BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDED BOARD MEETING

MAY 25, 2021

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

Present: ANTONIO VAZQUEZ, Chair

MIKE SCHAEFER, Vice Chair

TED GAINES, Board Member

MALIA M. COHEN, Board Member

BETTY YEE, State Controller

YVETTE STOWERS, Deputy Controller

BRENDA FLEMING, Executive Director

Transcribed by: Klancie Garrel,

eScribers, LLC

Phoenix, Arizona

--000--

TRANSCRIBED RECORDED BOARD MEETING

May 25, 2021

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Good morning. We're ready to call
the board meeting to order.

If Ms. Taylor, could please call the roll?

MS. TAYLOR: Certainly.

Chairman Vazquez?

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Present.

MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer?

VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Good morning, Vice Chair here.

MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines?

MR. GAINES: Present.

MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen?

MS. COHEN: Present.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MS. COHEN: Can you hear me?

MS. TAYLOR: And Controller Yee?

MS. YEE: Here.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: We have a quorum.

MS. YEE: Controller Yee here.

22 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Good morning, all. And with that,

23 | let me just -- if I could get you to stand, we'll start

24 | with the pledge of allegiance.

IN UNISON: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the

United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

With that, Members, let me just -- once again, a friendly reminder that we are all sharing the one line here, and if we would just have patience, which we've had in the past. And just a friendly reminder again, because we're all sharing the same line, please, if you could just wait until after the item has been concluded to be recognized. And then I will identify the speakers so our transcriptionists can clearly hear and properly record this meeting. Thank you for our patience and your cooperation.

Members, do we have any opening remarks or comments before we start our order of business? And I see a hand already.

Member Cohen, yes, go ahead. And then Vice Chair Schaefer, go ahead.

MS. COHEN: Vice Chair Schaefer you can (indiscernible) to me.

VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Pardon me, Member Cohen? Are you waiting for me to proceed?

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I believe she said to go ahead.

VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Okay. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This month, President Joe Biden proclaimed May Asian American and Native Hawaiian Pacific Islander Heritage month to celebrate the history and the achievements of our Americans across the country from these categories. This practice started back in 1978. When Jimmy Carter was our president, he proclaimed the week of May 4th as Asian American -- Asian Pacific American Week. They extended it to be the whole month of May back in 1990.

2.3

This all arose because the first Japanese immigrant to come to America arrived on May 7th, 1843. The administration of President Biden and Vice President Harris, the first AAPI person to serve as Vice President of the United States, took decisive action to combat the rising hate crimes directed against these communities by signing a bipartisan COVID 19 crimes -- hate crimes act into law just this last week.

I would like to take this moment to pay tribute to some of my constituents who are members of the -- these communities. We have Garden Grove Mayer Pro Tem Kim Nguyen, one of the -- California's youngest elected official who also serves on the California Film Commission.

We also have members of our Board of Equalization family who are members of this community, including our

Board colleague, State Controller Betty Yee; our legal counsel, Henry Nanjo; David Yeung, Chief of our Assessed Properties Division; and Peter Kim, our newly acquired Chief Communications Officer; and Laura SooHoo, accomplished executive assistant to our Executive Director, Brenda Fleming, among the many outstanding members that work for the people of California as part of our talented Board of Equalization staff.

Your contributions to our community positively our diverse culture, our economy, educational advancement and many other attributes that enrich our state, cities, and our communities large and small, such as Judy Ki, a resident San Diego County who has served a distinguished career in education for three decades, and is a tireless advocate for voter and civic engagement and animal protection lobbyist for the Humane Society, an exceptional and inspiring member from the Asian and Pacific Islander communities.

Judy Ki's also serves as commission of the

California Commission on Asian Pacific and Islander

American Affairs. She currently serves on Mayor Todd

Gloria's Asian and Pacific Islander advisory group in

Sand Diego. Mayor Gloria is the first person of these

communities to serve as mayor of San Diego.

Thank you, Mayor Gloria, for all you do for us.

I'd like to honor Congressman Mark Takano of
Riverside County, who was elected in 2012, also has the
honor being the first openly gay person of color to be
elected to congress. He serves as the stateman in our
41st Congressional District. In Orange County, where we
have the third largest Asian American population in the
United States, we have -- well I've already covered that.
We have a US Congressman Min from Orange County too -State senator, pardon me, State senator.

I really should have (indiscernible).

2.3

I want to thank you all for your tremendous contributions and service to California. And happy Asian American and Native Hawaiian Pacific Islander Heritage Month to each and all of you from all the counties in District 4. Thanks.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you, Vice Chair. And I would extend it to the State of California, as well.

And with that, let me have Member Cohen. I think you're muted. There you go.

MS. COHEN: Can you hear me? I'm having some technical difficulties. I think I'm unmuted now. Is that right?

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I can hear you now.

MS. COHEN: Okay great.

Good morning, everyone. I'm excited to be here.

May has turned out to be a very beautiful month here in San Francisco Bay area. I do look forward to one day seeing you all in person, hopefully one day soon. But I, Chair, just wanted to say a few remarks.

2.2

2.3

As we close out the month of May, I'd like to -- for the Board to take a moment to celebrate the role of our small businesses in our country during this month of May. Also want to recognize that it's Asian Pacific Heritage Month, as well, where we celebrate the contributions that Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders have made to our state, sometimes overlooked. But now is the time, more than ever, for us to stand up and stop Asian hate and stand with our Asian American brothers and sisters.

And also affirming small business month also falls in May. And this year, acknowledging, uplifting, and supporting all small businesses, particularly those that have played a really critical function in the battle to fight the pandemic. Many small businesses we have lost, unfortunately, due to pandemic, due to finances for a whole host of reasons. I do hope that they will come back. I know San Francisco lost many of my favorite restaurants.

And I see Betty Yee nodding her head. She's probably very familiar with some of the restaurants -- old anchor restaurants, particularly in Chinatown, that

we have -- that we've lost.

So in California, just a note of a fact here, there are over four million small businesses, and prior to the pandemic, over 1.6 million were owned by individuals of people of color. I think that's really important to note the contributions that these communities of color are making. Our small businesses -- we say it all the time, they're the backbone of our economy and that they've contributed greatly to the innovations of this country.

This year, our small businesses, as I've mentioned, have faced, and continue to face, unprecedented challenges and financial hardships. So as the state begins to open up, let's go ahead and support our small businesses as much as we can. Just want to also note that we, as a Board, have taken various steps to assist the small businesses. And I'm sure I am not alone when I say that I remain committed to assisting the small businesses community in making sure that we recover from this pandemic fully.

Also, on a note, I'd like to recognize and uplift the memory of George Floyd. The murder of this man changed the -- I think the discussion of civil rights, changed the course of police reform, not only in the State of California, not only in Minnesota, but also across the entire country. And for those of you that

don't know, when I'm not doing BOE work, I'm president of the police commission in San Francisco. And so community police reform is incredibly important to me, personally.

And as a member of the African American community, it's wholeheartedly at the forefront of my mind and the core of my life service.

2.3

So colleagues, I hope that will join me and the governor, and the legislature and acknowledge small business month -- small businesses during small business month of May. Also recognizing API Heritage Month in the month of May. And also the anniversary of the untimely, tragic death of George Floyd.

That's a lot to celebrate and a lot to be able to acknowledge, but as we continue to roll up our sleeves and do our work, let's keep our hearts and our minds focused on why, and for whom, we serve.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, I appreciate it.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Thank you both. And -- oh I see another hand.

I see our Controller. Go ahead. Ready, Controller, go ahead. I think you're muted. All right now.

MS. YEE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

First of all, to my colleagues, thank you for the acknowledgements during this month of May.

I really just wanted to, first, just say how

grateful the AAPI community is to see so many communities standing in solidarity with us to fight back hate and discrimination. And really, I think that's a recognition across all of our communities that the only way to beat back hate and discrimination is to stand in solidarity. And the allyship that our community has received has just been so moving. And we're very grateful for that.

You know, it's -- this is a time when we celebrate

AAPI heritage month and yet -- and a time that we really

lift up the stories of our AAPI sisters and brothers who

came before us. And I particularly appreciated Member

Cohen's comments that, you know, there's so many stories

that are before us right now in our own communities. And

particularly, as we celebrate small business week and the

anniversary of the death of George Floyd, I hope that as

we continue to move forward to do our work together that

equity and justice is really the foundation and core from

which we do our work.

I know we are limited in terms of our scope but equity and justice is always, always appropriate in terms of how we create and implement good policy. So I very much appreciate the comments from my colleagues this morning. Thank you.

24 | CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

And I see a hand up from Member Gaines.

MR. GAINES: Oh great. Yeah. Thank you so much.

2.3

I, too, just wanted to recognize small business owners. I am a small business owner and I was fortunate in that our business was not affected nearly as harshly as many of the small businesses throughout the State of California, particularly restaurants and salons. And so my hope is that the State will be opened sooner than later and that people can get back to work and we can get our lives pulled back together.

I think we've probably learned some things as a result of the pandemic. The value of friends, and spouses, and families. And may be time to reflect on our lives and what we're doing in our lives. And I think our economy's changing too, that we all figured out that a lot of us can work out of the home and be just as effective in doing so. And with respect to George Floyd, my prayer would be for unity in our country. And we've had challenges in the past and we continue to have challenges. And that my hope would be that we could overcome those as a united country.

And with regard to Asian American and Pacific

Islander Heritage month, big challenges have come up

recently. And there's a history of challenges in the

Asian community. So my hope, again, is that we would be

reaching out to one another and that we would make sure

that there is justice and that people -- that all people are treated in a fair fashion, in terms of any injustice that may be in their way, and that we would be willing to recognize that and solve that.

And that's something that happens continuously and should happen continuously here in California and in our nation. And then just, finally, I'm just so thankful for our grandchildren. We had a grandson delivered April 2nd. We've to three more on the way from our other three daughters. And so I'm just grateful that — for that opportunity of life within our family, and the opportunities that exist in this great nation of ours and in this great state.

So I'm very happy and optimistic about 2021. I think it's going to be a great year. So thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you and congratulations on contributing to our population here in California, as we shrink in some respects but grow in others.

Yes, I would like to just ditto on all the comments that I've heard today from my colleagues. And the only thing I would just add is that, as we speak, I understand this week, the Assembly and the Senate will be taking up an item -- a bill which talks about including ethnic studies as part of the curriculum in our public schools. And you know, as we hear about, not only this incident

that just took place, you know -- or the anniversary now of Mr. Floyd, but then also, what we're seeing and hearing now, what's going on in the Asian community, I think the more we can do to understand each other's cultures, language, the better off we're going to be.

But I understand there's been some pushback and I think, you know, for all of us that represent different parts of the State of California, we should definitely reach out to our assembly members, our senators, to make sure they support this because I think knowledge and information is so powerful in these days. And I think, until we begin to understand people's cultures and language, we're going to continue to see these racist attacks on different ethnic groups.

So with that, let me just turn it over the Ms.

Taylor. If you would, please, announce the first order of business?

MS. TAYLOR: Certainly.

2.3

Our first order of business is an announcement regarding our public teleconference participation.

Good morning and thank you for joining today's Board of Equalization meeting via teleconference. Throughout the duration of today's meeting, you will primarily be in a listen-only mode. As you may know from our public agenda notice and our website, we have requested that

individuals who wish to make a public comment, fill out a public comment submission form found on our additional information webpage in advance of today's meeting, or alternatively, participate in today's meeting by providing your public comment live.

After the presentation of an item has concluded, we will begin by identifying any public comment requests that have been received by our Board proceedings staff, with the AT&T operator providing directions for you to identify yourself. After all known public commenters have been called, the operator will also provide public comment instructions to the individuals participating via teleconference.

Accordingly, if you intend to make a public comment today, we recommend dialing into the meeting on the teleconference line, as the audio broadcast on our website experiences a one to three-minute delay. When giving a public comment, please limit your remarks to three minutes. We ask that everyone who is not intending to make a public comment, please mute their line or minimize background noise.

If there are technical difficulties when we are in the public comment portion of our meeting, we will do our best to read submitted comments into the record at appropriate times. Thank you for your patience and

understanding.

2.3

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you Ms. Taylor. If you would, please, call our next item?

MS. TAYLOR: Our first item is AA, State-Assessed Properties Value Setting, Property Tax Matters, constitutional function.

The Board sets the unitary values of state-assessed properties annually on or before May 31st, pursuant to constitutional and statutory law. Contribution

Disclosure forms are not required pursuant to Government Code Section 15626. This is a constitutional function.

This item will be presented by Mr. McCool.

MR. MCCOOL: Good morning Chairman Vazquez and
Members of the Board. I'm Jack McCool, Chief of the
State Assessed Properties Division. With me as well
today is Michelle Cruz, Supervising Property Appraiser of
our Unitary Evaluation and Auditing Unit.

Today, we fulfill our constitutional obligation to set the values for 341 public utility companies operating in California. Before we begin, I would like to thank the State Assessed Properties Division staff for their continued hard work and dedication this appraisal season. It's much appreciated.

Before you this morning is the value setting workbook that was previously provided. The workbook is

1 arranged into five industry groups. An asterisk appears
2 next to the companies proposed as consent items.

Companies appear as a consent item when their lead value and staff recommendation are the same. Non-consent items are shaded in gray and represent companies whose staff recommendation differs from their lead value.

The 2021 lead values are computed using the same value indicator weightings as the 2020 final value determined by the Board after appeals.

Mr. Chairman, if you are ready, I can introduce the first industry. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes, why don't you go ahead and do that.

MR. MCCOOL: The first industry group is the Electric Generation Industry.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

2.3

You know, I would like to just comment on -- and really just kind of compliment the entire staff, you know, for your hard work in meeting this deadline. I know that there's been extra challenges with COVID 19, you know, and the shutdowns. But you guys did an amazing job of putting all this thing together and remembering that we are under a crunch here. And I just wanted to recognize you and thank you for that.

And I see several hands going up. Let me take a

couple hands and then I have a couple comments that I will add, as well.

2.3

I see our controller, Betty Yee, with her hand up.

MS. YEE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Wanted to add to your comments and thanking the staff. This is always, kind of, a compressed timeline with all the information that's submitted. So very, very grateful for the staff work to get the proposed valuations before us.

But I wanted to make a request -- and perhaps this can happen -- we're kind of in the middle of a cycle now, but perhaps it can happen the next cycle before we actually convene in May. There are a lot of changes happening in several of these industry groups and I'm wondering if we could maybe have a little bit more of a extended discussion about just some of the future trends that are going to be affecting some of these industries?

I'm going to -- I know we'll -- I'll have some comments on some of them, specifically, later but it seems to me, particularly with -- and looking at electric generation, for example, there were some impacts with respect to supply and demand during COVID. But also, as we're seeing municipalities, and certainly the debate about the future of natural gas and what that means, you know -- but potentially for evaluation in the future.

