yeah. MS. STOWERS: And I'd be willing to provide 4 5 some suggestions. I don't want to say it just right here, because I don't have prepared remarks on that. 6 7 MR. VAZQUEZ: No, no. We just put it out 8 there, so we can have time to bring it back and we can 9 fine-tune it. 10 MR. GAINES: Right. So we don't have to include state 11 12 compensation, but we could do a survey of County 13 Assessors, and what their pay scales are, and look for 14 recommendations in terms of, you know, we can bring in 15 CalHR. 16 MS. STOWERS: I would like to work with you guys. Because we do do a survey already --17 18 MR. GAINES: Okay. 19 MS. STOWERS: -- on the salaries for 20 County Assessors and their staff. It's actually 21 published on an annual basis. 22 So I think on that, we need to probably flush 23 it out more, so that the public is aware of it, and the Work Group is aware of it. And then based on that 24 25 survey, it wouldn't go to CalHR. Because CalHR is

1 state.

2 MR. GAINES: You're right.

3 MS. STOWERS: It would be back at the local4 level.

5 MR. GAINES: That's correct.

6 MS. STOWERS: So my suggestion is just let's 7 work together on this one and give the best language, 8 and knowing what we can do and what the counties can do. 9 MR. GAINES: So it's really going to be --10 yeah. Just -- it'll be a survey of the counties, that 11 we would then -- and we're already doing that. So what 12 is -- okay. 13 So what is unique in terms of what we're doing 14 versus what we're already doing? 15 MR. VAZQUEZ: Vice Chair Lieber, I think, has 16 a comment on this. 17 MS. LIEBER: I have a question to add onto 18 Mr. Gaines. 19 So my understanding from what the Executive Director is telling us, is that we already do 20 21 a survey, and there may be some additional questions

22 that could be added onto there.

But what we would want to stay out of is, say, for example, directing Boards of Sups to pay more or to pay less, that would also be un-welcomed.

1 But -- so we could develop some additional 2 information. But I think we need to be careful where we 3 go with that information. MR. GAINES: Yeah. 4 5 It's interesting talking to -- I'm sure 6 you're -- all of you are hearing the same things in 7 terms of compensation from our Assessors. 8 And they are, you know, clearly some of the 9 smaller rural counties are having real struggles paying 10 enough. And so there's a couple issues. 11 One, is there enough money in the county 12 budget? But -- and that's also, I think, philosophical 13 in terms of what is the Board of Supervisors approach to 14 increasing the Assessors' office, which potentially 15 increases tax revenue. So it could be a philosophical 16 battle there. 17 But there are also some County Assessors that 18 don't get along with their Board of Supervisors, and 19 that's not helping their cause either in terms of 20 compensation of their own departments. 21 And I don't -- you know, we can't really -- we 22 can't solve that problem. It's more of an issue of, you 23 know, how are you going to get along and try to help out 24 your department. 25 MR. EMRAN: I do think, in the meantime, it

1 would be helpful to collect both the county data and state data. Because it also shows behavior, the trends, 2 3 if people are shifting from metropolitan counties to more suburb or rural counties depending on pay. 4 5 And also, too, if there is a pathway to make 6 it to this state, or if it's a reverse trend, if people 7 are going from starting at the state, and then going 8 into counties, because the pay is higher. 9 So it's not necessarily a directive, but I 10 think the data collection would be important for us to 11 create our own path forward. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. GAINES: That's a -- that's a --14 Can I comment? 15 MR. VAZQUEZ: Go ahead, Member Gaines. 16 MR. GAINES: That is a great point, Member Emran, in terms of -- because there are -- I 17 18 mean, we can talk to Lisa Renati in terms of, you know, 19 are we drawing people from County Assessor offices to 20 the BOE because of that compensation, or vice versa. 21 MR. VAZQUEZ: It sounds like Lisa wants to 22 weigh in. 23 MS. RENATI: Of course I get the little chair 2.4 now. 25 Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy Director of the

1 Board of Equalization.

I think there's some things we can do.
Because salary and compensation are two different items,
and they don't always compare.

5 We do collect survey data, data from all -- we 6 survey all the Assessors. And those that do comply 7 provide us the salaries of all of their different 8 positions.

9 And in our report we provided in July, we did 10 a small comparison of those salaries. And it's not 11 surprising that the larger counties do pay more. But, 12 you know, for the state, our -- when they go out and 13 decide our salary ranges, they do a compensation study. 14 And that includes benefits as well as salary.

And so, you know, that can change, and benefits can include a lot of different items. So -and they do salary studies. The last one was done in 2021, which did, in this last negotiations with Labor, raise a lot of people's salary ranges.

20 Unfortunately, it did not affect our agency. 21 Well, a few positions in our agency were affected, but 22 the majority of the appraisers weren't.

What we could do is go together and work on a -- you know, work with a Work Group, and decide if you wanted to do a comprehensive study with the counties,

with the state, and then present that information to
 CalHR.

3 However, that would really help the state, and then perhaps the counties could use the information. 4 5 But there's no guarantees. It's a long process. I've 6 worked on it in the past. 7 But it's something you can do if the Board so 8 desires. But it is time-consuming. 9 MS. STOWERS: And when you say a 10 "comprehensive study," we'll most likely use a consultant for that. 11 12 MS. RENATI: You could use a consultant, or -you have to have the -- also, you know, if you have the 13 14 counties do the work, the counties have to have the 15 bandwidth to do the work. And that's why we don't 16 always receive all the data from the counties. 17 It's not that they -- I don't think they don't 18 want to, it's they don't have the time to fill out the 19 information. So it's a large issue. 20 But as Ms. Stowers said, it's something we 21 don't have a lot of control over. I think all of you

22

23 MR. GAINES: Right.

expressed that as well.

MS. RENATI: But it's something to consider, and something we do look into.

1 And as far as your question, Mr. Gaines, regarding the county, typically we get the people after 2 3 they've retired from the county. 4 MR. GAINES: Okay. 5 MS. RENATI: That's how we typically get the 6 people that --7 MR. GAINES: Retired annuitant. 8 MS. RENATI: Retired annuitants or employees. 9 Many of our experts came from the county. And we have a 10 lot -- we hire people from the counties. We also have a 11 lot of grow your own. It depends on which Department 12 you're looking at. Where we bring people in and we grow 13 our own. So --14 MR. GAINES: But we're not losing them to 15 counties, are we? 16 MS. RENATI: We've lost a couple people, but not a lot. Typically it's the opposite direction. 17 18 But, you know, with the changes where 19 people -- once people settle down roots, they don't 20 normally want to move if you're in the Sacramento area. 21 Work from home has changed things. 22 So we have benefitted. But, you know, it's 23 harder for the counties. 24 MR. GAINES: I just want to make sure whatever 25 we're doing is beneficial to the County Assessors. And

1 so I don't know -- I'm trying to recall what the 2 conversations were with the County Assessors on this 3 issue during our meetings. But I just want to make sure we reconnect. I 4 5 don't see our representative from CAA here. 6 MS. STOWERS: If I may, sir. 7 MR. GAINES: Yes. 8 MS. STOWERS: One thing for me that I took 9 note of is when they said individuals from smaller 10 counties, when they go away to college and get their 11 accounting degree, they don't come back to the county. 12 MR. GAINES: Okay. 13 MS. STOWERS: So that's what -- could have 14 been someone they could have hired, but they choose to 15 stay, as Mr. Emran was saying, in the bigger cities. 16 MR. GAINES: Right. MS. STOWERS: They don't return home. So they 17 18 have a limited pool --19 MR. GAINES: That could be social, right, 20 socially driven? 21 MS. STOWERS: Mm-hm. So they have a limited 22 pool. 23 MR. GAINES: And pay. 24 MS. STOWERS: And pay, yeah. 25 MR. GAINES: Okay. Great. Thank you.