I guess I'm just wanting a little bit more of

flavor, with respect to trends that we may expect, whether they're imposed by regulation or you know, from other sources just to put some better perspective on this. And I'm thinking more about the public process that we go through to set these value and then, obviously, to hear any appeals before the end of the calendar year.

2.3

But I just don't want this to be an exercise of where we're, you know, kind of, approving thirty-four companies on a consent agenda and not really having more flavor around what's happening with these companies and what does it mean for -- for me, anyway. It's just instructive in terms of the larger economic, you know, kind of picture. But I think just to prepare ourselves for what's to come in the future relative to the impacts of the valuations for these properties -- unitary properties of these companies.

As we look at environmental changes, certainly the wildfires with -- particularly another one of our industry groups. So I'm just request that when we're out of the value setting appeals timeline, whether we can have some more of that, you know, just contextual discussion.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I agree. I appreciate your comments. I was going to be kind of heading in that same

direction. But let me see what Member Gaines -- I believe he has his hand up as well.

MR. GAINES: Yes, thank you, Chair Vazquez.

I just wanted to make a clarification in terms of the vote that due to a financial interest, I will not participate with respect to Pacific Corp. That's number 106 on the agenda, Sierra Pacific Power, number 146; Kern River Gas Transmission Company, number 188; and BNSF Railway Company, number 804. So I will not be voting on those particular items, but I will be voting on the rest if we move forward with a motion at the appropriate time.

And I also just wanted to echo the comments, both by Chair Vazquez and Controller Yee. I like that idea that we would kind of go through this and have a little bit better understanding of what's going on with these companies and what is the dynamic within our state would be very helpful in the future. Maybe that's even something we could do. You know, I'm wondering on the timeliness of it as to what would be the best time in the calendar year to do that too. But thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Let me ask our Executive Director Brenda Fleming, would it be appropriate to move forward with this and have our discussion and vote, and then possibly, agendize this; is this something we could agendize for our June

meeting or something later?

2.0

2.1

MS. FLEMING: Absolutely --

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I see a nod from our controller.

MS. YEE: Mr. Chairman, if I could?

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes, go ahead.

MS. YEE: I actually was proposing this for next year. I think it's inappropriate to do it while we're in the middle of our value setting and potential appeals process for this year. But I'm think about January, probably through March timeframe. I know we have a hearing on the cap rate but we don't really, kind of, back up and kind of look at it from a broader contextual perspective. And these companies are undergoing tremendous change. And maybe not for the lien date that we're focused on before us but certainly for the future in terms of what some of the trends to be expected might be.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Well, I think you answered my question.

Let me -- why don't we do this though because I -- listening to Member Gaines, did the staff -- were they able to take note of the ones he was not able to participate. Maybe let's discuss and comment on those that he can, take a motion on those, and then will allow

25 them to step out while we discuss the other I think -- I

1 | believe there was three others that he will not 2 | participate in.

MR. MCCOOL: Mr. Chairman --

2.3

MR. GAINES: Thank you, Chair Vazquez.

MR. MCCOOL: Mr. Chairman this is --

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes, go ahead.

MR. MCCOOL: -- Jack McCool, once again. I've made note of the companies. And as we approach those industries, I will specifically refer to Mr. -- Member Gaines's participation on those items. And none of the affected companies are before the Board in the first industry group, which is the electric generation group.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay. Why don't we take those up then first, then.

MS. YEE: This is -- Mr. Chairman, I'm happy to move the consent agenda for the thirty-four items that appear on it in this industry group.

VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: I would second that. Vice Chair.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay. It's been move by our Controller and second by our Vice Chair. Any hands? I don't see any hands. Any comments, questions? Seeing none.

If I could get Ms. Taylor to please call the roll on that?

1	MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez?
2	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer?
4	VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines?
6	MR. GAINES: Aye.
7	MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen?
8	MS. COHEN: Aye.
9	MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee?
10	MS. YEE: Aye.
11	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those
12	present.
13	Mr. McCool, can you go on with the next group.
14	MR. MCCOOL: It would be non-consent items for the
15	electric generation industry.
16	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: And I'm assuming those don't include
17	any of the items that Member Gaines was referring to,
18	right?
19	MR. MCCOOL: Correct.
20	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I'd like to entertain a motion to
21	approve those consent, non-consent.
22	MS. YEE: So those are non-consent items. I'll
23	approve the staff recommendation.
24	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been moved by our Controller.

25 | I will go ahead and second that. Seeing no hands, or

1 comments, or questions. 2 Ms. Taylor, if you'd please call the roll? MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez? 3 MR. NANJO: I'm sorry, Chairman --4 5 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh --MR. NANJO: Chairman Vazquez, before we take a vote 6 7 on these, we should make a call for public comment. 8 Again, public comment is generally advisable before each 9 vote. 10 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you, thank you. I wasn't sure 11 if we were going to do it at the end or between each 12 motion. You're probably correct, we should -- why don't 13 we -- let's back up. 14 So we need to go back to the first one? 15 MR. NANJO: That's correct. My apologies for not 16 being fast enough on the button. 17 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Not a problem. 18 Ms. Taylor, do we have any written comments first on our first motion? 19 20 MS. TAYLOR: We do not have any written comments on 21 our first motion. 22 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T if there's 23 anybody on the line that wishes to speak on those consent items first? 24

MS. TAYLOR: AT&T moderator, can you let us know if

25

there is anyone who would like to make a public comment on this matter?

2.3

Each comment will have -- caller will have up to three minutes to speak. For the record, a caller may provide their name.

AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. If anyone wishes to make a comment, please press 1 then 0. You hear a tone and can be placed into the queue. You may remove yourself from the queue at any time by pressing 1 then 0, again. If using a speakerphone, please pick up the handset before any of the numbers.

And I show that there are currently none queuing up at this time.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. So just procedurally, do we need to retake that first vote or are we okay to move forward, Mr. Nanjo?

MR. NANJO: Was that -- I'm sorry, was that public comment for both items? If it was and we had no public comment, then we would not need to repeat the vote.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Actually, I was -- I think we were just asking for the consent item on this one. The first one.

MR. NANJO: So what I would recommend is, go ahead and ask for public comment on the first item. If there was none, then we will not have to retake the vote.

1 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: That's what we just did. 2 MR. NANJO: Okay. Then you should be good. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: All right. So now, before we vote 3 on the non-consent items, let's ask if there's any 4 5 written comments, Ms. Taylor? MS. TAYLOR: Thank you, Chairman. There are no 6 7 written comments. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Let's check with AT&T if there's 8 9 anybody on the line on the non-consent items? 10 MS. TAYLOR: AT&T -- yes. 11 AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there's 12 anyone who wants to make a public comment on the non-13 consent matter? 14 Each item -- each caller will have up to three 15 minutes to speak. For the record, a caller may provide their name. 16 17 AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. And once again, if you 18 wish to ask a question or make a comment, please press 1 19 then 0.20 And there is still currently none queuing up. 21 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 22 Okay. Ms. Taylor, now let's a vote on the second 23 motion, which was the non-consent items that was moved by 24 the controller and second by myself?

MS. TAYLOR: Certainly.

25

1 Chairman Vazquez? 2 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer? 3 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: 4 5 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines? MR. GAINES: Aye. 6 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen? 7 MS. COHEN: Aye. 8 MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee? 9 10 MS. YEE: Aye. 11 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of those 12 present. 13 Mr. McCool, if you can continue? 14 MR. MCCOOL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 The second industry is the Energy Industry, 16 comprising gas and electric companies. The consent items 17 will not include Pacific Corp, number 106; Sierra Pacific 18 Power, number 146; and Kern River Gas Transmission Company, number 188, due to Member Gaines not 19 20 participating. 21 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Once again, you mentioned -- you 22 just mentioned which ones were not. Was that including 2.3 Edison or not including Edison? 24 MR. MCCOOL: Mr. Gaines did not specifically mention

Southern California Edison but that --

25

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay.

2.3

MR. MCCOOL: -- is included in this industry group.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I just have one quick question then, in regards to Southern California Edison's, you know, the case regarding the allowance for insurance expenses. You know, that has that SAPD pushed out the guidelines to similar entities so that they understand fully how they can properly account for insurance expenses on their books so that we would allow -- so it'd be allowable in the future. I just want to know if you had any comments on that?

MR. MCCOOL: Yes, thank you for the question. Our regular insurance expense is considered an allowed expense, an allowed operating expense by SAPD. And that is consistent with guidelines that are already published in, both, the assessor's handbook and our unitary evaluation methods handbook. So we do consider the regular insurance expenses to be an allowed operating expense. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Any other comments or questions from any of the members? Seeing and hearing none. I'd like to entertain a motion to approve the staff recommendation on this.

MS. YEE: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

MR. GAINES: Second.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been moved by our controller
and second by our vice chair. Seeing no hands, or
comments, or questions.
Ms. Taylor, I guess, do we have any written comments
on this?
MS. TAYLOR: We do not have any written comments.
CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T is there's
anybody on the line that wishes to speak on this item
before
MR. GAINES: Chair Vazquez?
CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. Member Gaines, go
ahead.
MR. GAINES: Clarification, I made the second. I
don't know if Member Schaefer also did too, but I just
want to clarify for the record.
VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: I was
CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh I'm sorry
VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Vice Chair Schaefer. I defer
to Member Gaines because I was about to make a second but
I think his was already on record.
MR. GAINES: As long as we have it accurate
CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's
MR. GAINES: As long as it's accurate in the record,
either way. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Not a problem. I didn't catch that.

25

Okay.

Ms. Taylor, did you say -- let's open up the line.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes. AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter?

Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. For the record, a caller may provide their name.

AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. And once again, if you wish to make a comment, pleas press 1 then 0.

And there's still currently no one queuing up to comment.

MS. YEE: Mr. Chairman, I have a question.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh yes, Controller Yee, go ahead.

MS. YEE: I'm sorry, just kind of reading my notes after reviewing the materials. And really a question to staff on this particular industry group. And that is, with the legislation, AB 1054, that was enacted that requires electric companies to pay into wildfire fund. My question really has to do with whether the re -- the provisions of that bill, kind of, synched up with our valuation practices. So in other words, were the terms actually easily discernable, understandable, comprehensible for purposes of how we characterize those payments for valuation purposes?

MR. MCCOOL: Thank you for the question, Controller

1 Stretch but yes, our staff has poured a tremendous amount of time analyzing AB 1054 and the language therein. And for the -- really for the first time 3 between the PUC rate case decision in December of 2020, 4 5 along with AB 1054, We finally had some concrete guidance that allowed our staff to make adjustments for the 6 7 increased risk due to climate change and wildfires. So to the extent it was lining up with our 8 9 practices, we were able to finally have some framework 10 that the legislation and regulatory action provided. 11 MS. YEE: It was the combination of the two though, 12 right? 13 MR. MCCOOL: Correct. 14 MS. YEE: Okay. So no further legislative 15 clarification required? 16 MR. MCCOOL: Not from our perspective, from the 17 assessment perspective, no. And --18 MS. YEE: Okay. 19 MR. MCCOOL: -- you know, it's something we will 20 continue to watch very, very closely. 21 MS. YEE: Okay. Good. I appreciate that. 22 you. 2.3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you.

Any other comments? Questions? Seeing none.

24

25

CHAIR VAZQUEZ:

```
1
         Ms. Taylor, you said we had no written comments and
    we already checked with the public on this one, as well.
 3
    Can you please call the roll on this motion?
         MS. TAYLOR: Yes. Chairman Vazquez?
 4
 5
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye.
         MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer?
 6
 7
         VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER:
 8
         MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines?
         MR. GAINES: Aye.
 9
10
         MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen?
11
         MS. COHEN: Aye.
         MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee?
12
13
         MS. YEE: Aye.
14
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of those
15
   present.
         Mr. McCool, if you would continue with the third
16
17
    industry?
18
         MR. MCCOOL: Mr. Chairman, we have one non-consent
    item for the energy industry remaining for the Board's
19
20
    consideration.
21
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: And is that the pipeline companies;
22
    is that what you're referring to?
2.3
         MR. MCCOOL: We are still on the second industry
24
    group, the --
```

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh, I'm sorry.

25

MR. MCCOOL: -- energy group, gas companies. And we have -- the Board has adopted the consent items. There is one non-consent item in this industry group.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Alrighty. Now, are these ones that Member Gaines is going to step out on?

MR. MCCOOL: No, that will be our next item. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Alrighty.

MS. YEE: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes? Go ahead, Member --

MS. YEE: Sorry for interrupting. Yeah, this is the non-consent agenda item, which I think involves a new assessee for 2021. So I'm happy to move the staff recommendation but I did have a question.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Sure, go ahead.

MS. YEE: Okay. So the question is, I guess I'm surprised to see for this -- and I think there was another industry group or two that had new assessees for 2021. I guess in my own head, I keep thinking that we're kind of looking at these industries as moving in the other direction where we're not having new assessees but that, probably, consolidation or less numbers of them. Any staff perspective on that?

MR. MCCOOL: Thank you for the question, Controller

Yee. Yeah, from -- generally, it's for -- from the

utility side, it is, perhaps, a little bit unusual to see new utilities appear. I think in -- generally speaking, we have instances where we have parent companies that may operate multiple utilities and some sort of transaction occurs within that parent company where individual entities might be spun off and now have created a new entity that, from our assessment perspective, has to be given an assessee number and is considered a standalone entity going forward.

MS. YEE: Oh, I see.

2.3

MR. MCCOOL: So generally speaking, we have quite a lot of consolidation and mergers in for example the telecom industry. But yes, for the energy companies and pipeline companies, it tends to be related to activities by parent companies.

MS. YEE: I got it. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

So that's been moved by our Controller and I will go ahead and second that. Are there any other comments, questions, concerns? Seeing and hearing none.

Ms. Taylor, do we have any written comments on this one, this item?

MS. TAYLOR: Chair Vazquez, we do not have any written comments.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T if there's

1	anybody on the line?
2	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
3	AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is
4	anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter?
5	Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak.
6	For the record, a caller may provide their name.
7	AT&T MODERATOR: Of course.
8	Once again, if you wish to make a comment, please
9	press 1 then 0.
10	And there's still currently no one queuing up to
11	comment.
12	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
13	With that, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the
14	roll on the motion?
15	MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez?
16	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer?
18	VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye.
19	MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines?
20	MR. GAINES: Aye.
21	MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen?
22	MS. COHEN: Aye.
23	MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee?
24	MS. YEE: Aye.

25

1 present. 2 Now, with that, let me have Mr. McCool go on. believe we're on the third industry, is that correct? 3 4 MR. MCCOOL: Not quite yet there yet, Mr. Chairman. 5 We have --CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh, we're still missing one. 6 7 MR. MCCOOL: -- the non-participation items in the 8 energy industry. The non-participation companies for --9 Mr. Gaines will not be participating in this vote. This 10 is for Pacific Corp, number 106; Sierra Pacific Power, 11 number 146; and Kern River Gas Transmission Company, 12 number 188. 13 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay, Members, do we have any 14 comments or questions about these items? 15 MS. YEE: Mr. Chairman, I'm prepared to move the staff recommendation on those three items. 16 17 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 18 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Vice Chair Schaefer. 19 second that. 20 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: And then, it's been moved and 21 seconded by our vice chair. And with that, if there's no 22 other hands or comments, let me see if there's any 2.3 written comments on this.

-37-

We do not have any written comments.

24

25

Ms. Taylor?

MS. TAYLOR:

1 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T? 2 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is 3 anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter? 4 5 Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. 6 For the record, a caller may provide their name. 7 AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. Once again, if you wish 8 to make a comment, please press 1 then 0. 9 And there is currently no one queuing up to comment. 10 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 11 With that, we will call the roll. And just for the 12 record, Member Gaines is not participating in this vote. 13 Ms. Taylor, if you'd please call the roll on the 14 motion? 15 MR. GAINES: Clarification if I could? Should I 16 abstain in this vote? Should I verbally abstain? 17 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I guess that -- let me see. 18 see if -- Mr. Nanjo, is that appropriate? 19 MR. NANJO: At this point, it would be more 20 appropriate -- the appropriate response for Member Gaines 21 would be for him not to participate. 22 MR. GAINES: Okay. Thank you. 2.3 MR. NANJO: Thank you. 24 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 25 All right. Member -- excuse me, Ms. Taylor, if

1 you'd please call the roll on the motion? 2 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. Chairman Vazquez? 3 4 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer? 5 6 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye. 7 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines, not participating. Member Cohen? 8 9 MS. COHEN: Aye. 10 MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee? 11 MS. YEE: Aye. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of those that 12 13 are able to participate. 14 With that, Mr. McCool, if you would continue? 15 MR. MCCOOL: The third industry group is the 16 pipeline industry. We have both consent and non-consent 17 companies within the pipeline industry. 18 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay, Members, this is now before 19 us. Any comments or questions about the pipeline 20 companies? Seeing and hearing none. I'd like to 21 entertain a motion to approve the staff recommendation on 22 this. 2.3 MS. YEE: Mr. Chairman, I'll move the consent 24 agenda.

It's been moved by our controller.

25

CHAIR VAZQUEZ:

1 I will --2 MR. GAINES: Second --CHAIR VAZQUEZ: -- second that. 3 4 MR. GAINES: That's okay. 5 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh Member Gaines, go ahead. Member Gaines seconds this. Seeing no hands, 6 7 comments or questions. Let's check, do we have any written comments on 8 9 this, Ms. Taylor? 10 MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez, we do not have any 11 written comments. 12 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T for anybody 13 on the line? 14 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. 15 AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is 16 anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter? 17 Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. For the record, a caller may leave their name. 18 19 AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. 20 And as a reminder, if you wish to make a comment, 21 please press 1 and then 0. 22 And there's currently no one queuing up for -- at 2.3 this time. 24 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 25 Ms. Taylor, if you'd please call the roll on this

-40-

1 motion? 2 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. Chairman Vazquez? 3 4 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye. 5 MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer? VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye. 6 7 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines? 8 MR. GAINES: Aye. 9 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen? 10 MS. COHEN: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee? 11 MS. YEE: Aye. 12 13 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those 14 present. 15 Mr. McCool, if you would, please, continue? 16 MR. MCCOOL: We have a non-consent item for the 17 pipeline industry. 18 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Mr. McCool, we're referring now to 19 the railroad companies; is that correct? 20 MR. MCCOOL: We have one non-consent company in the 21 pipeline industry that needs the Board's consideration. 22 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. 23 Do we have any comments or questions about the last 24 item on the pipelines? Seeing and hearing none.

like to entertain a motion to move staff recommendation.

1	MS. YEE: So moved, Mr. Chair.
2	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been moved by our Controller.
3	I will second that. Seeing no hands or comments.
4	Ms. Taylor, if you'd please well, do we have any
5	written comments on this on this one, Ms. Taylor?
6	MS. TAYLOR: We do not have any written comments.
7	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T now, see if
8	anybody's on the line?
9	MS. TAYLOR: Yes, please.
10	AT&T moderator, can you please let us know if there
11	is anyone who wants to make a public comment on this
12	matter?
13	Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak.
14	For the record, a call may provide their name.
15	AT&T MODERATOR: Of course.
16	And once again, as a reminder, if you wish to make a
17	comment, please press 1 then 0.
18	And there is currently no one queuing up to comment.
19	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
20	With that, Ms. Taylor, if you'd please call the
21	roll?
22	MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez?
23	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye.
24	MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer?
25	VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye42-

1 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines? 2 MR. GAINES: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen? 3 MS. COHEN: Aye. 4 5 MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee? 6 MS. YEE: Aye. 7 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of those 8 present. 9 With that, Mr. McCool, if you would continue? 10 MR. MCCOOL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We are now on 11 to the railroad industry consent items, with the exception of number -- of BNSF Railway Company, number 12 13 804, due to Member Gaines not participating. 14 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Members, do we have any comments or 15 questions on the railroad companies, excluding the one, 16 BFN (sic)? Seeing and hearing none. I'd like to 17 entertain a motion to approve the staff recommendation. 18 MS. YEE: So moved --MR. GAINES: So moved. 19 20 MS. YEE: -- Mr. Chairman. 21 MR. GAINES: Seconded. 22 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Moved by our Controller. And I'll 2.3 have Member Gaines be the second since he was right 24 behind it on this one. Seeing no other hands or

25

comments.

1	If I could ask, Ms. Taylor, is there any written
2	comments on the railroad companies?
3	MS. TAYLOR: We do not have any written comments on
4	the railroad companies.
5	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T?
6	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
7	AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is
8	anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter?
9	Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak.
10	For the record, a caller may provide their name.
11	AT&T MODERATOR: Of course.
12	Once again, if you wish to make a public comment,
13	please press 1 then 0.
14	And there is currently no one queuing up to comment.
15	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
16	With that, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the
17	roll?
18	MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez?
19	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer?
21	VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye.
22	MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines?
23	MR. GAINES: Aye.
24	MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen?
25	MS. COHEN: Aye.

MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee? 1 2 MS. YEE: Aye. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those 3 4 present. 5 Mr. McCool, if you would please continue? 6 MR. MCCOOL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, we have one 7 non-consent item for the railroad industry. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: This last item, do we have any 8 9 comments or questions on this item? Seeing and hearing 10 none. Like to entertain a motion to approve the staff 11 recommendation. 12 MS. YEE: I'll move the consent agenda, Mr. 13 Chairman. 14 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been moved by our Controller. 15 I will second that. And on this one, is the one that 16 Member Gaines will not be participating in. so let's --17 do we have any written comments on this? 18 MR. MCCOOL: Excuse me, Mr. --19 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh I'm sorry. MR. MCCOOL: -- Mr. Chairman, just to clarify, this 20 is the one non-consent item for the railroad industry. 21 22 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes, which is the BFM (sic) or 23 something -- is that the one you mentioned? 24 MR. MCCOOL: That is one part of the consent agenda.

I was going to take that up next.

1 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh okay. So this is another one. Alrighty. MS. YEE: Oh okay. So did I make the wrong motion? 3 4 I'm sorry, I may have. 5 MR. MCCOOL: I believe so. Sorry for any --MS. YEE: Okay. 6 7 MR. MCCOOL: -- confusion --8 MS. YEE: So you're taking that -- okay. 9 MR. MCCOOL: Yes, we have one non-consent railroad 10 company. 11 MS. YEE: Okay. All right so the Goose Lake 12 Railway, the non-consent agenda item. I'll move the 13 staff recommendation on that. 14 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay. It's been moved and then I'm 15 going to -- and then I will go ahead and second that 16 since Member Gaines won't be able to participate on this one, I believe. 17 18 MR. GAINES: Clarification. 19 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes? 20 MR. GAINES: I think I can vote on this is -- if 21 this is Gooseneck (sic). 22 MS. YEE: Yes. 23 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh Gooseneck (sic), you're okay? 24 MR. GAINES: Right.

Absolutely

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay.

1	MR. GAINES: Yeah. Okay.
2	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So
3	MR. GAINES: Thank you.
4	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So I'll retract. So you're the
5	second on this one then.
6	MR. GAINES: Sounds good. Thanks.
7	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Alrighty. Is there any other
8	comments or questions? Seeing and hearing none.
9	Let me ask Ms. Taylor if there's any written
10	comments?
11	MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez, there are no written
12	comments.
13	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay. Let's check with AT&T if
14	there's anybody on the line.
15	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
16	AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is
17	anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter?
18	Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak.
19	For the record, a caller may provide their name.
20	AT&T MODERATOR: Of course.
21	And ladies and gentlemen, as a reminder, if you wish
22	to make a public comment, please press 1 then 0.
23	Are there are currently no one queuing up at this
24	I and the second

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

1	With that, Ms. Taylor, if you'd please call the
2	roll?
3	MS. TAYLOR: Certainly.
4	Chairman Vazquez?
5	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye.
6	MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer?
7	VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye.
8	MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines?
9	MR. GAINES: Aye.
10	MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen?
11	MS. COHEN: Aye.
12	MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee?
13	MS. YEE: Aye.
14	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those
15	present.
16	Mr. McCool, if you would please continue?
17	MR. MCCOOL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	The final item for the railroad industry is BNSF
19	Railway Company, number 804, which Mr. Gaines Member
20	Gaines will not be participating in.
21	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay.
22	Members, do we have any comments or questions about
23	this item? Seeing and hearing none
24	MS. YEE: I move the staff recommendation, Mr.
25	Chairman.

1	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been moved by our Controller.
2	I will go ahead and second that. And seeing no other
3	hand or comments.
4	Ms. Taylor, do we have any written comments on this
5	item?
6	MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez, we do not have any
7	written comments.
8	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we please check with AT&T?
9	MS. TAYLOR: Certainly.
10	AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is
11	anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter?
12	Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak.
13	For the record, a caller may provide their name.
14	AT&T MODERATOR: Of course.
15	If you wish to make a public comment, please press 1
16	then 0 on your phone.
17	And there is still currently no one queuing up at
18	this time.
19	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
20	With that, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the
21	roll?
22	MS. TAYLOR: Certainly.
23	Chairman Vazquez?
24	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye.
25	MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer?

1	VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye.
2	MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines, not participating.
3	Member Cohen?
4	MS. COHEN: Aye.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee?
6	MS. YEE: Aye.
7	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those
8	that can participate.
9	And with that, Mr. McCool, if you would please
10	continue?
11	MR. MCCOOL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
12	Our final industry is the telecommunications
13	industry. We can begin with the consent items.
14	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Members, do we have any comments or
15	questions about the consent items on this item?
16	MS. YEE: Mr. Chairman, I'm prepared to the move the
17	consent agenda.
18	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been moved by our Controller.
19	MS. COHEN: Seconded.
20	VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: It's been second by Member
21	Gaines. Seeing no hands, or comments, or questions.
22	Let me ask Ms. Taylor, do we have any written
23	comments on this item?
24	MS. TAYLOR: We do not have any written comments.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T?

1 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. 2 AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is 3 anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter? Each caller will have up to the three minutes to 4 5 speak. For the record, a caller may provide their name. AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. 6 7 And once again, ladies and gentlemen, if you wish to 8 make a comment over the phone, please press 1 then 0. 9 There's currently no one queuing up at this time. 10 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 11 Members, can we go ahead -- oh, Ms. Taylor, can you please call the roll on this item? 12 13 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. 14 Chairman Vazquez? 15 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer? 16 17 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: 18 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines? 19 MR. GAINES: Aye. 20 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen? 21 MS. COHEN: Aye. 22 MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee? 2.3 MS. YEE: Aye. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those 24

25

present.

Thank you.

1 And I believe -- Mr. McCool, is that -- I believe that finishes or do we still have others? Or do we still have --3 The final group --4 MR. MCCOOL: 5 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh the fifth industry, I'm sorry. MR. MCCOOL: The final group -- the final group 6 7 would be the non-consent telecommunications companies. MS. YEE: Mr. Chairman, I have a question on this. 8 9 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes, go ahead, Controller Yee. MS. YEE: 10 Thank you. Not related to the specific 11 companies on the non-consent agenda, but I just had a 12 question for the staff about the experience of the 13 filings by companies this year and whether there were 14 delays or issues that came up with filings, particularly 15 of property statements, during the pandemic? 16 MR. MCCOOL: I thank you for the question, Controller Yee. Actually, this year our penalty --17 18 penalties for late filings was actually noticeably lower 19 than last year. I think just from our own experience 20 anecdotally, I think the mail delivery caused the delay 21 for staff receiving the filings. But as far as the 22 assessees are concerned, it appears that we actually have 2.3 fewer late filings than last year so --

24 | MS. YEE: Oh, okay.

25

MR. MCCOOL: -- that may not be related to COVID. I

1 think we might have seen more of that last year. MS. YEE: I see. Okay. So did it -- there were postal service issues though, in terms of receipt? 3 4 MR. MCCOOL: Yes. Yes, that was a major issue for 5 our staff this year. 6 MS. YEE: Okay. Appreciate the response. Thank 7 you. 8 MR. MCCOOL: Thank you. 9 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: With that, Members, are there any 10 comments or other questions? Seeing, hearing none. 11 MS. TAYLOR: I'll move the staff recommendation, Mr. 12 Chairman. 13 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been moved by our Controller. 14 I will go ahead and second that. 15 Ms. Taylor, do we have any written comments on this 16 item? MS. TAYLOR: We do not. 17 18 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T? 19 MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. 20 AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is 21 anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter? 22 Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. 23 For the record, a caller may provide their name. 24 AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. 25 Once again, if you wish to ask a question over the

1 phone, please press 1 then 0. 2 There's currently no one queuing up at this time. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 3 With that, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the 4 5 roll on this motion? MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez? 6 7 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer? 8 9 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye. 10 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines? 11 MR. GAINES: Aye. 12 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen? 13 MS. COHEN: Aye. 14 MS. TAYLOR: Controller Yee? 15 MS. YEE: Aye. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those 16 17 present. 18 Mr. McCool, I believe that's it, correct me if I'm 19 wrong. 20 MR. MCCOOL: No, you have it correct, Mr. Chairman. 21 We have made it -- our way through the entire unitary 22 value setting. Thank you. 2.3 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 24 With that, I believe now we were moving our agenda

and we were going to start with L.2. And I know we made

1 this change and I'm just going to task and offer up to the members, do you all wish to take just, like, maybe a 3 five-minute break just to reorganize your papers or notes because I know we're taking this out of order? And 4 5 Controller Yee wants to be able to participate. 6 the members' preference? Do we go straight in or should 7 we take a quick five-minute break? Member Gaines, I --8 9 MR. GAINES: I'll do whatever the Board wants to do. 10 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry? 11 I'll do whatever the Board wants to do MR. GAINES: 12 if there's no --13 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay. 14 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: I abide by the majority. Vice 15 Chair. 16 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Everybody good? It looks like we're 17 all good to go. Okay. 18 Ms. Taylor, if you would call our next item? 19 MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. 20 The next item is L.2.a., Board Member Requested 21 Matters, Member Initiatives, policy development 22 opportunities for assisting with affordable housing 23 efforts, proposal for the Board to conduct a public

policy hearing regarding current and proposed affordable

24

changes and affordable housing initiatives, and the development of policies to support and assist efforts to increase affordable housing, as related to property tax administration.