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Well, at this point, it's just 2 an information item. We're gonna -- we have a month to 3 come back. MS. STOWERS: Yeah. 4 5 MR. VAZQUEZ: So now that you've hashed this 6 out, especially getting back to your Assessors, and 7 making sure we're producing something hopefully that's 8 useful to them and ourselves. 9 Thank you all. 10 With that, we'll move on to our next item. 11 12 ITEM 4 13 14 MS. CICHETTI: Our next item on the agenda is 15 Item 4, Board Member Matters and Initiatives: BOE Rules 16 for Tax Appeals Training for Assessment Appeals Board and County Board of Equalization County Counsels. 17 18 Discussion and possible Board Action. 19 This item is being presented by Mr. Vazquez 20 and Mr. Emran. 21 MR. VAZQUEZ: And just for the record, I 22 wanted to state, because it was brought to my attention 23 that, I guess, last month when we talked about this, it was listed under the Assessment Appeals Board County 24 25 Counsel Training Course. So this is one and the same.

Just for those who are listening, and maybe are in tune with us last month. So you don't, hopefully, don't get confused by the name with it. We added a few words here on this one.

5 With that, Members, on September 26th, the 6 Board received the attachment Assessment Appeals Board 7 Counsel Training on State Board Rules 301-326, authored 8 by Mr. Thompson Parker, an LA County Senior Deputy 9 County Counsel.

10 Although he stated at prior meetings that the 11 material was to be for the Board's use, he has 12 respectfully declined to allow the Board to edit the 13 document, and interested parties meeting process or 14 stakeholders meeting process will become a launching pad 15 for potentially significant AAB process changes being 16 proposed.

And he will not be able to participate in, as a representative of AABs and AAB clerks, because of his local time commitments and assignments.

He said he is also aware that even if he could, he would have no authority to do more than advocate before the State Board of Equalization in its processes.

In view of the great need expressed by the Assessors at the annual Board Assessors meeting a day

later, September 27th, I am proposing a two-prong BOE
 Rules of Tax Appeals Education and Training Project,
 that can be used for Assessment Appeal Boards and County
 Board of Equalization County Counsels, as well as AAB
 members and Boards of Supervisors serving as Board of
 Equalization.

7 The proposed project discussed with the ED has 8 two new -- or two key deliverables. In the discharge of 9 our duties under Government Code Section 15606, one 10 first deliverable is an official publication on the BOE 11 rules for local tax appeals Assessment Appeals Boards 12 and County Boards of Equalization that can be used both 13 as a training curriculum for County Counsels, County 14 Clerks, and all stakeholders as online reference 15 materials for the public.

Although the publication will be similar to the rules for tax appeals of the State Board of Equalization, BOE Publication 310, covering state-assessed property appeals rules and procedures, this publication would include four parts: A) A summary of each rule as Mr. Parker prepared for our use.

B) The annotated list or Rules 301 to 326,
currently in Appendix 3 Assessment Appeals Manual, the
Assessment Appeals Manual under the ca.gov.

1C) A summary of court cases currently in2Appendix 4 Assessment Appeals Manual, and,

3 D) A glossary of terms in Assessment Appeals
4 Manual or AH 501 Basic Appraisals.

5 No. 2, the second deliverable is to support 6 the Executive Director in having the Board stand up a 7 training program and apply to the State Board to become 8 certified as an MCLE single-activity provider for 9 granting continuing legal education credits to County 10 Counsels and all members of the State Bar who choose to 11 complete any training program that the Board may offer 12 through the County Counsels Association, State Bar 13 conferences such as the Annual Tax Policy Conference, or 14 the Local Bar Association Training Conference throughout 15 the state.

Since the Board's rule, including 301 to 326 are binding as law on the AABs and County Boards of Equalization, we need to provide a concise publication and offer, focussed education and training, whether voluntary or required, required only for AAB members under Section 1624.01 and .02.

As we agreed prior to approval of any assessment appeals publication by the Board, we will ensure that the California Assessors' Association, County Assessors, the California Association of Clerks

1 and Election Officials, all taxpayer representatives and stakeholders will have full opportunity to provide input 2 3 and suggested modifications. In that vein, I move that we instruct the 4 5 Executive Director provide us with a rough draft for a 6 preliminary comment and review at the December 12, 13, 7 2023 Board Meeting. 8 And if there's a second, we will move forward 9 with that. 10 MR. EMRAN: Second. 11 MR. VAZQUEZ: It's been second by the 12 Deputy Controller. 13 And let me turn to Emran for your input and 14 comments as well. 15 MR. EMRAN: Thank you, Chairman. 16 I want to first thank you and your office for leading this charge here in the new year. 17 18 The Controller believes that training is a 19 cornerstone to what the Board of Equalization does. 20 AABs, the County Counsels, and the entire 21 State of California, for a matter of fact, is relying on 22 us to come up with this training. As you said, it's set 23 in stone. 2.4 She believes also, too, that this should be a

25 completely entire public process. It should be fully

1 transparent and open for all members of the public or 2 our stakeholders or representatives or taxpayer 3 practitioners to participate in the process of this 4 training program.

5 And, lastly, she believes it's also important that the California State Bar, that these training 6 7 programs be certified as MCLE credits to provide those 8 under this training course, not only an incentive, but 9 progress that they make while going through the 10 training. That they're able to report back to the State 11 Bar that they've gone through diligent training, and 12 also, too, that is credited towards their legal --13 ongoing legal education.

14 So the Controller is happy to support this, 15 Chairman. And she wants to thank you again for leading 16 this process.

17 In 2021, when she broke out with her own 18 County Assessors in the Second District, this was one of 19 her priority items to ensure that County Counsels 20 possess the knowledge and expertise to provide guidance 21 on complex property tax issues.

And it was suggested that a training program be established to enhance the skill set of County Counsels who provide guidance on property tax issues. So I'm happy to see this being sought through.