This matter will be presented by Chairman Vazquez.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Members, as a result of the concept approval last month, I agreed to bring a proposal to you that would outline in more detail the basic parameters of the public policy hearing on affordable housing and provide a list of potential topics. This proposal is submitted for your consideration today. And I would like your feedback and your vote to proceed forward on this. And basically, I'm looking at a basic concept of the hearing would be threefold.

One, to invite input from subject matter experts and industry leaders on affordable housing bills, projects, and efforts; two, discuss, identify, and develop solutions to address property tax problems; and three, recommend additional avenues in property tax context for expediting affordable housing development.

The proposed outline of topics is also threefold.

Further details topics and speakers would be added in the next two months. The first topic would be current and proposed affordable housing legislation and projects with

property tax implications. Some examples are the 2021 building opportunities for a house -- for all housing package announced by Senate Pro Tem Tony Atkinson and ADUs -- junior ADUs of 50,000 new units withing the next five years, announced by Assembly Member Phil Ting. And then privately and publicly financed projects, such as those by Watt Industries and others throughout the State of California.

The second topic would be the challenges and hurdles facing current and proposed bills, projects, and rules. Three examples are challenges involving property tax exemptions, challenges involving assessed value issues, such as deed restrictions, leaseholds, change in use, new construction, and changes involving change in ownership exclusions.

The third topic would be developing possible property tax recommendations for affordable housing development. Some examples are recommendations as legislations rule changes, constitutional amendment involving exemptions, recommendations involving assessed value issues, deed restrictions, leasehold interest, new construction, and recommendations involving change and ownership exclusions, such as ADUs.

Possible scheduling options for the hearing. The earliest possible date, according to our xecutive

Director, would the second day of the July Board meeting,
July 28th. Confirmation would depend, in large -- in
part on the availability of the external speakers, such
as legislators, affordable housing industry leaders,
assessors, and internal speakers from the BOE staff.

2.3

Since legislation will likely be back in session in late August, my preference would be to hold this hearing before that. That we can case -- in the case of some recommendations, they may want to move forward.

Members, with that, I welcome your additions or further topics, refinements, or ultimately speakers to ad and further develop this proposal. With that, let me open it up to see if there's any comments, questions.

And right away, I see hand up from our controller, and then Member Gaines.

Go ahead. I think you're muted. Controller? There we go.

MS. YEE: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for bring the issue of affordable housing before this Board.

Obviously it's a significant issue facing all parts of California today. And I appreciated the letter that outlined your vision for the series of public hearings.

I have several comments and I'm not quite sure how to put them forth. I spent, actually, quite a bit of my professional time in the affordable housing space. And

so I think my first comment is just to really say I want to caution against mission creep, in terms of just the work that others are already doing in this space. It's a complex area of work for the State of California. We have a lot of different partners who are involved in this and I'll elaborate on that in a moment. So that's kind of my first set of comments.

2.3

The second set of comments is that I do think there are discrete issues that properly are ripe for consideration by this Board. I want to see if I can help define that. They are included, in part, in the memo that you shared with all of us.

And then thirdly, I think when it relates to trying to take positions on legislation, particularly legislation on housing that doesn't have a direct property tax tie, I would hope that that's something that each of us as members of a public body as elected officials would feel like we have the ability to do individually. But I do feel a little reluctant to do that as a Board.

You explained some of the challenges with that, that is certainly our board calendar, even if we were inclined to take positions on bills that are outside of our scope, is that -- our board calendar does not sync up with the legislative calendar. And so that does become

challenging. But also, I do think that I want to, kind of, bring it back to, you know, just what's properly before this Board's jurisdiction.

So if I could, I just wanted to just maybe kind of provide a lay of the land about what's happening in housing now from the work that I do with our state treasurer who heads up a lot of this work with our governor, in terms of additional proposal he has. And where I do think we have a particular jurisdiction around some of the things that we currently provide as tools for affordable housing that could be useful for the overall conversation. So if that's all right, I'm happy to just, kind of, provide that some context.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I'd appreciate it. No, go ahead.

MS. YEE: Okay. So housing is one of those things that over the course of, certainly my career in state service and in the legislature, has been one where we've tended to be reactive rather than proactive. And I think, if anything, over the past several. More recently, we've been much more proactive, understanding just what is coming in terms of the growing affordability crisis here in California. The fact that close to half of Californians are renters. And so just the needs that they have, as was highlighted by the pandemic that we are emerging from. But even before the pandemic, the

affordability issue generally.

2.3

And then also, I'd look at it from the perspective of housing being an economic issue. Certainly has been a drag on economic recovery when affordable housing is -- and affordable, meaning affordable to not even those that are meeting the criteria for how we typically define affordable housing. But just with respect to how much households are devoting in terms of percentage of their income to housing costs.

So currently, there are four state housing departments. Obviously, the Department of Housing and Community Development that administers most of the state's affordable housing subsidy loan programs. And it does oversee the local housing production goals. We have the California Housing Finance Agency that provides first mortgages to affordable housing projects and first-time home buyers. And Cali HFA is also the agency that administers the state's down payment assistance program and does provide some multi-family subsidy loans, as well.

The two bodies on which I serve, that is chaired by our state treasurer, is the Tax Credit Allocation

Committee that administers the federal and the state low-income housing tax credit programs. And then the Debt

Allocation Committee which administers the private

activity bond program.

And so these are, kind of, ongoing bodies that do a lot with the housing financing sources that we have. The ongoing allocated financing sources are estimated at about \$4.5 billion annually in federal tax credits.

About \$4 billion annually in federal tax exempt bonds.

About 600 million annually in state tax credits. About half a billion to about 1.5 billion annually for multibillion subsidy loans. And then \$100 million annually for the first-time home buyer down payment assistance loans.

And then we also need to recognize our partners in this, our local governments. So city and county subsidy loans total in the neighborhood of about one to two billion dollars annually, as well. The federal government plays a role in this, as well. In terms of its subsidy loans, about \$750 million annually. And then with respect to rental vouchers, those total about one to about one and a half billion dollars annually.

So there's, like, a lot going on in terms of just the financing sources. So some of the other, kind of, implicit housing subsidies, particularly in the tax arena, mortgage interest deductions. I know people view that as an incentive for homeownership. About two to four billion dollar annual state subsidy and about a five

to ten billion dollar federal subsidy.

2.3

And then, squarely before us, the welfare property tax exemptions, which totals about \$1 billion annually. We saw the governor in his May revision of the budget include proposed housing allocations as one-time spending proposals.

And very much appreciate the continuation of some of the models that were put in place during the pandemic, particularly Project Home Key: about \$3.5 million for motel and hotel conversions for housing homeless populations, our multi-family subsidy loans, about \$1.75 million in one -- of a one-time infusion to essentially supplement the housing community development awarded projects in need of more funding. These are shovel-ready projects ready to -- ready to begin construction.

Infrastructure support, about \$1.1 billion for infrastructure improvements to facilitate housing development. We've got student hunger and housing programs that's getting some attention, as well, about \$450 million for college and university student housing and food programs. And then our federal homelessness support, about \$400 million in one-time federal funds for homelessness reduction.

So you know, I list all that because really, except for the property tax welfare exemption, none of the state

agencies or funding sources fall within the BOE's jurisdiction.

And in reviewing your letter, I know there were six potential topics for public policy hearings and just in going through those, I do think that when we get into issues around zoning and zone restrictions and the sequel process, I get a little nervous about the BOE, kind of, you know, heading into that particular area, particularly with our limited resources to be able to do a deep dive in those areas.

I'd also mentioned ADUs, accessory dwelling units, and I know the goal of having 50,000 new ADUs is outside of the Board's jurisdiction. I don't know that, again, this is another area that would really be the most efficient use of our resources. I talked about the publicly and privately financed projects that are already under the jurisdiction of the other state agencies and I think, you know, the Board doesn't play a role in public financing for affordable housing. So I think, again, not necessarily the most appropriate for hearings.

What is appropriate, I think, and it really began with the comments I think each of us made this morning, is, you know, just looking at what some of the challenges and hurdles are involving the welfare exemption -- the property tax welfare exemption for affordable housing.

It plays a significant role in affordable housing.

Generally, about 15 or 20 percent of the project

development's cost is covered by capitalizing the welfare

exemptions, so about one percent per year in a mortgage.

And since the Board and the fifty-eight county assessors

jointly administered the welfare exemption, I think

conducting policy hearings on the challenges or hurdles

of the exemption would be an appropriate use of our

resources and time.

What I'd like to see the hearings address, with respect to the exemption, is kind of a concept we spoke about this morning. That is, can issues of equity be addressed within the welfare exemption. I know we've been tackling equity issues at our respective committees over in the treasurer's office that I would like to see to the extent that this is an affordable housing property tax exemption. It generally requires the involvement of a non-profit entity. And so I am really interested in in whether this could be a tool for how to address issues of equity. Whether the process needs to be streamlined and any other challenges that may be identified.

But I also think, before we embark on a policy hearing, I'd like to see if the staff could put together some information about just our experience in administering our portion of the program, some of the

common problems, some of the challenges. Is it a programs that working effectively? There was -- I think the last white paper issued on this was back in April 2016.

2.3

But just even some simple information like the number of organizational clearance certificates that are requested for low income or affordable housing. The number of denials and kind of a flavor of what those are. The number of appeals that are filed for organizational certificate denials. And then, the general processing time. Are we experiencing a backlog? Is this really an efficiently run program?

And then I think we can also then pull in our copartners on this, our assessors. So I believe, like, gathering this information in advance will provide tremendous insight on whether there are any challenges an then, of course, our hearings could, you know, delve more deeply into that.

The second area where I think it's also squarely within the jurisdiction of the Board are assessed value issues, as you've enumerated in your memo. So deed restrictions, leasehold interest, change in use, new construction. So there definitely are challenges involving those. And so they've been partially addressed by stakeholders in legislation but I think really having,

you know, the county assessors help us just try to identify an agenda around just how some of the recently enacted legislation is working.

2.3

I know some of them are looking at restrictions on use imposed by community land trusts, establishing a bundle presumption that the purchase price of the land trust home includes both the home and the lease land. So I mean, there are a lot of kind of issues to unpack there, and I think that would be helpful to look at that. And then it may be that out of that comes some interested parties meeting that really focus on some of these issues that could inform further letters to assessors to provide clearer guidance.

And then with respect to new construction and change in ownership, I think before we launch into a policy hearing on that, it may be beneficial to just have the staff, our Executive Director, provide a report on emerging issues involving these two areas.

So just to conclude, we have a role to play. I want to be sure that we're staying within our means, relative the efficient use of our resources. There is a limited nexus to affordable housing in the BOE's property tax administration. As such, I think, like I said, conducting a series of public policy hearings on SQA, on ADUs, on, you know, some of these other issues, would be

outside of our scope. But certainly want to look at how we can be helpful and use our jurisdiction to provide the best guidance possible, with respect to these other areas. And I would focus on the welfare property tax exemption. And to the extent that we can delve into the deployment of that exemption to address equity issues, I think that would be a tremendous public policy contribution.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Now, thank you. Thank you for your comments and your experience, and basically, kind of, helping out and just giving us some focus on this. You know, I kind of threw out all these items because I think they're all needed.

MS. YEE: Okay.

2.3

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: And I think you're on -- I think, you know -- and I appreciate the fact that each one of us, individually, obviously, can weigh on some of this legislation. But I think you are correct, as a body, we need to be careful that we're not taking positions in areas that we really have no jurisdiction.

But I'm really interested in and excited about tapping your brain a little bit given you experience of this field of affordable housing, especially on the finance side. Because I was contacted by several of the for-profit folks that, in the past, never really looked

at -- involved with for -- affordable projects. But because there may be a potential tax credit for them, they think it may pencil out for them, which, I think, would be great because I know the governor's real excited and is pushing.

2.3

He's got a pretty lofty goal there, you know, to try to build so much affordable housing. And I just don't see it happening if we just rely on the non-profit world. I think we need to embrace and incorporate as many of these folks, you know, on the for-profit as well, that are willing to provide true affordable housing in the State of California.

And that's where I'm kind of going. Let me see, I see a hand there from Member Gaines.

MR. GAINES: Great. Thank you, very much, Chair
Vazquez and Controller Yee. I really appreciate your
comments. And I think that's well advised. We've got to
make sure that we stay in our lane but I think we still
have an opportunity to take a look at, you know, from the
BOE perspective, exemptions. And you know, we take a
look at affordable housing, it's just such a huge issue,
it's really magnified here, in the State of California.
The American dream is home ownership and yet, that is so
difficult here in California.

And people want to attain that, and I think it's

kind of ironic, but as a result of pandemic, look at the impact of the change in the landscape of housing. And people are moving to Sacramento, for instance, and other places throughout the state, and even out of state, given that they might have a job that permits them to work out of a home.

And so I think Californians have more choices and that could include moving out of the state, which concerns me. You know, when we're growing at a much lower rate than other states, it even has political ramification with the census. And we're seeing that we're losing a congressional seat. And then, when we take a look at the median price of a home at -- it just hit 800,000 and I can't believe that number. And half the houses are selling below that median and half above. So that is a -- that number scares me and I worry about a hollowing out of the middle class in California, where people are, maybe, retiring out of state or, for whatever reason, even at younger ages are moving out of California.

And so then it comes to what sort of relieve can we provide because I really that a healthy democratic republic has to include property ownership. And so what are we doing to help -- to help expand that here in the State of California. And that often becomes the -- that

could be the retirement for literally millions of people in California that you spent thirty years paying off that mortgage and now you have that next egg. You have that asset. So anything that we can do to encourage that -- and I appreciate Controller Yee's kind of highlighting all the programs that are available.

And so I think, it's nice for us as the Board to be engaged. I see that, kind of, in that arena. You know, I look at, you know, an exemption for homeownership, here, in California. It's \$7,000. In the State of Idaho, it's 100,000. You know, and that's just one little element of things that we could do. And you could even means test that to -- you know, because we want to makes sure that people are able to afford that first home and that people have the opportunity to rise up into the middle class and be able to afford that home.

And I know it's a long time ago when I was growing up, but I remember when there used to be \$10,000 homes in the State of California. And you now, we'd have to -- I guess you'd have to look at the statistics back in, say, the 1960s and '70s on home ownership and affordability.

But I think it's commonly known that it's -- that housing is just -- a home has become unaffordable over the decades in the State of California.

And when we think of our children, we want to make

sure they can afford a home and that you don't have to be pulling down a huge salary to do that. That you should be able to have a decent job and be able to buy a home. So I applaud your effort, Chair Vazquez, in bringing this issue up. I know other members have talked about it too and that it's an important issue. We need to stay in that -- in the lane but I think we can do that. It's just a matter of how we address the issue moving forward. So thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you for your comments.

Anybody else, any other members wish to speak on this? Seeing and hearing no others.

I would like to ask Member Controller if I could put you on the spot but it sounds like you already have it thought out anyway. Moving forward, obviously, one of the things you caution -- and I think it's a good caution -- is to be careful that we, obviously, stay in our lane.

So when I was looking and kind of envisioning that we would conduct this hearing, and it looks like, in talking to the Executive Director, probably the earliest would be in July, maybe our second day there. And that we -- and maybe just ask, maybe I should ask the Executive Director to find out if that's adequate time for her to come back with, I think, what you suggested,

which I thought was a good point, is to come back with a report, hopefully before that. And some of the things they could gather to hopefully make this hearing not only more effective, but more focused on exactly what we can and cannot do under our jurisdiction that hopefully would have an impact on the affordable housing crisis we have in the city of California.

2.3

MS. YEE: I'm happy to speak to that, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Please.

MS. YEE: And I certainly want to hear Ms. Fleming, as well, on the time issue. No, and I think really the report is important just to give us some context, some background and to probably even spur some of the topics that we want to explore. But I would recommend that we start with the property tax exemption, the welfare exemption, for low income and affordable housing.

The Board has not really done much with this for -since my early days on the Board. And there was a, as I
said, a white paper on the welfare exemption back in
April 2016. So perhaps that could be a launching off
point. But just what's happened in this arena. You
know, just who's supplied the number of organizational
clearance certificates that have been requested, how many
have been denied, how many have been issued, the number
of appeals, the general processing time. Just so --

really, how the program is running and who's been benefiting from it.

And I should probably say, you know, as I look at -regardless of what financing source or financing tool
around housing and affordable housing, you know, this is
an arena where the State -- at the end of the day, it
always requires a public-private partnership. But these
are public resources and so I want to be mindful that as
we're doing this work, that we are looking at the welfare
exemption as a financing tool, and in that vein, would
want to explore the question of, is the State getting the
broadest public benefit from the deployment of the
exemptions. And I think that's a key question.

Then the second set of issues could then be another series of hearing around the challenges and hurdles involving assessed value issues. So these are the deed restrictions, the leasehold interests, the change in use, new construction. So that set of issues. So -- and again, I would want to see, you know, kind of staff come back with -- and probably working with our assessors on this, be a great topic for our, you know, joint assessors meeting. And maybe we do this a little bit more year-round than just with respect to that one meeting that -- to look at just what some of the implementation issues have been, whether these are areas that require further

guidance from the Board with respect to LTAs. And if so, how we can target some of those issues via interested parties meetings.

2.3

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: No, thank you. You know, as you were talking -- I see a hand up from Member Cohen. But before I recognize you real quick, as you're talking about change of use, you know, one of the things I thought, especially when working with the assessors, there's a lot of properties that are owned by cities, school districts, even government, you know, in the State of California that we're not collecting taxes on now anyway.

But if they were to turn into housing units, they would get reassessed. So they'd put it on the roll. And that's where I was thinking— and correct me if I'm wrong, I'm thinking if maybe there's a role change we can do or something that we can do at the state level that would allow them to do that if they provide true affordable housing. And it could be a mixed use. You know, in some cases, it still could have some educational uses, maybe on the ground floor, and housing on the second, third, fourth floor of a project, for example.

MS. YEE: Yeah, I mean -- yeah, I think we're going to start to see all kinds of innovations relative to how we --

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes.

2.2

2.3

MS. YEE: -- address the housing crisis. So those topics are definitely in order.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Member Cohen? I think you're muted.

MS. COHEN: Hi. I just wanted just to correct the record that the memo that I put out forth to lay out the memo was in 2019. That's all I wanted to -- just correct that record. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Is our Executive Director Brenda Fleming on the line? Are you available?

MS. FLEMING: Yes, Chairman Vazquez and Members, I'm available. This is a great discussion. We do have some of the information on our welfare exemptions as it relates to the certificates, the OCCs, and the SCCs, et cetera. So some of the information is available. What would like to do -- because I think the more that this discussion occurs, it's one that has a number of layers of onions, if you will. So what I would suggest is, I think on a broader scope, I suggest that we could probably come back with the white paper in the July time frame.

But what I'd like to propose, if the Board would approve, is having the opportunity to flesh it out a little bit more with staff and the chair's office

because, again, some of this information we're going to need to work with the assessors also because of our joint partnership in the administration of the welfare exemption program. And then, perhaps, what we could do is take an opportunity to do some of the initial work and at least come back at the June meeting with an update on what's possible and how much we've achieved, in terms of collecting the information and preparing for the white paper.

If positive, then we could try to target doing the white paper by the July board meeting. But just in case, perhaps, the assessors and others need a little bit more time frame, perhaps even August, if the Board will consider that. But I think there are a number of different issues related to this and I think it would be prudent for us to just flesh it out a little bit more working with our partners and then come back with a pretty good product for you in July. But no later than the August time frame. Again, if the Board would be open to that approach.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So it sounds like you're prepared to, at least, begin this process and come back and report out some progress in our June meeting. And then, at that point, we can make a decision or determination if it's possible to do something in July or August. Is that

correct?

2.3

MS. FLEMING: That is correct, yes.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: And I'm seeing heads nod.

Member Cohen, you still have you hand up. Is that an old hand? Okay.

So if people are comfortable with that -- do we need an official motion for that? I don't think so. Do we?

That's good direction, I think. No? Okay, I'm looking at --

MS. FLEMING: I think to the extent that -- yeah, I think to the extent that the Board is comfortable with us returning in June with at least -- to report out with an update as to what the time frame is and what's delivered, that piece would be helpful. And then really, at the June meeting we would make a more final decision as to the next steps regarding July or August time frame. So I'm fully prepared to receive that assignment, sir.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I appreciate it. And I'm seeing nods and hands from folks. So that'll be the course. And really appreciate your time and willing to stay in and hang in there with this, Controller, because I think you're really gave us some good history and some guidance on this one. Because I think it's much needed in the State of California. And whatever we can do to weigh in and help, I think we should do it. But thank you. Thank

you all.

MS. YEE: Thank you for the flexibility in moving this item up on the agenda.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Not a problem.

With that, Ms. Taylor, if you can call the next item?

MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. The next item is G.1., Chief Council Matters, Rulemaking, proposed property tax rule 462.540, staff request authorization to publish property tax rule 562.540, change in ownership, base year value transfers, to implement and make specific provisions of section 2.1 of article 13(a) of the California Constitution.

This item will be presented by Mr. Moon.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Is Mr. Moon available?

MR. MOON: Yes, I am. Good morning, Chair Vazquez, Members of the Board. This is Richard Moon with the legal department.

As you know, since Prop 19 was passed by voters on November 3rd, staff has working on providing guidance to all interested parties. And that guidance has come in the various forms, FAQs on the website, letter to assessors. And at the February 24th meeting, the Board authorized staff to begin the regular rulemaking process for Prop 19. And as you know, there are two major parts to Prop

19. One dealing with intergenerational transfers and one dealing with base year value transfers.

2.3

We're here today to request that the Board authorized to publish the notice of proposed action to begin the official rulemaking process on the base year value transfer part of Prop 19. And that would be proposed rule 462.540. As reported by staff in February, we have completed drafting the proposed rules. We've received comments by interested parties. Those comments were reviewed by staff. Some of those comments made their way into revisions to the rule itself, some of those comments we will be incorporating into future guidance and some of those comments we will be holding to see if it's appropriate to include them in any future possible amendments to the rule.

So the rule before you today is consistent with the structure of existing property tax rules and reflects what staff believes to be a version of the rule that meets the direction given to staff by the Board. That it address fundamental necessary guidance for implementation and administration, and that it be done expeditiously. And therefore, we're requesting that you approve the publication of the notice of proposed action for this rule.

Thank you. And I'm happy to take any questions.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Moon.

2.2

2.3

And just for the record, I see Ms. Stowers has now joined us. Welcome, Ms. Stowers, and give our best to -- I forgot to thank the Controller. I know that she had to step out for another meeting, herself.

But with that, do we have any questions or comments for Mr. Moon? Seeing that -- I have one quick one. And my question is would you add a sentence or I guess, informative digest, you know, not the rule itself, but on the policy statement, clarifying that Prop 19 did not repeal or replace any part of Section 69 or 69.3?

MR. MOON: Yes, we could make that change to the notice of proposed actions. As you know, the legislature, in its latest iteration of SB 539, has added -- has added intent language essentially stating that. And I think that would make us comfortable enough to put it into a statement to that effect, into the informative digest section of the -- of the notice of proposed action.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I appreciate it. I think that would really help the public, especially as they log into our website on this issue.

And I see Member Gaines's hand is up

MR. GAINES: Yeah. Thank you, Chair Vazquez. I
just - just wanted to make a general comment in reference

to Tax Rule 462.540. You know, this is the base year value transfer. This is the positive aspect of Prop 19 and it really provides that flexibility for homeowners over age fifty-five, or fire victims, or those who are disabled the opportunity to move anywhere else in the state within the same price — as the house was sold at.

So that just provides flexibility for folks so that they can anywhere that they want. Maybe it's moving closer to family or friends and not having a big tax impact that would hurt them financially. Maybe as they're preparing for retirement, or maybe they're already retired, or they're on a limited income.

So I just wanted to remind our constituents that this is the best part of Prop 19. I know we're still struggling with the other aspect on -- you know, we're trying to get clarification through the legislature on some of the exemptions that were lost and they'll wrangle through that. But this is clearly a benefit for homeownership in California. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Any other comments or questions of Mr. Moon? Seeing none and hearing none.

Ms. Taylor, do we have any public comments on this item?

MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez, we do not have any -82-

2.3

1 written comments, and I can find out from the AT&T moderator. AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is 3 anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter? 4 5 Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. For the record, we request that callers provide their 6 7 name. 8 AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. 9 And ladies and gentlemen, if you wish to make a 10 public comment, please press 1 then 0. 11 And there is currently no one queuing up at this 12 time. 13 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 14 Member Gaines, I'm assuming that hand is an old hand 15 that you have sitting up there? 16 MR. GAINES: Yes, it is. Thank you. 17 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay. 18 With that, Members, I would like to move that we 19 authorize publication of the proposed rule and authorize 20 staff to add a clarifying sentence to the informative 21 digest reflecting that certain current statutes will 22 continue to be an option for taxpayers, in addition to 23 Prop 19.

-83-

It's been seconded by Member Gaines.

I'll second that.

24

25

MR. GAINES:

CHAIR VAZQUEZ:

1 Any comments or question from the members? Seeing none. Ms. Taylor, did you say we had a written comment on 3 this or we don't? MS. TAYLOR: We do not. 4 5 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: And can we check -- well, you already checked with AT&T, right? 6 7 MS. TAYLOR: Uh-huh. Yes, we have. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: With that, can you please call the 8 9 roll then on this one? 10 MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. 11 Chairman Vazquez? 12 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye. 13 MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer? 14 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye. 15 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines? 16 MR. GAINES: Aye. 17 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen? 18 MS. COHEN: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Deputy Controller Stowers? 19 20 MS. STOWERS: Aye. 21 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous all those 22 present. Thank you, Members. 23 With that, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call our next item? 24

MS. TAYLOR: The next item is J.1., Administrative -84-

25

1 Consent Agenda, approval of the board meeting minutes for April 27th, 2021. Contribution disclosure forms are not 3 required. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you, Ms. Taylor. 4 5 Members, do you have any comments, questions on the minutes or changes? Seeing and hearing none. 6 7 Ms. Taylor, we don't have any written comments on 8 this, do we? 9 MS. TAYLOR: We do not. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Any do we have any public on the 10 11 lines on this? 12 MS. TAYLOR: Let me check. 13 AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is 14 anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter? 15 Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. 16 For the record, we request that the callers provide their 17 name. 18 AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. 19 Ladies and gentlemen, if you wish to make a public 20 comment, please press 1 then 0 on your phone. 21 And there is currently no on in the queue at this 22 time. 2.3 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Members, I'd like to entertain a motion to adopt the

24

25

Board hearing minutes.

1 MR. GAINES: Motion to approve. Member Gaines. 2 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been approved by Member Gaines. MS. STOWERS: Second. 3 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been second by Stowers. 4 5 no other hands, or comments, or questions. Ms. Taylor, if you'd please call the roll on that? 6 7 MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. Chair Vazquez? 8 9 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer? 10 11 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: 12 MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines? 13 MR. GAINES: Aye. 14 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen? 15 MS. COHEN: Aye. 16 MS. TAYLOR: Deputy Controller Stowers? 17 MS. STOWERS: Aye. 18 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those 19 present. 20 With that, Ms. Taylor, if you'd please call the next 21 item? 22 MS. TAYLOR: The next item is J.2., Administrative 23 Consent Agenda, Adoption of the Property Tax Forms. 24 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Excuse me.

Thank you, Ms. Taylor.

25

1 Members, do you have any questions or comments on 2 this matter? MR. GAINES: Comment, if I could? Member Gaines. 3 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes, Member Gaines, go ahead. 4 5 MR. GAINES: So yeah, it looks like what we've done is we're just making some adjustments here because of the 6 7 passage of Prop 19. And then in addition, it -- we're 8 just making some updates on different -- whether it's an 9 exemption or base year transfer through here. So I think this is exactly what the BOE should be doing. We're 10 11 reflecting the passage of Prop 19 and then updating other 12 property tax forms. 13 So I'd be willing to make a motion at the 14 appropriate time. 15 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Appreciate that. 16 Let me just check with Ms. Taylor if we have any 17 written comments or public comments on this item? 18 MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez, we do not have any 19 written comments. And I can check with the AT&T 20 moderator. 21 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 22 MS. TAYLOR: AT&T moderator, can you let us know if 23 there is anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter? 24

Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak.

25

1	For the record, we request that the callers provide their
2	name.
3	
	AT&T MODERATOR: Of course.
4	Ladies and gentlemen, once again, if you wish to ask
5	a question or make a comment, please press 1 then 0 on
6	your phone.
7	There is currently no on in the queuing up at this
8	time.
9	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.
10	And Member Gaines, you're moving this item?
11	MR. GAINES: Yes. And so moved for the adoption of
12	property tax forms.
13	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been moved by Member Gaines. I
14	will go ahead and second that. And seeing no other
15	hands, or comments, or questions.
16	Ms. Taylor, if you'd please call the roll on that?
17	MS. TAYLOR: Chair Vazquez?
18	CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye.
19	MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer?
20	VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Aye.
21	MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines?
22	MR. GAINES: Aye.
23	MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen?
24	MS. COHEN: Aye.
25	MS. TAYLOR: Deputy Controller Stowers?