1 And, once again, thank you. 2 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Members, any questions or discussion on this? 3 Yes, it looks like my Vice Chair Lieber has --4 5 MS. LIEBER: I do have a number of questions. But I'm also curious about what the 6 7 Executive Director -- if she has a statement to make 8 first. Because that may influence my questions. 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: Is it tied into the motion, or 10 is it separate? 11 MS. STOWERS: It's semi-related. But I do 12 have a comment on the motion as well. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: Sure. 14 MS. STOWERS: Well, thank you, Chairman 15 Vazquez, Vice Chair and Members. 16 I'm Yvette Stowers, Executive Director. 17 Before I speak on the motion, I want to 18 reflect on this item as it was presented at the 19 September meeting. 20 As you know, we had a very detailed discussion 21 about the issue. And after reviewing the transcript, 22 I believe that there was some confusion and some 23 misstatements made during the discussion. 2.4 And based on that, I would like to apologize 25 to the Board, Mr. Parker, and all stakeholders for any

1 misunderstanding on this matter.

It was not our intention to confuse anyone,
but rather to ensure everyone had a clear understanding
of our role.

5 Members, I am optimistic, and believe that 6 this is one of those learning lessons, one of those 7 learning opportunities. And we can move forward on this 8 issue.

9 That concludes my comment as it relates to 10 past events.

11 Now, with respect to the pending motion to 12 prepare the material and to set up training, we would do 13 our -- if that motion passes, we would do our best to 14 provide a rough draft by this dated deadline.

15 The proposal is very detailed. And if you 16 would like, I do have Mr. Richard Moon in the Teams 17 environment. And if you would like to hear from him, he 18 could perhaps, you know, walk you through the process on 19 how such training would be developed. But that's at 20 your pleasure.

21 And in addition, with respect to setting up 22 the program and getting the MCL credits, I wanted to 23 state, you know, when this was first brought up to me, I 24 was very neutral on it. Because I didn't know much 25 about it.

1 I have since done some research and been 2 informed that when members of my team present technical 3 material or training to the California County Counsel Association, or through the Tax Bar, through this 4 5 various tax conference, that training is qualified for continuing education. They come under the sponsors 6 7 umbrella. So it comes under the California -- this is a 8 tongue twister. It comes under the County Counsel 9 umbrella of qualifying hours. Or if it's a Tax Bar, it 10 comes under them. So I'm not saying that we don't need 11 it, I'm just saying that there is some cover already. 12 But we will, if the motion passes, look into 13 how we can become a single provider. But just wanted to 14 note that when we do provide technical training, that the attorneys are getting continuing ed. I think that 15 16 was really something that everybody wanted to make sure 17 of. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 19 With that, let me turn it back to my 20 Vice Chair. Because I think she was going to make a 21 comment, but she was waiting for your feedback. 22 MS. LIEBER: Thank you. 23 So being relatively new here, I'm the newest person here. So is this within our charge under AB 102 24 25 to become a training entity?

1 MS. STOWERS: Thank you, Julia. 2 MS. HIMOVITZ: Good morning again. Julia Himovitz on behalf of the Legal 3 4 Department. 5 It's something that we could certainly 6 explore. There are some boundaries, obviously, that I 7 think you're alluding to that we do need to fall within. 8 But I think for purposes of the Chair's 9 motion, sounds like it's a two-prong approach again. 10 For the MCLE piece, we can certainly explore 11 whether or not that's something that we can do within 12 the confines of the Government Code. 13 And -- however, I think what the 14 Executive Director was also getting at is that if that's 15 not a possibility, there are ways for us to make sure 16 that people are able to get that MCLE credit using our 17 materials, if that's the Board's will. 18 MS. LIEBER: And I think that, if I'm correct, 19 that, as a Board, we cannot create things that would necessitate a budget augmentation that we're primarily 20 21 here to fulfill things like rate setting and hearing of 22 appeals, etc. 23 So I guess, you know, we would need more research to see if this is something that would 24 25 necessitate asking for additional budget change kinds of

1 actions.

2 MS. STOWERS: I understand. Thank you,
3 Vice Chair Lieber.

4 It is going to require shifting of staff 5 resources in order to complete the project. At least 6 the first part of it, it is going to be require staff 7 resources.

8 And that's where that -- that timing issue 9 comes, and where you often hear me say, you know, we 10 have other -- other things on the horizon. So do my 11 best to get you a draft.

But I visioned it to be a long-term assignment. It's not something that we're going to be able to put together in two or three months. It's something we want to put together, a quality product. And we want to make sure that the stakeholders have an opportunity to have input.

Now, if we do move into where we're basically going to be a training facility for County Counsel, first of all, we have to understand that there's no mandate for us to provide the training. We would just be volunteering to provide training, and we would just be hoping that they want our training.

If we get to that level, and it is taking away sufficient staff resources that we could not absorb it,

1 then I could tell you probably not, we can't absorb it. 2 We would have to seek additional funding in the form of 3 a budget change proposal to - if the vision is very global, to have a strong present training County Counsel 4 5 on an ongoing basis. MR. VAZQUEZ: Continue, Vice Chair. 6 7 MS. LIEBER: Thank you. 8 So my understanding of what's before us today 9 is that we would be getting information back about an 10 annotated, simplified version of the rules that would be 11 used by County Counsels, and then we would be exploring 12 the possibilities of training, and MCLE credit, and etc. 13 Am I understanding this all right? 14 MS. STOWERS: I believe that was the two 15 motion -- the one motion of the two deliverables. But 16 the training part of it is -- it looks simple on the surface, but it's extremely detailed. 17 18 And, again, with your permission, I'd like 19 for Mr. Moon to -- if you're there in virtual land --20 thank you. 21 Mr. Moon, with your permission, if you could 22 walk the Members through how this process would take 23 place. MR. MOON: Good morning, Members. 24 25 Richard Moon with the Legal Department.

1 So as I understand it, what you would be 2 looking for is some type of a publication similar to 3 what we have for our own state-assessed rules for tax 4 appeals.

5 And so our publication is almost literally a 6 listing of the actual rules with no annotation, no 7 explanation, with no case citations.

And so it seems to me the scope of what you're talking about with the local rules that we had, now, as you know, there are already promulgated rules relating to assessment appeals, and those are contained and listed as Property Tax Rules 301 through 326.

13 Some of them are short, some of those are 14 long. Some of those haven't been touched since they've 15 been enacted. I think there were a group of them 16 enacted in '67. And others have been touched along the 17 way.

18 And so some of those -- and some of you may 19 recall the last rules that we had amended were back in 20 2018. And so what we would need to do is go through 21 those rules. We would need to summarize them in the 22 form of annotations, put those in the publication, look 23 at case citations to see what court cases have talked about, various one of those rules, and/or the statutes 24 25 those rules interpret, and then combine all of those --

1 all of that information into a publication that would be 2 introductory, as I understand it, and serve as a 3 reference for County Counsels and other interested parties to see what sort of the basic rules are to run 4 5 an assessment appeal at the local level. 6 MR. VAZQUEZ: Go ahead, Vice Chair. 7 MS. LIEBER: So at the time when we get a 8 rough draft back, we would also potentially have in that 9 rough draft an understanding of a timeline for an 10 interested parties process through that. 11 MS. STOWERS: I could try. I mean, yes, we 12 could at least map something out. 13 MS. LIEBER: Mr. Chair, I think I would be 14 much -- I'm uncomfortable with December as the target 15 for this. And I'd be much more comfortable with January 16 or February, so that there's time for more of a strong 17 product coming forward. 18 I know that even, say, February, that's a 19 pretty short timeline to get all of these elements together to have an understanding of. 20 21 But I think for me, I'd be very uncomfortable 22 with December as a target, because of the nature of what 23 we're considering doing, and having information about standing up a process of training and etc. 24 25 Thank you.