MS. TAYLOR: Deputy Controller Stowers?

MS. STOWERS: Aye.

2.3

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those
present.

Ms. Taylor, if you'd please call the next item?

MS. TAYLOR: The next item is K.1.a., Director's

Report, Organizational Update, report on the status of pending and upcoming organizational issues.

This matter will be presented by Ms. Fleming.

MS. FLEMING: Good morning, Chairman Vazquez and Honorable Members. I'm Brenda Fleming, Executive Director.

Today's report will provide updates on our priorities and significant accomplishments since last month's report -- last month's meeting. The operation team will report on their operational teams and project in their respective areas of responsibility. Since our last meeting, one of our top priorities continues to be the implementation of Proposition 19. Additionally, our other priorities also include our other tax administration functions, specifically state assessed property valuation, our county training program, our training and certification programs, guidance, legal opinions, litigation matters, et cetera. And of course, the implementation of our strategic goals and objectives is to rebuild and modernize our programs.

Members, I'm grateful that during this pandemic our workforce has remained healthy with very few positive COVID 19 cases and minimal staff impacted. We continue to adhere to state mandates for social distancing, mass guidance, and statewide reporting protocols. Overall, the agency continues to successfully perform our tax administration functions and duties while we continue under COVID restrictions.

As you know, we continue to rebuild and modernize our agency, which included enhancing our transparency and the value of information on our BOE website. In addition to the continual updates on the Proposition 19 information, another very important update is to our litigation roster. The updated roster was posted on Friday, May 21st. It can be easily found under the legal resources, current litigation section, located on the bottom of the BOE website.

Moving to the next items, Members, as you are aware, over the last few months, there have been a number of board assignments to the Executive Director. To keep you informed, staff is making good progress on these projects, which will be scheduled for presentation to you at upcoming meetings. We look forward to reporting out on these projects that continue to our strategic goals. We do want to make sure that we're completing work that's

assigned to us, but again, we also would like to make sure that we're doing quality work for you. So we appreciate your support and your patience as we work through that material.

2.3

Members, moving to the next item and finally, on behalf -- Members, just allow me to publicly thank the California Taxpayers Association and CalTax president, Rob Gutierrez, for the invitation to participate in their 95th annual meeting. We appreciate the opportunity to continue the annual tradition of meaningful discussion and Q and A with the CalTax Board and its members on very important property tax administration topics.

The CalTax annual meeting is an excellent forum,

Members, for feedback and input that we use to better

serve our taxpayers constituents and improve our

services. Members, as you know, as I report each month,

there are many, many other organizational activities but

again, I don't want to steal staff thunder, so they will

provide the updates on the great work that they are

accomplishing. And that will be coming up next.

Members, if I could continue. K.1.b., which is the Extension of Time to Complete the Local Assessment Role. Revenue and Taxation Code 616 requires county assessors to annually complete their local assessment roles by July

1. Section 155 provides that the Board or its Executive

Director may extend, by thirty days, the deadline for any official act by the assessor. In the case of public calamity, the deadline may be extended by forty days.

Section 155 also requires that the Executive Director inform the Board of any such extensions at its next regular meeting. This report is to inform you that

Colusa, Los Angeles, Mariposa, and Yuba County assessors have requested and have been granted a thirty-day extension for completing their 2021 local assessment role.

2.3

And then again, Members, lastly -- again, I just want to always thank the staff for the good work and their dedication to BOE success. Members, if there are no questions, the real fender and the real quality information will be coming now from the staff who will report on their operational priorities and projects.

Members, if there are no questions, I'll turn it over to Ms. Renati.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Seeing no hands or comments.

Go ahead, Brenda.

MS. FLEMING: Thank you, Ms. Renati.

MS. RENATI: Thank you, very much.

Good morning, Chair Vazquez and Honorable Members.

My name is Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy Director. Today I

will report on the agency's Operational Priorities and

projects since last month.

2.3

As you are well aware since the passage of Prop 19, a considerable amount of the agency's activities are to ensure the successful implementation of Prop 19 and to keep taxpayers and the public informed. Concurrently we are — we continue to concentrate on our operational priorities to make progress in achieving our strategic goal to rebuild, revitalize, and modernize our agencies.

The first item I will report on today is our workforce capacity. Since my last report, we have filled nine vacant positions. As a result, in the last eleven months, we have filled a total of thirty-six positions.

98 percent of the agency's remaining vacant positions are in the various stages of the active recruitment process.

Through the collective efforts of the management team, increasing progress has been made to reduce our vacancies. And we expect to continue this momentum in the coming months.

Due to our recruitment activities, over 65 percent of the filled positions are individuals new to the Board of Equalization. So we are investing time in onboarding activities to ensure our new staff are well trained and have the tools they need to succeed.

Members, this concludes my report. I'm available to answer any questions you may have.

MR. GAINES: (Indiscernible) if I could?

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Member Gaines, go ahead.

2.3

MR. GAINES: Yes, thank you. More of a comment really. I just wanted to thank Brenda and the team and Lisa Renati for all their effort here because we're making a lot of progress. And so it's nice to see that we've moved through, kind of, the phase of promotion and now we're filling in a lot of those empty spots. And I think it's interesting that some of those folks are coming from, perhaps, other state agencies, but also folks coming from the private sector, from local government.

And I just think that that's a great opportunity because if people are coming from outside the organization that can provide a fresh perspective. And yet, through the promotion phase, we're keeping our talent within the organization. So it seems like a good combination. And it's just encouraging to see that we are making progress for filling those positions. I know it's been a priority of this board over the last couple of years. So thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Any other comments? I see a hand there.

Member Cohen?

MS. COHEN: Thank you.

2.3

I have a question. Lisa Renati, before you joined the team, we were in pursuit of getting our HR delegation reinstated. I wanted to know what the status is or the update is on the Board of Equalization being an agency that can stand up on its own two feet?

And Ms. Renati, my apologies if -- I know I directed the questions towards you, but maybe Ms. Fleming is the best person to answer the question.

MS. RENATI: Thank you, Member Cohen. I'll take a stab at it. And I know Ms. Fleming is listening, so she'll jump in if I miss anything.

The agency's restored delegation is definitely one of our goals in our strategic plan so that we can get that restored delegation back and continuing to build our workforce capacity more quickly. Right now, we are in process of asking for restored delegation back. We've done the work and have the information on hand to show that we have made great achievements in how we are managing our recruitments. And the information that was included in the past investigation that led to losing the delegation, those items are no longer something that we are shown to have any problems with.

We don't have any nepotism issues. We are not finding, you know, any types of illegal hires. We are

doing everything based on the State's merit principles.

So we are moving forward trying to get that restored

delegation back. And I hope to bring back information in

the coming months showing that progress to you and with,

you know, success in getting that restored delegation

back. And hopefully I've answered your question. Moving

forward --

MS. COHEN: Maybe you could describe to me what efforts you have taken. I mean, you just said a lot of that you'll get back to me. But I want to know where we are today. What's happening? What calls have been made? What is the State and DHR saying to us? I mean, what --

MS. RENATI: We are current -- so steps --

MS. COHEN: One thing I want to know is --

MS. RENATI: The steps are that we have gathered the documentation on hand that we need to prove with a series of all the information of all the hires we've done in the past, showing those documentation of all the hires that we've done. Getting the agreement from both CDTFA and from Cal HR that all of our hiring packages have been clean and that we have no issues to report. And that documentation will be used to schedule an appointment to get on a hearing with SPB to present that documentation, along with our partners at Cal HR and CDTFA, who will come forth and provide testimony that we have met the --

you know, the benchmarks to request and possibly gain back our restored delegation.

MS. COHEN: And what are those benchmarks?

MS. FLEMING: And Members --

MS. COHEN: What are those benchmarks --

MS. FLEMING: Members, this is Brenda --

MS. COHEN: -- that we need to reach?

MS. FLEMING: So thank you for your question, Ms.

Cohen. This is Brenda Fleming, Executive Director. Let me offer a little bit of help here. So specifically, in order to get the delegation back, we have to first prove with evidence of consistent practices of all the transgressions that existed in the original document that caused it to be loosed.

As Ms. Renati indicated, some of those items included illegal hires. All the appropriate documentation that is consistently needed for new hires, which includes the hiring packages of information, making sure probes are done, making sure training's done. So there's a list of material there. We're more than happy to give you that list again.

What happens, then, is you really just have to go back and just show, over a period of time, that you are consistently demonstrating that the issues that you had before have been completely resolved. Subsequent to

that, as the next step, the normal routine process included in this is that there is a compliance review that all state agencies go through. In our specific case, we've just recently been going through, just a routing compliance audit. State Personnel Board is using that compliance review to just reassess and redetermine, and sort of recheck us as to how well we're doing.

2.3

We're very fortunate in that there were a number of issues that we -- we are basically in a pretty healthy status. The issue there, specifically, and what -- we'll be sending you this information. The issue with the compliance review, which is, again, part of the step to demonstrate that we are -- that we're complying, the bigger issues that we're finding now are that our relationship and working with the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, by statute, they are required to provide our administrative and HR functions. That language is articulated in Government Code 15600, based the AB102 legislation that passed in July of 2017.

As a result of that, the CDTFA has the HR professionals, which the BOE currently does not have.

Again, as you know, under AB102, all of our HR professionals are transitioned to CDTFA. Since CDTFA has the HR professionals, there is a body of work that it is exclusive to them because of the HR professional

classifications. That said, for some of the items where we are not quite in compliance, based upon this recent compliance audit, based upon that current status, CDTFA is the group that is responsible for that. And so that charge or that offense has been therefore a charge to CDTFA.

So when we're doing this review, Members, the seat with State Personnel Board, CDTFA has been involved with us because, again, the State Personnel Board has recognized that a lot of the challenges that are currently existing, which are mostly process, basically. There's no transgressions or any illegalities or problems. Most of it's just a process issue. That work is under CDTFA. And so the report is when it — as we're working with them now — will come out just to basically indicate which category of HR work is in the jurisdiction of BOE and which categories of HR work and those transactions are CDTFA.

So based upon those recent conversations with the State Personnel Board, with CDTFA, with Cal HR, they are indicating, at this point, that we're looking green to get the opportunity to get our delegation back. Now, the next step following that is, we will write another letter to the State Personnel Board, again, requesting the opportunity to come before the State Personnel Board

hearing. And our goal is to -- as Ms. Renati alluded to, our goal is to finalize this current compliance report and then come back with a letter to the State Personnel Board to see if we can get on a hearing this year.

To the extent that we have that hearing this year, we would go before the hearing, present our case as to the documentation that confirms that we are, in fact, in compliance with those things that are under our control. It's the State Personnel Board that would then grant the delegation or some level, either full or some level of delegation of that authority being delegated back to us.

What we've been doing in these working relationships, which in state service, you know, the realities are it does take time, we've been working very closely with CDTFA and with Cal HR because having their documentation, that supports our compliance and all things being green successful. We would also request, and they fundamentally agreed to write, also letters of endorsement on our behalf.

So our goal, at this point, would be to finish up the compliance documentation. And once that's last finalized, Members, you'll also get a copy of that material, of course. Once that's done, it's that documentation, that would be the next gate, if you will, that we would go through to begin the process with the

1 hearing -- with the State Personnel Board. So it is much more optimistic this year than it has been in prior years. But this compliance review was one of the 3 4 significant steps that we needed to accomplish. 5

I'm more than happy to answer your questions.

MS. COHEN: Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: You're muted. Member Cohen, you're muted. I'm sorry.

MS. COHEN: Oh my God. Thank you very much. you for letting me know.

So I'm personally impacted by the gaps that exist in HR. Ms. Fleming, I was wondering if it's possible that we can -- or if we need to amplify CDTFA's inability to meet our needs and an immediate need to build our own HR team. The other part of my question -- I don't think Lisa Renati heard it -- but is the procurement authority. Where are we on that? And these are two questions that are processes that we started back in 2019.

MS. FLEMING: '19. Uh-huh.

MS. COHEN: Right. And so we are still impacted by it and so although your report seems to be thorough, it's just to allude that we are moving forward. But I just don't understand why we're moving so slowly on it.

MS. FLEMING: No, and I appreciate the comment. And it feels slow to us also. Ms. Cohen and Members, it's a

good part of the State process, unfortunately.

But so if I may direct me response back to your question on procurement. The procurement delegation is also -- I have to go back because I think that's something that we have to address through legislation. So I'll be happy to drill down on that detail for you, Members, come back with the scope of what the basis of that loss of delegation.

Just to clarify, the delegation, right now, is through -- for all things for Board Member purposes are we're required under AB102 to go through DGS for those services. And so we would have to go back, and I'll revisit the specific details on the legislation and to confirm the exact language. And then, Members, will be happy to come back with a proposal as to how we might be able to look at some legislative changes to give remedy to this.

I think, overall, we're approaching that time and I applaud this Board for their attention to this matter and will welcome with open arms your assistance. I think we're reaching a point where we may need to look at some legislative remedies for the restrictions that are on the board, based upon the AB102 issues. So to the extent that we are, you know, being — as you can demonstrate that the issues and concerns have been remedied, then

that might be a path forward. And Members, I would be more than happy to have to some conversations with you in one-on-one discussions as to how we might approach that issue.

But I think we're reaching the point where we are, as you may or may not recall, as of July 1 of 2021, we will be reaching our four-year milestone with that legislation. And as you know, just to walk a little bit down memory lane, in July 1 of 2017, BOE was — it was pretty radically restructured. And so this time has gone, I think going to your concern and some of the others, it really has been a pretty significant rebuilding of an organization, which fundamentally it's almost as though, in some respects, in terms of state administrative practices, we were rebuilding it from bottom up.

So yes, it has taken a bit of time but it was important to make sure that as we're moving forward we have to stop the bleeding first and concentrate on those things that we were mandated to do to make sure that we have the infrastructure in place to do so. I think now we basically have, you know, plugged the holes in the levee and now prepared to finish strengthening our organization and completely rebuilding so we can move forward.

So I'll be more than happy, Members, to work with you to look at some legislative proposals. We definitely have had some internal conversations about where we see these restrictions. Again, I don't want to be, you know, throw any other agency under the bus, in terms of in our state partnerships. But it's an issue with the recent arrangement with the other government agency that provides our services because they are, too, are not —weren't staffed or funded to take on these additional services.

2.3

So I think there are some legislative remedies that could help correct this condition.

MS. COHEN: Okay. (Indiscernible) --

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Member Cohen, are you good? I'm sorry, go ahead.