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: So it sounds like my Deputy 2 Controller wants to weigh in here. 3 MR. EMRAN: I'm comfortable with January, February of the new year, Chairman. I defer that to 4 5 you. 6 But three months would be a good timeline. 7 And we can start the new year running right into this 8 and take off. 9 MS. STOWERS: I would appreciate the new year. 10 Because the attorneys who are going to be responsible 11 for this project are right in the middle of 12 state-assessed appeals. So I didn't want to ask for 13 that, but I would appreciate that. 14 MR. VAZQUEZ: That's -- I'm comfortable with 15 the amendment. 16 And looks like my seconder is okay. 17 MR. EMRAN: Yes. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Member Gaines is okay. 19 Any other comments or suggestions? 20 Seeing none --21 MS. CICHETTI: Yes. I was just going to say, 22 let's review the motion again.

The motion was to move that we instruct the Executive Director provide us with a rough draft for our preliminary comment and review at the February --

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 2 MS. CICHETTI: -- 2024 Board Meeting. 3 MR. VAZQUEZ: And I guess you should put the year 2024, right? 4 5 MS. CICHETTI: Yes. 6 Let me get this again. I'm going to repeat it 7 again. 8 MR. VAZQUEZ: Go ahead. MS. CICHETTI: The motion is that we instruct 9 10 the Executive Director to provide us with a rough draft 11 of the proposed publication for our preliminary comment 12 and review at the February 2024 Board Meeting. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 14 Looks like we have our Legal. 15 MS. HIMOVITZ: A point of clarification. 16 Your original ask had a two-prong approach within your motion. And that included the language 17 18 regarding the MCLEs. 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 20 MS. HIMOVITZ: Would you like to -- do you 21 want that to be included in the original, or in the new 22 amended motion? 23 Because the way that it's been read into the record does not include that at this time. 2.4 25 MR. VAZQUEZ: No, let's include it.

Because now that we're pushing it back to February, the two prong should be a reasonable ask, right?

4 MS. TAYLOR: His original motion, to me, does 5 not read that he meant the two deliverables.

MS. HIMOVITZ: Okay. So we -- if we're having confusion, perhaps we should restate for the public as well the deliverables. If you would like that to be wrapped into your motion, perhaps we should restate them both together so they are included in the motion.

11 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes, let's do that.

12 I'm looking at my seconder. Is that --13 MR. EMRAN: Works for me.

MS. HIMOVITZ: But I also want to make sure that the understanding for the MCLEs is that people can get them through other entities. It would be wrapped under that umbrella.

So if it's not possible for the agency to obtain that status, you are still covered there.

20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay.

21 Yeah. My Vice Chair, go ahead.

22 MS. LIEBER: Thank you.

Just to the maker and seconder, I would appreciate if it could be stated as that we'd ask the Executive Director to develop preliminary information

1 about the process of MCLE credit, and how that would 2 work.

3 MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay. So that's -- if that's an 4 amendment, that's friendly.

5 So going back to our Legal counsel here now, 6 so now we actually should state it with your saying --7 well, I guess, Ms. Cichetti, we should read off the 8 motion now, which would be including the -- I guess it 9 would be the two deliverables we read off earlier.

MS. HIMOVITZ: Which has now been amended byVice Chair Lieber.

12 So it might be more appropriate for you, sir, 13 to restate your motion, inclusive of the amendments that 14 have been provided, and then Mary can capture that.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay. So you want me to read off the two deliverables? The whole thing?

17 MS. HIMOVITZ: Yes.

18 MS. CICHETTI: Yes.

19 MS. HIMOVITZ: Yes, that would be helpful.

20 Thank you, sir.

21 MR. VAZQUEZ: All right. Here we go.

22 So the first deliverable --

23 MS. CICHETTI: Before we go, "I move" --

24 MR. VAZQUEZ: Oh, all right. I guess for the 25 record.

1 MS. CICHETTI: Yes, please. MR. VAZQUEZ: So I move that the motion is --2 3 would read, the first deliverable would be the official publication of the BOE rules for local tax appeals 4 5 Assessment Appeals Boards and County Boards of Equalization that can be used both for training 6 7 curriculum for the County Counsels, County Clerks, and 8 all stakeholders, online reference materials for the 9 public, although the publication would be similar to the 10 rules for tax appeals of the State Board of 11 Equalization, BOE Publication 310, covering 12 state-assessed property appeals rules and procedures. 13 This publication would include four parts. 14 One -- or A) A summary of each rule as prepared by Mr. Parker. 15 16 B) An annotated list of rules, 301 to 326, currently in Appendix 3 of the Assessment Appeals 17 18 Manual. 19 C) A summary of the court cases currently in 20 Appendix 4 Assessment Appeals Manual, and, 21 D) A glossary of terms in Assessment Appeals 22 Manual or AH 501 Basic Appraisal. 23 The second deliverable is the support that Executive Director in having the Board stand up a 2.4 25 training program and apply to the State Board to become

1 certified as the MCLE single-activities provider for 2 granting continuing legal education credits to the 3 County Counsels and all members of the State Board -- or State Bar -- excuse me -- who chooses to complete any 4 5 training program that the Board may offer through the County Counsels' Association, State Bar Conferences, 6 7 such as the Annual Tax Policy Conference or Local Bar 8 Association Training Conference throughout the state. 9 Sounds like so much. 10 MS. HIMOVITZ: If I may, I believe that the 11 second prong, if you will, was amended by Vice Chair 12 Lieber to change that to develop preliminary information 13 regarding that task. 14 MR. VAZOUEZ: On the second deliverable? 15 MS. HIMOVITZ: Right. 16 So not applying for anything, but providing 17 information in a report back; is that correct? 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Was that your amendment? 19 MS. LIEBER: Yes. 20 My intention was that we get preliminary 21 information in a report in February, and inclusive of 22 timelines for an interested parties review, and etc. 23 MR. EMRAN: This --24 MS. HIMOVITZ: Sorry. The interested parties 25 process separate from the MCLE piece.

1 MR. EMRAN: Correct. 2 This is for the Board's standalone 3 certification, rather than having a sponsor, correct? MS. HIMOVITZ: Correct. 4 5 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 6 MR. EMRAN: Thank you. 7 Second, please. 8 MS. HIMOVITZ: See if Ms. Cichetti captured 9 all of that. 10 MS. CICHETTI: I think I may have. 11 We're going to take them up in one vote then? 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. MS. CICHETTI: So the motion was by 13 14 Mr. Vazquez, seconded by Mr. Emran. 15 All right. The motion was to move the first 16 deliverable as an official publication on the BOE rules 17 for local tax appeals Assessment Appeals Board and 18 County Boards of Equalization that can be used both as 19 training curriculum for County Counsels, County Clerks, 20 and all stakeholders as online reference materials for 21 the public. 22 Although the publication would be similar to 23 the rules for tax appeals for the State Board of Equalization, BOE Publication 310, covering 24 25 state-assessed property appeals rules and procedures,

1 this publication would include four parts:

A) A summary of each rule as prepared byMr. Parker.

B) A notation list of Rules 301 to 326, 4 5 currently the Appendix 3 Assessment Appeals Manual. 6 C) A summary of court cases currently in 7 Appendix 4 Assessment Appeals Manual, and, 8 D) A glossary of terms in Assessment Appeals 9 Manual or AH 501 Basic Appraisal. 10 The second deliverable is to support the ED in 11 having the Board prepare a preliminary information in a 12 report due in February 2024 that would provide 13 information on a standup of a training program, and 14 apply to the State Bar or to become certified as an MCLE 15 single-activity provider for granting continuing legal 16 education credits to County Counsels and all members of 17 the State Bar who choose to complete any training 18 program that the Board may offer through the County 19 Counsel Association, State Bar Conferences, such as the Annual Tax Policy Conference, or Local Bar Association 20 21 Training Conferences throughout the state. 22 MR. VAZQUEZ: My Vice Chair, I think, had a

23 quick question for the Executive Director.

Go ahead.

25 MS. LIEBER: So I'm a little bit concerned

1 about the reference to Mr. Parker's work. Because 2 Mr. Parker has pulled back and said that he's going to 3 retain his property to himself. And so I want to make sure that we're not, as a State agency, grabbing 4 5 somebody else's property and running with it. So do I need to make that as an amendment to 6 7 excise the reference to Mr. Parker's work? 8 Okay. So I'd like to amend the motion to just 9 delete the reference to Mr. Parker's work. 10 MR. VAZQUEZ: Understood. MS. HIMOVITZ: That works. 11 12 So there's a motion on the table. There is a 13 second. 14 I'll allow Ms. Cichetti a moment to --15 MR. VAZQUEZ: Get it together, huh. 16 MS. HIMOVITZ: -- continuing to edit her 17 notes. 18 MS. CICHETTI: Yes, thank you. I appreciate 19 that. 20 All right. So we have a first and a second. 21 We're going to go out to public comment. I do 22 not have any written comments, although I have two 23 persons in the audience who would like to make a 24 comment. 25 I have Mr. Marc Aprea, and I have a

1 Mr. Paul Waldman.

4

Just to remind you that the public commentsare limited to three minutes each.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Welcome.

5 MR. APREA: Mr. Chair, Members of the Board, 6 staff, for the record, my name is Marc Aprea, with the 7 firm of Aprea & Micheli. And I'm here on behalf of the 8 California Alliance of Taxpayer Advocates.

9 I will open up by stating that we support the 10 motion before the Board and encourage your aye vote.

11 The very essence of the Board's purpose, 12 both stated in the Constitution and in statute, is to 13 provide the kind of activity that is contained in this 14 motion that is to provide an oppor -- the tools for 15 purposes of uniformity and consistency in the property 16 tax assessment and assessment appeals process.

17 So we want to commend the Board for moving in 18 this direction. We also saw that you are taking that 19 task seriously in your consideration of Item 2. And we 20 would encourage you to continue to find ways of 21 providing, not only the content, but the training for 22 purposes of this.

The California Alliance of Taxpayer Advocates is made up of professional individuals who are engaged in property tax assessment appeals, and we appreciate

1 the Board's taking its role.

2 We submitted a letter late yesterday that 3 indicated our general support, not only for a training -- for a document, but for a training program. 4 5 And so we want to suggest to the Board that, again, we 6 think you're doing the right thing. 7 Mr. Waldman here is with Ryan. He is also a 8 past president of CATA. And he'd like to provide for 9 you a couple of examples of where this kind of program 10 would aid both the assessment and assessment appeals 11 process, and so as an example of the need for what 12 you're about to vote on. 13 MR. WALDMAN: Members of the Board, thank you 14 for your time today. 15 Just a good example I was discussing earlier 16 is, you know, I had a case a couple years ago in which 17 the Board erred in denying us, in denying our appeal. 18 And we filed suit. 19 And, fortunately, the instance in LA County, the excellent LA County Counsel recognized immediately 20 21 that the Board had erred, and had actually -- and put together a process that allowed us to very quickly 22 23 resolve the issue without it going much further in extensive litigation. 24 25 And so those are examples of, you know, how

the Board training would be helpful, and would have eliminated any litigation to begin with, and how quality counsel is really quite important. Which in this case, we had. And it saved a lot of money and a lot of issue. So that was just a very good example of why this is important.

Another -- one other thing that I had thought about was, you know, I have clients that -- I have clients throughout the country. I have clients who have properties throughout the country. And I deal with -- I oversee that process quite a bit.

12 And a lot of states have multiple levels of 13 appeal. You can appeal locally. If you lose that 14 appeal, you can go to a state level quite often. Some 15 states, litigation is very common and very cheap, you 16 know, in Texas, for example. And California really 17 doesn't have those other options. Your Assessment 18 Appeals Board hearing is pretty much it. That's all you 19 get in many cases.

Litigation is prohibitively expensive. So it has to be a very big case with a lot of dollars at stake for you to even potentially consider litigation.

And that's why it's critically important that the Assessment Appeals Board is well trained and gives good decisions. And also why it's very important that

you have a well-trained County Counsel for that same issue. So those are just a couple of examples of why this really is quite important, and why it's important to us. And we just look forward to sort of being a

6 partner in the process.

7 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

8 With that, those were the two that were9 written. Do we have to go out to AT&T?

10 MS. CICHETTI: Yes, we do.

11 AT&T moderator, can you please let us know if 12 there's anyone on the line who would like to make a 13 public comment regarding this item.

14 AT&T MODERATOR: Of course.

Ladies and gentlemen, once again, if you wish to make a public comment, please press one, then zero at this time.