MS. COHEN: Yeah, processing everything.

So Members, I'm bringing this up because just -- I don't know where your offices are but I have pers -- my personal needs are within my office and you know, there's public criticism that we've received from the state auditor that I believe is unfounded. That public criticism from the auditor is just about our inability to fill our vacancies and address other HR needs. So it's almost like it's such a cyclical catch. Right? We can't fulfill our -- we can't fulfill our HR needs but yeah, we

get criticized for it. But we can't fulfill it because it was taken away.

2.3

And you know, one of the things I was trying to do back in 2019 was to establish this and quickly to move forward. And so here we are in 2021 and you know, we're — I don't know if you guys are aware of this, but there's criticisms out there about this — excuse me, the legislative analyst office, not the auditor's office. The legislative analyst office, they're criticizing us as we go through this budget process. We have to defend the fact that we haven't spent any money.

We haven't spent any money because we haven't hired.

We haven't hired because there's a gap in the way our

hiring process works. So it's really entir -- incredibly

frustrating, and I just wanted to bring it to your

attention. I don't know you're all aware of it or not,

but it is what it is.

I'll rest here. Thank you.

MS. FLEMING: Thank you --

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: And I --

MS. FLEMING: -- thank you for your comments, Ms.

Cohen. This is Executive Director Fleming. You're

correct in that there have been some -- you know the

standard state reports that when you're going through the

budget process where, for example, the ledge analyst

office will weigh in in terms of, you know, looking at each agency and a ledge analyst's role is to provide input on, you know, the status of those agencies and where they are.

2.3

And long, and lots of conversations with the ledge analyst office, they're also acknowledging that they understand that much of the challenge that we're having is related to the relationship through statute with how we do HR practices. So there is more criticism of this — or acknowledgement of this is a problem with some of — basically, we could leverage some of that material to assist us with the legislative changes we're needing. Because I think what they're acknowledging there is that yeah, they've got a problem, their hands are tied.

And so there was lots of discussion about, you know, the delayed processes and the inefficiencies of the current process getting these services through another agency, along with the delegation issue. Because actually, the loss of delegation is an issue, the real issue is going through multiple agencies to get the serviced done being able to -- versus being able to directly administer the work like we did in prior years.

So right now, to handle a legislative process -- or I'm sorry, an HR process, we, for the most part, are working through three agencies similarly for procurement.

So again, legislation might be the remedy to address those issues to look for more efficient and more streamlined, more cost effective approach. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you --MS. FLEMING: Members, I hope I addressed your

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

question --

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: -- for bringing that up -- back up to our attention, Member Cohen. I think we need to step it up. And if it's legislation, let's work on some legislation.

I do see a hand up from Ms. Stowers. Go ahead, Ms. Stowers.

MS. STOWERS: Thank you Chair Vazquez and Member Cohen. I think Ms. Fleming actually hit it on the head when -- why I raised my hand, as far as HR is a two-prong problem, it's the loss of the HR delegation. And it's also the current statute that says we receive our HR services from another agency. And you know, all due respect to the CDTFA, they, too, lost their delegation but they were able to gain it back.

So Ms. Fleming, are you doing a two-prong approach, get the delegation back and in the near future, looking for law changes, budget change proposals to establish our own HR shop?

MS. FLEMING: So yes, we are using a, you know, -107multiple-tiered process. The first issue is to pursue the delegation. And then, the predecessor step to that is just compliance review that we've just -- that we're in the process, again, routine reviews that all agencies go through. So we're going to leverage that and the conversations and partnerships that we've established there and some specific conversations we've had with the State Personnel Board.

Again, then the next step would be to go before the State Personnel Board to get the delegation back for HR. That's their jurisdiction. Then concurrent to that, what we have been having is conversations about how to remedy the issues with CDTFA. And so it would be at that point that we would work with staff and then be able to sit down with you to come back with all of you as members, to come back with some recommendations for some proposed legislation, because I think that would be the next step. So that's on our radar to pursue that.

We wanted to get delegation out of the way, it's just taking longer than any of us would have anticipated. And a lot of that has to do with the relationships and working with the other state agencies. So they're so tightly coupled and so co-mingled and it's a little -- it's easy to describe it. It's a little bit more challenging when you're actually working through it on a

day-by-day basis. But there are definitely some complexities related to the statutory issues and that is going to be -- require a legislative remedy. So that would be the second step in this process. Delegation and then legislation. And the third portion of that would be the delegation for the procurement item.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay.

MS. FLEMING: So it's going to take -- it's definitely a phase process. And all of those will have to work concurrently. Again, and we'll work as expeditiously as possible to get through this, in addition to, you know, the other workload. So the blessing of this whole thing, in my opinion, is that one of the things that the staff have been working on is filling the vacancies. And so our objective is to fill the vacancies. So by filling the vacancies, we fill critical roles in our internal administrative areas.

So hiring those support services staff gives us the additional capacity that we need to do the paperwork and the documentation and all that material to address these issues. We've now got the increased capacity to take on that work. So to Ms. Cohen's point, some of this delay has been -- you know, when you've got just one or two people doing the work, that contributes to the slowness of the effort. We've now addressed that issue.

1 | Increased capacity will allow us to expedite these 2 | remedies.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Thank you, Staff and Members. And this, obviously, is an issue that we'll have to continue to keep our focus on and hopefully, regain all our authority back, especially as it relates to the HR department.

With that --

MS. FLEMING: Members, I thank you. And again, you have my commitment to move through this item to get us back to being fully restored. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Ms. Taylor, I think we can just continue on and then we'll do the public comment at the end.

MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. Our next item is K.1.c.2., Executive Director's Report, Proposition 19 operational priorities, report on the Proposition 19 implementation project. This item has six sub-items, as indicated on the agenda. This matter will be introduced by Chairman Vazquez and presented by Ms. Renati.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes, thank you.

Members, Ms. Renati will first provide an update on the first sub-item, the Prop 19 implementation action plan. And when she's finished, I will ask her to introduce the next speaker and sub-item on the agenda.

As previously stated, we will hold all public comment on this until the completion of the entire report.

2.3

Ms. Renati, if you would please proceed with your presentation of the Prop 19 action plan.

MS. RENATI: Thank you, Chairman Vazquez.

Members, the next item is an overview of the BOE's implementation action plan for Prop -- Proposition 19.

Our Prop 19 implementation project is organized to optimize success, minimize risk, and allows for flexibility to organize workloads and resources. Our implementation project for Prop 19 is currently expected to take approximately three to five years. At this point in our project, we will be reporting on the major phases and work plan through 2022.

A high-level implementation plan is attached to today's agenda. This plan highlights seven of the project work categories. Within each of the seven work categories are identified activities. In a moment, each team leader will provide you with a report on activities completed to date and additional information regarding the implementation plan actions for their areas.

Before we move to the informative report, I am happy to report that the status of our Prop 19 implementation project is green, which indicates we have no issues or concerns to report. The team has spent a great deal of time working on these important efforts. And I would be remiss if I did not commend each of them for the continued actions to ensure that we stay on plan.

Members, unless you have any questions for me, I would like to give the floor to the team so they can provide you with specific information on Prop 19 implementation action.

The first report will be provided by Mr. David

Yeung, Deputy Director of the Property Tax Department and

Ms. Patty Lumsden, Chief of County Assessed Properties

Division, who will provide a report on the department's

implementation actions, including updates on guidance.

Mr. Yeung, if you're available.

MR. YEUNG: Yes. Good afternoon, all. Good afternoon, Chair Vazquez and Honorable Members of the Board.

I will provide an update as to the property taxes efforts so far implementing Proposition 19. They concentrate mainly on four areas at this point. Our first major area of work is still -- we still have a fair amount of inquiries coming in. We get -- staff has been responding to inquiries that we receive, either by phone, email, or written letters. The volume has decreased since January and February but there is still some

workload attached to it. And staff is spending a good amount of time answering those inquiries. We're getting to them in a quick fashion and we're turning those answers around as quickly as we can.

2.3

The next area that we are concentrating on is guidance through LTA. As you all know, we've completed two LTAs already and are -- already been published. The first one dealt with the intergenerational transfer provision of Prop 19. And the second one dealt with the base year transfers as it relates to those that are seniors fifty-five or older and those that are -- and those disabled persons. The LTA, those are already released and available on our website. The next LTA staff is working on right now will deal with the second aspect of the base year transfer. And that is for disaster relief.

So right now, the guidance has been drafted and it's going through internal review. We expect to have that out in very -- in the very near future.

Our third area, where we're concentrating much of our effort, has to do with the tracking system. As you all know, Proposition 19 requires the Board to track base year transfers. There is a limit -- there's a three-time limit in which you can transfer your base year value. We already currently do a tracking for the old Proposition

6090110. It is -- that is a one-time limit. You get to do it one more time if you become disabled after you have a -- after you do it for age.

2.3

The new system -- the new Prop 19 expands that opportunity to three times. And so we are working with our partners in CDTFA and their technology department in expanding and updating our system in order to be able to track that. That will remain a Board function. Once the development system is done, BOE and staff will maintain the tracking system for Prop 19 base year transfers.

And the last area that we've been concentrating our efforts on is monitoring legislation. So right now, we are following legislation as it works its way through.

We've been working with the legislature on -- behind the scenes on review and providing our technical expertise and insights on proposed legislations.

So that is the highlight of our main focus and what we are concentrating on so far in the property tax department. I'm available for any questions you may have.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Mr. Yeung, were we going to -- were you going to allow Ms. Lumsden to go on or you pretty much covered it?

MR. YEUNG: I think I covered it.

But Ms. Lumsden, you are more than welcome to add

1 anything that I may have -- I may have missed on our implementation process. MS. LUMSDEN: No, Dave, I believe you've covered 3 everything but I am definitely here in case you need 4 5 assistance in answering any questions that might come up. 6 MR. YEUNG: Thank you. 7 MS. RENATI: Thank you, Mr. Yeung and Ms. Lumsden. Members, the next report will be provided by Chief 8 9 Counsel Henry Nanjo and Tax Counsel Richard Moon, who 10 will provide a report on the legal department's 11 implementation actions. 12 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we hold that for just a second? 13 MS. RENATI: Yes. 14 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yeah. Ms. Taylor, real quick, I 15 just had one quick question and it's related to the LTA 16 on base year value transfers. Number, I guess, it's 20 -- 21-019. We state that it must be read in 17 18 conjunction with LTA Number 2020 061, which also 19 describes Proposition 19 base year value transfers. 20 also state that, to the extent that LTAs Number 2020/061 is inconsistent with LTA Number 2021-019. The more 21 22 recent LTA supersedes the older one.

When my staff and I looked at both the LTAs, it was very difficult to determine what details were inconsistent between the two LTAs. Is there a way to --

2.3

24

for you to clarify this and make it easy for the taxpayer to determine what is the superseded?

MR. YEUNG: Of course. I'd be more than happy to address that, Chair Vazquez. I've taken a look at our first LTA, the one in 2020/061, and it provides general guidance to all of Prop 19. Our latter LTA, the one that you mentioned on -- in this year, was specific to base year transfers. There are a couple of items we found that were inconsistent and we've already, basically, notated on the first one, on the 2020. There are two items already on there. One has to do with whether the sale -- when you sell a property and purchase it, its replacement, whether it has -- both of those transactions have to happen before the April 1 date.

We've already made the notation that that has been superseded by a legal a memo. It's on the 2020/061 LTA. And we made one more notation on an issue of what is -- what will qualify as a family home. And we advised in the 2020 LTA, that a family home includes a family farm that contains a principal residence. And in the -- in the legal memo, we've also said that we've actually said no, a family farm does not need to contain a principal residence and that notation's already been made on that LTA.

I will have staff, final wise, one more look through -116-

2.3

1 to make sure there is no other conflicting or inconsistent information between the two LTAs. And if we find anything else, I won't have -- I will have the first 3 annotated -- put some emphasis annotation on there to 4 5 note that portion has been superseded by more recent quidance. 6 7 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I appreciate that. With that, Members, do we have any other questions 8 9 or comments for Mr. Yeung? Seeing and hearing none. 10 Before we move on, Ms. Taylor, let me just take a 11 quick check with the members here, because I did get 12 a little note from one of the members about possibly a 13 lunch break. 14 How do the members feel, should we try to slip in a 15 break now or later, or how do people feel? Is there a 16 preference? 17 MS. COHEN: I'd like one. 18 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Is that Member Cohen? 19 MS. COHEN: That's Member Cohen. 20 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes --21 MS. COHEN: And I'm asking on behalf of Madison 22 (phonetic). She'd like to eat lunch. 2.3 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I'm hearing it, that sounds good. 24 MR. GAINES: Yeah, that would be great.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Are we taking a break?

-117-

```
1
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: You guys want to do it now? Is this
 2
    appropriate, should we do it now?
         MR. GAINES: Sure.
 3
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I'm seeing a --
 4
 5
         MS. COHEN: Yes.
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: -- a thumbs up. Okay. So what are
 6
 7
    we looking at, is thirty minutes sufficient?
 8
         MR. GAINES: That's fine.
 9
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Is that good.
         Member Cohen, is thirty minutes enough?
10
         MS. COHEN: Yes. Perfect. Perfect.
11
12
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay. Why don't we take a break.
13
    It's 12:33 so we'll say just maybe two, three minutes
14
    after one, we'll regroup?
15
        MS. COHEN: Yep.
16
        MR. GAINES: Great. Thank you.
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: All righty. Thanks, we'll see you
17
18
    all back in thirty minutes.
19
              (Whereupon, a recess was held)
20
         CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I think we might go ahead and get
21
    started. I mean, we have everybody but Member Schaefer.
22
    I think he'll be back in a minute here.
2.3
         MR. GAINES: Member Schaefer is with us. Did you
24
    see that, Mr. --
```

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh, is he there?

-118-

1 MR. GAINES: Yes. 2 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Yeah, my -- Vice Chair 3 Schaefer. Hello. CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh, you know, I don't -- oh, there, 4 5 I see -- I couldn't see you on the screen. Now I see you. All right. Sorry. Let's go ahead and we'll 6 7 reconvene. Ms. Renati, if you're available, why don't you 8 9 please introduce the third sub-item? 10 STAFF - VICE CHAIR SCHAFER OFFICE : It's more attractive than this. 11 MS. RENATI: Thank you, Chairman Vazquez and 12 Honorable Members. I'll --13 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Okay. 14 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: -- continue with K.1.c.2., Prop 19 15 Implementation Project. The next report will be provided 16 by Chief Counsel Henry Nanjo and Tax Counsel Richard 17 Moon, who will provide a report on the Legal Department's 18 Implementation Actions. 19 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Please, don't forget to mute. There 20 you go, mute your mics. Thank you. Okay. 2.1 Go ahead, Mr. Moon. 2.2 MR. NANJO: Actually, it's Mr. Henry Nanjo here. 23 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Oh, Mr. Nanjo. Go ahead.