18 And there's still currently no one queueing up 19 at this time, ma'am.

20 MS. CICHETTI: Thank you.

21 Ready to take roll then.

22 Chair Vazquez.

23 MR. VAZQUEZ: Aye.

24 MS. CICHETTI: Vice Chair Lieber.

25 MS. LIEBER: Aye.

1 MS. CICHETTI: Member Gaines. 2 MR. GAINES: Aye. 3 MS. CICHETTI: Member Schaefer. MR. SCHAEFER: Aye. 4 5 MS. CICHETTI: Thank you. 6 Deputy Controller Emran. 7 MR. EMRAN: Aye. 8 MS. CICHETTI: All right. 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those 10 present. 11 12 ITEM 5 13 14 MS. CICHETTI: The next item is Item 5, 15 Board Member Matters and Initiatives: Proposed 2024 16 Board Meeting Annual Workload Plan: Calendar, Potential 17 Issues List. 18 Further discussion on the proposed 2024 Board 19 Meeting Workload Plan, identifying potential issues, 20 tentatively scheduling key subjects and measures to 21 address, outlining a road map for a maximizing 22 transparency and engagement for all stakeholders over 23 the next year. 24 This item is being presented by Mr. Vazquez. 25 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

1 Members, for your consideration, I distributed 2 to you a memo dated October 24th, 2023 that includes an 3 outline for a draft workload plan for 2024. The purpose of this memo is to develop a 4 5 potential issues workload plan that identifies current 6 issues we are currently working on, as well as areas of 7 interest that our Members have mentioned in the past. 8 The goal is to better plan and prioritize significant matters that need our attention, our 9 10 agendizing topics that require further discussion during 11 our meetings. 12 It will also serve as a resource tool for our Executive Director to prioritize and provide reports 13 14 timely. 15 Furthermore, I hope this will also serve as a 16 fluid roadmap for critical issues facing us today and 17 encounter tomorrow. 18 Lastly and mostly importantly, I hope this 19 document serves as a vehicle that enhances transparency, 20 increases opportunity for public engagement, and 21 solidifies the public's trust of the Board. 22 With this in mind, I am seeking your input and 23 proposals for additional items to be included in this 24 plan. 25 With that, let me open it up to the Members,

1 and see if they have any thoughts or ideas as we move 2 forward.

3 Vice Chair Lieber, go ahead. MS. LIEBER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 5 I'm very glad to see that the dates have been removed, so it's a more fluid document. Because, as we 6 7 know, California is one of the most changeable states in 8 the country. And I think that we have to remain very 9 responsive to the things that are happening in our 10 state, be it fire, flood, etc. 11 And one of the subject matters that I would 12 like to bring forward after our December meeting is what 13 I see as a very pressing concern in terms of the impact 14 of changes in the environment to property values and property taxes in our state. So I think I can bring 15 forward more information. 16 17 And it's good to know that we are not 18 approving anything today, but continuing to gather 19 further information and input. I think that's -- that's 20 where we need to be at. 21 MR. VAZQUEZ: Appreciate your comments. 22 Any other thoughts from any of the other 23 Members? 24 Oh, our Deputy Controller Emran. Go ahead. 25 MR. EMRAN: I want to thank you, Chairman.

1 I want to thank you for your leadership here, 2 and really, really formatting a roadmap into 2024, which 3 I think is going to be the best year ever. One -- one issue that the Controller has 4 5 interest in is the acceptance of electronic signatures as we move into more of a digital era, and finding ways 6 7 to best adapt to that as well. And it would be 8 something she would be really, really interested in exploring in 2024. 9 10 Thank you. 11 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 12 It looks like our Exec -- do you have 13 followup? 14 MS. STOWERS: If you'd like. I -- just brief 15 comments. 16 MR. VAZQUEZ: Sure. Go ahead. 17 MS. STOWERS: Okay. So this really relates to 18 the Board Meeting's agenda and the work priorities that 19 the Board wishes to focus on in 2024. 20 As for the items relating to staff reporting 21 out on operational achievements and such, I don't anticipate this will be an issue, and look forward to 22 23 the opportunity to highlight the agency's success and accomplishments, which is under this Board's leadership. 24 25 However, I would like the opportunity to make

1 modification to the format of some of the report out.
2 And I'm assuming that's possible since you're not
3 adopting anything at this time.

And I bring this up because I note that the 4 5 communication legislation goals and property tax 6 priorities are agency-wide priorities. So, therefore, 7 it would make more sense when I'm reporting out on these 8 items, I do it as an agency, as opposed to the 9 individual departments. 10 I do appreciate flexibility in making the report. And I also want to acknowledge the removal of 11 the dates, so that we could schedule these things out in 12 13 a timely fashion based on need. 14 That's all I have to say at this time. 15 Thank you. 16 MR. VAZQUEZ: Member Gaines, go ahead. 17 MR. GAINES: I was wondering if maybe we can 18 work on developing a more formalized pathway of 19 communication with CDTFA. 20 We're getting a lot of complaints from our 21 County Assessors that phone calls aren't being returned, 22 and things of that sort. 23 So the designation of a key contact with CDTFA, I think, would be very helpful for our County 24 25 Assessors, and I think also for us as Board Members.

1 If we have constituents that are giving us a 2 call, and they're having an issue that they need a 3 response on, I think that would be very helpful. MR. VAZQUEZ: No, that's an excellent point. 4 5 I overlooked that totally. And we get a lot of calls. And I've gotten personal calls from Senators, some of 6 7 the Legislators. They call me thinking that I have some 8 authority or jurisdiction over them. And they're 9 telling me, "Tony, just pick up the phone and call them." And I said I can't. 10 MS. STOWERS: You know, that's a good point, 11 12 Member Gaines and Chair Vazquez. 13 I know the Assessors are -- one of their major 14 complaints is that reporting for Prop. 19. So we did --15 I did designate Mr. Yeung --16 MR. GAINES: Good. 17 MS. STOWERS: -- to be that point of contact. 18 And he is working with CDTFA, and he is 19 communicating back to the Assessors and the Association. 20 And he will continue to do that. 21 MR. GAINES: Wonderful. 22 MS. STOWERS: With respect to regular calls, 23 we all get them. And your offices are really good about reaching out to me directly. And we call them on your 24 25 behalf.

1 We will -- depending on the nature of the 2 incident, we will go directly to their taxpayer rights 3 advocate, and we hope they treat it as priority. And I do believe they do. Just recently redid it -- Chief 4 5 Deputy -- the Chief Deputy, to get immediate action. I think what we probably need to do is 6 7 probably put together a communication protocol and share 8 it with the Members. Although I think you guys have it. 9 But share it with you guys anyway, and then with the 10 Assessors, and let them know that they are having a 11 problem related to sales and use tax or any tax that 12 they administer. 13 They're more than welcome to call us, but they 14 can call them directly, too. But we can be the entity 15 that makes the connection, if that's what they're most 16 comfortable with. 17 MR. GAINES: That'd be great. 18 Yeah, if we could just elevate it, and make it 19 known, so that there's someone they could speak to over 20 there. And if it's a taxpayer rights advocate similar 21 to what we have here, that would probably be a good 22 connection right there. 23 MS. STOWERS: I'll have to double check. I 24 know they have a taxpayer rights advocate. 25 MR. GAINES: Okay.

1 MS. STOWERS: But I don't know if they have what FTB has, which is the Executive Services agent --2 3 Division. And that division is really dedicated to Board Members and the elected officials. 4 5 MR. GAINES: Wonderful. 6 MS. STOWERS: And they get, obviously, a 7 different type of treatment, top, top priority 8 treatment. Not that the constituents aren't getting top 9 priority as well. But I would check with CDTFA, and see 10 how their structure is. 11 MR. GAINES: Thank you. Great. Wonderful. 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: No, I think the complaint we 13 usually get, or I get when I'm talking to, especially 14 legislators, is that they don't get a returned call. 15 It's not like they don't have a number to call. 16 And that's why they're calling me and they 17 say, "Can you just pick up the phone and tell them to do 18 X, Y and Z, "right? And I said, "I can't order them to 19 do anything." 20 MS. STOWERS: I would talk to --21 MR. SCHAEFER: Chair, I have a comment. 22 MR. VAZQUEZ: Sure. Go ahead, Member 23 Schaefer. 24 MR. SCHAEFER: Would it be okay for us to sort 25 of have a general policy that all telephone calls shall

be returned by the next business day? That would be in
 24 hours generally.

And if somebody is out ill, or out for 3 whatever reason, that staff call and say, "There will be 4 5 a delay in responding to you," or, "Can I help you?" I would like to see some general policy coming 6 7 from us to these people if they listen to us. And I'd 8 also like to see a monthly report to our Executive 9 Director as to whether there are any complaints on 10 telephone usage. 11 I think that's one of the most important 12 things in governmental function. And I've grown up in 13 government where it's become a problem. And it doesn't 14 change until the people upstairs, and that's us, have 15 take an interest in it, which we're doing. 16 Thank you. 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: I think the real issue is, you 18 know, it's figuring out what -- I'm not sure what -- who 19 has the best relationship with those folks over there to 20 suggest this. 21 MS. STOWERS: You know, I have regular meetings with their director. Let me take this offline 22 and have a conversation with him. 23 24 MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay. Appreciate it. 25 And with that, if there's no other comments or

1 suggestions, this document, I mean, it looks like -- and 2 I'm hearing some of the suggestions that come up 3 already. What I'll probably do is just get my staff to check in with you folks, so we can hopefully make it all 4 5 inclusive. And then bring it back maybe at the next meeting, and see if people are comfortable. 6 7 Vice Chair. 8 MS. LIEBER: If I could. 9 I -- for certain reasons, I won't be able to 10 bring the completeness of my item back until after our 11 December meeting. 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Oh, okay. We can hold off. 13 MS. LIEBER: If we can hold, that would be 14 fantastic. Thank you. 15 MR. VAZQUEZ: Will do. 16 With that, Ms. Cichetti, if you would call our next item. 17 18 MS. CICHETTI: We usually like to go out for 19 public comment for every single item. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Oh, okay. 21 MS. CICHETTI: Sure. 22 So I don't have anyone in the audience, and I have not received any written comment on this item. So 23 let's go to the AT&T moderator. 24 25 AT&T moderator, is there anyone on the line

1 who would like to make a public comment regarding this 2 item? 3 AT&T MODERATOR: Ladies and gentlemen, if you wish to make a public comment, please press one, then 4 5 zero at this time. 6 And there's still currently no one queueing up 7 at this time, ma'am. 8 MS. CICHETTI: Thank you. 9 All right. So that concludes that item. 10 11 ITEM 6 12 13 MS. CICHETTI: Good afternoon, everyone. 14 Our next item on the agenda is item No. 6, Board Member Matters and Initiatives, Governance Policy 15 16 Review and Updates: Discussion and possible action. 17 This item is being presented by Mr. Vazquez. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 19 Members, as you know, at the November 14, 15, 20 2023 Board Meeting, we will be considering our annual 21 review and update of the Governance Policy. 22 I am proposing that we consider edits, 23 changes, or additions that serve to enhance our transparency, accountability, and overall efficiency in 24 25 moving forward Board-approved initiatives and policies.

Due to changes in the scope and complexity of matters that come before us, it is increasingly important for us to adapt our governance structure and practices accordingly.

5 At the November Board Meeting, I proposed that 6 we review and consider possible amendments to the 7 section on our Work Group policy and charter to identify 8 areas of improvement.

9 In addition, since our Executive Director 10 plays such a pivotable role in the day-to-day operations 11 and strategic direction of our organization, I would 12 like to review the policy to further clarify the role 13 and responsibilities of the Executive Director.

I propose updating the section of the Governance Policy dedicated to the Executive Director's role with the goal of ensuring a well-defined leadership structure that complements our governance framework.

18 With that, I will open it up to any of the
19 Members, and any thoughts they might have or discussion.
20 Yes, Vice Chair Lieber.

MS. LIEBER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So a question that I had in terms of this is if we have suggestions, we should bring those forward at the November meeting. And so then would this come back in December for the final approval?

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Well, we have an option. 2 If, for example, if you have some thoughts, 3 and you -- I know last time you were looking at a couple items. And if you would share it with the Members 4 5 before, we might be able to take action in November. 6 But if you're not prepared, and for whatever 7 reason she presented at the November, we probably 8 wouldn't take action on until December. 9 MS. LIEBER: So the whole package would be in 10 December then? 11 MR. VAZQUEZ: Potentially. 12 MS. LIEBER: Okay. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: We have that flexibility, is my 14 understanding. 15 MS. LIEBER: Because I would really like to 16 continue going through the governance policies to see 17 what I would like to pull out of there. And I'd like to 18 get staff's input, if possible, at the November meeting. 19 And then I know that when it comes to the 20 Executive Director, who is very able and excellent, I 21 think we have to really be briefed on the changes that 22 AB 102 made to things, and what the scope of the Board's 23 action can be. 2.4 And I know that as we grow the Work Groups and

potentially add more, maybe see some that have run their

25

course, potentially be sunset, that it is more of a -our individual staffs are needing to take more responsibility for research and arranging things and so on.

5 And I think that's something that I'm still 6 grappling with in terms of the Work Group that I'd like 7 to suggest, and how we would put that research together 8 that wouldn't be necessary for it, so that, you know, I 9 could -- I could ensure that if I had a Work Group 10 happening, that we had all of our complete package done 11 for that particular meeting.

12 And I know that staff takes a pass at things, 13 and, you know, getting those on particular agendas. So 14 that's something that I'm still kind of grappling with 15 myself.

So I think if we come back in November, and we have our questions more firmly in our minds, and then come back in December for the full package, that would be a very good thing from my perspective.

20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

And it's my understanding -- I'm looking to staff on this -- this is a document that's a pretty live document. So at any time, you know, it's something that we could agendize, if, for example, somebody could find something that needs to be tweaked or added or modified,

1 or even clarified.

2 And I'm looking at our Legal Department. I'm 3 assuming --

MS. HIMOVITZ: Hi. Julia Himovitz again on
behalf of the Legal Department.

6 So you're correct, sir. It is a sort of 7 living document. It is your own Governance Policy.

8 I believe in the past you guys chose, correct 9 me if I'm wrong, but I thought it was November, to just 10 have this as an annual review. And at that time, you 11 would propose and recommend changes.

What I hear from you is that you have some suggested changes that you'd like to recommend. And this is sort of a heads up that you're going to be bringing those for the November meeting.

16

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes.

MS. HIMOVITZ: And what I hear from you, Vice Chair, is that you have some ideas and thoughts. You would also like to have that discussion, and see what changes you want to make.

As the Chair said before, it is a living document. So at any point, you, as the Board, can make changes to your own Governance Policy, obviously within the confines of the law and things like that. But what both of you are proposing is possible.

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Vice Chair, go ahead. 2 MS. LIEBER: If I may. 3 That's very good input to have to form my thinking on this. And I guess what -- I'd like to see 4 5 what my ideas are, and then be able to bring them 6 forward and get the input. So that I'm not putting, you 7 know, other Board Members in the position of I want an 8 up or down vote on it. I know that my thoughts aren't 9 quite formed. 10 But I would like it to be part of that package 11 moving forward, rather than, "Okay, now I'm a month late 12 to catch the train, and now I also want to have a parcel 13 that's on that train." 14 So if we -- if we kind of bring our concepts 15 back, and then in December, if that's not already an 16 overloaded meeting, being able to consider the full

17 package, I think would be a very good thing from my 18 perspective, at least as the newest person here.

Because, you know, as you're grappling with all of the governance policies and thinking through the impacts of them, and I definitely see ways that we could increase the transparency around maybe what the timelines are for bringing Members items up and making sure that we're in advance of a meeting so that things are really publicly accessible before the meeting, and

1 different things like that.

2 But I'd like to be able to bring those up and 3 get reactions, questions, comments, and then have it be part of a package that comes to be considered as one, if 4 5 it's possible. 6 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah, I'm open to that. 7 I was just trying to get this thing moving. 8 And, you're right, I think at the end of the 9 day, I'll check in with staff. Because I know we have 10 possibly some appeals. And we'll take a look in terms 11 of what makes sense in terms of not overloading the 12 agenda.

13 So, you know, we could take a piece of it in 14 November. But, if possible, we could put it off until 15 December to do the whole thing. It'll just be more of 16 agenda management at this point.

Our legal counsel has mentioned, you know, it is a live document. So it's not something that we have to do in November. It's pretty flexible, just as long as we're noticing it and putting it out there.

21 MS. HIMOVITZ: Correct.

22 MR. VAZQUEZ: So, yeah, think about it.

I will get my thoughts out, and get them out to you, and see what reaction we get on that.

25 MS. HIMOVITZ: Just for point of

1 clarification, I've heard November as a timeline for 2 bringing it back, and I've also now heard December. So 3 just so staff is clear on when you want this to be revisited, it might be helpful if we were all in a 4 5 consensus about this item. 6 MR. VAZQUEZ: Looks like the Vice Chair has 7 a --8 MS. LIEBER: Yeah, I'd like to float the idea 9 of taking a first pass at it in November, and being able 10 to have the discussion. And then go to a final adoption 11 for this year in December. 12 And so it should be a brief item in December, because we hopefully would have gotten all of our 13 14 discussion out. 15 But, you know, there could be ideas that in 16 the discussion in November, you know, someone thinks, "Oh, I'd like to add onto that." 17 18 And so rather than having it be just a very ad 19 hoc kind of thing, is sort of pace it out so that we 20 have some breathing room in there. 21 Or if, you know, we have the November meeting, 22 we have a chance to air it, to grapple with the different changes, if there are any that we would like 23 24 to see. But then what always happens to me is on my way 25 driving back home, I think, I wish I had asked for this

1 to be a part of it. Or the next week I say, "Oh, you know, I just talked to my Assessor, and I really wish 2 that I had asked for that." 3 So I think pacing it out over a couple weeks 4 5 would -- or two meetings would maybe be the most 6 organized way. 7 MR. GAINES: I think --8 MR. VAZQUEZ: Member Gaines, go ahead. 9 MR. GAINES: Yeah. 10 Just my kind of natural response would be 11 that, I think the Governance Policy is pretty good as it 12 is, but I'm always open to reviewing it, and updating 13 it, and hearing what's being proposed. 14 But I think, you know, the fact that we put it in place, I think is positive. And if there are 15 16 questions or a lack of clarity on issues, we can always 17 refine that. 18 MS. HIMOVITZ: If I may. 19 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 20 MS. HIMOVITZ: In terms of a timeline, we do 21 have to think about, based on what Vice Chair Lieber is 22 suggesting, allowing people to have time with the 23 thoughts and things like that. 24 PAN, my understanding is PAN day is 25 November 3rd. And so those thoughts and suggestions, if

1 you are wanting things to be more transparent and 2 attached to PAN, would need to be in around that time. 3 And by "around," I mean on that time. I apologize for making it vague. 4 5 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I forgot, because it is a 6 quick -- we have an early meeting in November because of 7 the holidays. 8 MS. HIMOVITZ: Yeah. 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: So I think I'm comfortable with 10 it if people are good. We'll go ahead and put it out in 11 November. Do the -- like the preliminary stuff, and 12 then bring it back for finalization in December. 13 MR. EMRAN: Chair, may I. 14 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah. Go ahead, Deputy 15 Controller. 16 MR. EMRAN: Thank you, Chairman. 17 And I concur with my Board colleagues. I 18 think it's best to take a two-meeting approach here, and 19 to bring it in November. Let's flush it out as best we 20 can. And through our robust discussions, there's always 21 going to be new ideas and policies and procedures that 22 we may add or want to keep. 23 And then in December, if we could head towards a final vote, so in the new year time, we're full speed 24 25 ahead, and we don't look back on this, and continue to

start that great progress that we've started in 2023. 1 2 Thank you. MR. VAZQUEZ: Looks like there's a consensus 3 4 on that. 5 So in terms of staff, we'll approach it that 6 way. 7 MS. HIMOVITZ: Okay. Thank you. 8 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 9 MS. CICHETTI: Just a matter of procedure --10 MR. VAZQUEZ: Do we need to go out on that 11 one, Ms. Cichetti? 12 MS. CICHETTI: We're finished with that one. 13 But just as a moment of procedure, I have not 14 received any public comment, written, and I have no one 15 in the audience. 16 We're going to go to the moderator. 17 AT&T moderator, do we have anyone on the line 18 who would like to make a public comment regarding this 19 item? 20 AT&T MODERATOR: As a reminder, if you'd like 21 to make a public comment, please press one, then zero. 22 We currently have no one in queue. 23 MS. CICHETTI: Thank you, moderator. 24 I'll continue with the next item. 25 (Whereupon the item concluded.)

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	State of California)
3) ss
4	County of Sacramento)
5	
6	I, Jillian Sumner, Hearing Reporter for the
7	California State Board of Equalization, certify that on
8	October 24, 2023, I recorded verbatim, in shorthand, to
9	the best of my ability, the proceedings in the
10	above-entitled hearing; that I transcribed the shorthand
11	writing into typewriting; and that the preceding
12	pages 1 through 79 constitute a complete and accurate
13	transcription of the shorthand writing.
14	
15	Dated: November 9, 2023
16	
17	
18	Jillian Summer
19	JILLIAN SUMNER, CSR #13619
20	Hearing Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	