MR. NANJO: Good afternoon, Chairman Vazquez. Not a

25 problem. Good afternoon, Chairman Vazquez, members of

the Board. I'm Henry Nanjo, Chief Counsel of the BOE's legal department, and I am here with Richard Moon, our Tax Attorney 4. The legal department is continuing to provide legal support to the other departments at BOE, and we're working diligently on the Board's two Proposition 19 regulation rule-making efforts.

I'm happy to report that both rule-making efforts are on track with the timeline the Board authorized in January, and I have nothing but extreme appreciation to my team to be able to keep it on track in spite of all the other calls on their time and many other important functions that we have to do in legal there. They're admirably keeping everything going at the same time.

At this time, I'll provide an overview of the status of each rule-making effort. As you may remember at the April meeting, we discussed and received authorization from the Board for Rule 462.520, Exclusion from Change in Ownership - Intergenerational Transfers. This was when the Board authorized publication of the new property tax rule initiating the formal rule-making process and also authorizing us to submit the package to DO -- Department of Finance, DOF, and Office of Administrative Law for initial processing.

We are currently in the written comment period for this rule-making effort, and we're welcoming any

interested party's input and comments. This week, an LTA will go out to interested parties recirculating the proposed rule, and specifically soliciting input, and this comment period will last until July 27th, 2021 at the July Board meeting, where the Board will hold a public hearing on the proposed rule.

The rule that we just got the Board's approval for today is Rule 462.540, Exclusion from Change in Ownership - Base Year Value Transfers. Earlier today, the Board authorized publication of the new Property Tax Rule 462.540, initiating the formal rule-making process for this regulation. Over the next few days, staff will expeditiously work to submit the approved package to both DOF and OAL for processing. And additionally, we have stated in the Notice of Proposed Action that the attached -- that was attached to this month's meeting, that we have begun the formal written comment period for this rule. We'll make the changes that the Board asked us to do in the Notice of Proposed Action, and we will welcome interested parties' input and comments.

Just as a note, we've already solicited comments prior to the formal comment period from the Assessor's Association and various assessors and other interested parties to kind of get a jumpstart on their input so we are familiar with their thoughts, and we'll be

implementing them and guiding that in continuation of the rule-making process. Similar to Rule 462.520, within the next month an LTA will go out to interested parties, recirculating the proposed rule and soliciting input.

For this particular rule at this time, the written comment period will last until August 24th, 2021, where at the Board's August meeting, the Board will hold a public hearing on the proposed rule and get any additional public comments at that time.

This -- these roles are implementing the guidance that the Board has approved and that the department has been issuing through both LTAs and various forms of guidance. This, again, is making these rules consistent with the changes that were directed via Proposition 19. We continue to address and respond to inquiries from the public. And we also have been working very closely with the Property Tax Department and the Assessor's Association to discuss with them and share with them our thoughts on any changes, any proposed legislation, and any other questions and guidance they have regarding the implementation of Proposition 19.

That concludes my report as to what legal has been doing vis-a-vis Proposition 19 implementation. Please let me know if there are any questions. I'm more than happy to address them, or Mr. Moon is also available to

1 | address any question.

2.3

Mr. Moon, is there anything that I have neglected to mention in my report?

MR. MOON: No, nothing to add from my end. But as you stated, I'm here to help answer any questions that the Board may have.

MR. NANJO: Great. Thank you, Chairman Vasquez. We stand ready to answer any questions the Board may have.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. I have a quick one, if nobody else has a hand up. I don't see a hand.

I've noticed that there are still questions on when a transfer actually takes effect, you know, under Prop 19? Is it the date of the deed, the date it was notarized, or the date it was recorded? Would we be able to work with the department to develop some plain language that really clarifies this? It impacts lots of folks, including assessor staff. Just wondering if we can get into it, maybe possibly in some kind of an LTA that may be coming out in the near future. Is that a possibility?

MR. MOON: This is Richard Moon with the legal department. We're of course happy to work with the department to clarify answers to any questions. With regard to this specific one on the date of transfer when property is transferred for Prop 19 purposes, I guess I'd

1 first note that there are a couple questions and answers related exactly to this topic, both on the Board's FAQ portion of the website for Prop 19 and also in one of the 3 LTAs that have already been issued. But of course, I'd 4 5 be happy to take a look at those questions with the department again, and if there's any need to clarify 6 7 anything or if any of the terms are not clear, then of course we'd be happy to edit those -- the answers to 8 9 those.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I appreciate that. Thanks.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Any other comments or questions from any of the members?

Seeing and hearing none, Ms. Renati, would you please introduce the next speaker for the fourth sub-

MS. RENATI: Thank you, Chairman Vasquez. This is
Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy Director. The next report will
be provided by BOE's Chief Communications Officer Mr.

Peter Kim, who will provide a report on the
Communications Department's implementation actions.

MR. KIM: Thank you, Ms. Renati, Chairman Vasquez and Honorable Members. This is Peter Kim, Chief Communications Officer. Members, today I will provide a brief report on the communications department's efforts in implementing Proposition 19. I'm continuing to

closely collaborate with our Taxpayers' Rights Advocate
Lisa Thompson to explore additional ways in communicating
with taxpayers. Along with the rest of the executive
management team, we are closely monitoring pending
legislation, so any significant changes can be shared
with taxpayers.

The Proposition 19 webpage is continually being updated and enhanced to provide the latest information in real time for taxpayers. Since last month, we've updated the webpage, such as adding the LTA on base year value transfers, updates to the FAQ page, updates to the guidance issue tab to include the rulemaking process, updates to the related legislation tab, and recently adding general property tax information such as exemptions, change in ownership, and information about property tax bills.

As of yesterday, we have received a total of approximately 156,957 unique external visits since November 10th, 2020. Since last month's Board meeting, that's an additional 13,881 unique external visits.

Finally, we're continuing to use our social media channels such as Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram to expand our audience and direct followers to the BOE website for the latest updates and resources. This concludes my report. And I'm available to answer any

questions the Board may have. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Kim.

If we -- oh, I see a hand, Member Gaines. Go ahead, Member Gaines.

MR. GAINES: Yes. Thank you very much. I just want to thank Peter Kim for all the work he's done in terms of communications. I think it's exciting when we revamp our website and make information available to our constituents. And it's -- there's a means of tracking it because when he looks at the number of hits that are coming in just even on, you know, Prop 19, where we've had I think 160,000 plus hits on that particular issue. It's a means of measurement. Right? Are we being effective in terms of how we reach out to our constituents? So I'm encouraged by that. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Anybody else? Seeing no other hands.

I just had a quick question for you, Mr. Kim.

And it's -- do we have any updates really on the potential, I guess, of, you know, this how-to webinars? Is there anything the assessors have that we may be able to adapt that can be a little bit more user friendly, I guess?

MR. KIM: Yes, Mr. Chairman, thank you for your question. At last month's Board meeting, I shared with

the Board that I would collaborate with the Taxpayer
Rights Advocate Ms. Lisa Thompson to assess if there was
a need for webinar. We've completed our initial
assessment, and if possible, we would like to first
circle back with your office offline. We did review some
important considerations, including existing available
information, timing, logistics, and resources.

2.3

Also, I just wanted to remind the public that the existing resources we have available now on our Proposition 19 webpage for taxpayers include a PowerPoint presentation, a fact sheet, comparison charts, frequently asked questions, and a one-stop shop on all guidance issued by the BOE. And as previously noted in my report, we've also recently included links on general property tax information, on exemptions, change in ownership, and basic information about property tax bills.

So that, hopefully, is my answer. And if you have any other follow up questions, I'd be happy to answer them.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yeah, I appreciate it. That's helpful.

Any other comments or questions from the members?

Member Gaines, I'm assuming that's an old hand.

MR. GAINES: Yes, I will take -- I will take my hand down. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Okay. With that, Ms.

Renati if you would please move on to -- I believe now it is our fifth item, right?

2.3

MS. RENATI: Thank you, Chairman Vasquez. Yes. The next report will be provided by the Taxpayers' Rights

Advocate Ms. Lisa Thompson, who will provide a report on the implementation actions of the Taxpayers' Rights

Advocate Office.

MS. THOMPSON: Good afternoon, Chairman and
Honorable Board Members. I'm Lisa Thompson, the agency's
Taxpayers' Rights Advocate. I'm pleased to report on the
Proposition 19 implementation and action plan for the
Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office.

The Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office is monitoring progress on the property tax rules, addressing the various exclusions, and base year value transfers of Proposition 19. We are also reviewing guidance issued by the property tax department, such as letters to assessors, frequently asked questions with answers, and draft rule. As you are aware, there is extensive information on our website concerning Proposition 19. As the rulemaking progress continues, we will review and familiarize ourselves with those provisions.

The Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office workload plan focuses on two main areas. One is to review and update

existing taxpayer information sheets and to create new information sheets. This is in addition to assisting taxpayers with Proposition 19 issues that they have.

2.3

As indicated previously, our office is monitoring the progress towards the proposed property tax rules, as well as implementing legislation. With key information from the rules and statutes, our office will begin revising the four existing information sheets published by the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office that were affected by Proposition 19, the parent-child transfer exclusion, grandparent-grandchild exclusion as well as the base year value transfer for seniors and base year value transfers for disabled persons.

With respect to the second item that we focus on, as far as our implement -- our implementation plan, that is to create new information sheets once the revisions have been made to the existing information sheets. The TRA Office will also -- will be drafting new information sheets, one to address the base year value transfers for disaster victims, as well as an information sheet addressing intergenerational transfers involving family farms.

As will be discussed in my next update on the next agenda item, the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office also continues to work with the Communications Officer on

1 various aspects of Proposition 19 to ensure taxpayers are 2 informed.

The TRA Office continues to work in collaboration with the Communications Officer on education and outreach, which will be the topic of my next agenda item. This concludes my update for the TRA Office implementation plan.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Members, do we have any questions or comments for Ms. Thompson on the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office Prop 19 implementation?

Seeing no hands or comments.

Ms. Renati, if you would please continue.

MS. RENATI: Thank you, Chairman Vasquez. The final report will be provided by Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Ms. Lisa Thompson, who will provide a report on implementation actions regarding education and outreach.

Ms. Thompson?

2.3

MS. THOMPSON: Hello, Lisa Thompson again, chief of the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office. I am pleased to report on the Proposition 19 Implementation and Action Plan for Education and Outreach. The Education and Outreach Implementations Action focus on two main areas for workload to complete. They are to create a Proposition 19 Fact Sheet, develop presentation materials, and assess the need for additional educational

materials.

2.3

As indicated in last month's Board meeting, we have completed some work in this regard, Proposition 19 Fact Sheet and Proposition 19 PowerPoint presentation. They were both completed and posted to our website on February 1st, 2021. The Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office worked collaboratively with the Communications Officer Peter Kim on the material.

The material provides information on the intergenerational transfer exclusion that was effective February 16th, and provides information on the base year value transfer exclusions that were effective April 1st. Once implementing legislation is passed and property tax rules adopted, the communications officer and the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office will work together to update that PowerPoint presentation and Fact Sheet.

Additionally, talking points were created for use with the PowerPoint presentation that could be used by Board members' offices when presenting to constituents.

They -- the communications officer and the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office are in continued communications to ensure that taxpayers have sufficient information concerning the effects of Proposition 19.

And as Peter Kim indicated in his update, several documents have been posted to the Board of Equalization

website under the Proposition 19 tab.

2.1

2.2

This concludes my update for the Education and Outreach Implementation Plan. I'm available if you have any questions.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you, Ms. Thompson.

Members, do we have any comments or questions of Ms. Thompson on the Education and Outreach Program?

Seeing and hearing none, we will go -- we will move on.

Ms. Taylor, if you would -- now that we finished this, I believe now we're at the end. Ms. Taylor, do we have any written comments on these items that we've discussed so far?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes, we do. Yes, we have one written comment, which I'll go ahead and read.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: This is a written -- this is a written comment from Kathleen Siemont's attorney. "Hello, please provide additional comment to the public on the following questions. Why is there an additional two years permitted for the Prop 19 impact on commercial properties? Why was this drafted as a constitutional amendment? Who determined that this should be presented in this manner and was any member of the advisory Board

Why did Mullen's office never returned any phone calls, emails, or requests for information about his involvement in Prop 19? Dude, you drafted it. You created this. Grow up and own up to your own actions at least. How much did Mullins pocket from the 38 million spent by the California Department of Realtors? Did the California Department of Realtors draft the legislation for him in part, in essence, or by suggestion over one of their regular three martini lunches?

2.3

Where can one find a copy of the ethical duties of all state officials, including the members advising the BOE? What is the process for review of qualifications of those appointed to the BOE Advisory Board? By what process did each of the current BOE Advisory Board members obtain their positions? What ethical watchdog is responsible for overseeing the BOE's Advisory Board and preventing some self-interested politician, asterisk cough asterisk Mullen asterisk, cough asterisk from lining their pockets with the public's money?

How are seniors, the highest risk of fatality from COVID-19 and the highest population group for home ownership, benefited from any law which requires them to leave their homes during a worldwide pandemic? How does the fact that every public building was closed impact the BOE's position against the argument that this is an

unlawful taking, as any efforts to comply with the process were intentionally frustrated by a statewide shutdown order?

What are the estimated tax benefits to the state as a result of this removal of Prop 13 protections? As the concern was for the Lebowski loophole, exactly how many homeowners would even come close to a profile of a movie star? No, there aren't because it's a red herring. It's a completely false narrative.

Who drafted this legislation? Who is the one lining the pockets to make this happen? What groups have their hands in drafting this legislation? I've called multiple sources and no one will admit to drafting this hydra of tax parasite legislation, including its intentionally misleading and deceptive title.

I would also like to comment from the BOE Legal

Department on why Mullin received so much money. How

does his representation on the BOE's Advisory Board not

create a reeking stench of unethical behavior? His

office dodges calls on Prop 19 while he fills his

political coffers. Is this the same Mullins who claims

to be from San Mateo? Shouldn't the fact that the entire

country was involved in a major political upheaval,

provide a basis for pausing the impact of tax regulation,

costing taxpayers directly billions of dollars?

1 The entire country was shut down and time had already passed for complying with the deadline. Many courts in Northern California do not have online filing. 3 They don't even take credit cards, or debit cards, or 4 5 cash. Have any of the advisors personally attempted to file a deed transfer with the counties of Solano, Butte, 7 San Mateo, Napa, Contra Costa counties? Of course not. 8 That's for little people. 9 Multiple documents were submitted in exactly the 10 11 12

same format. Some were accepted, some were denied. Each county has its own rules and they are not consistent. Finally, I would like to know if any members of the BOE's Advisory Board are members of the State Bar, are accountants, or subject to other -- any other licensing or disciplinary agency. Thank you. Kathleen Siemont, State Bar number 225601."

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Was there any other written comments, Ms. Taylor, or was that the only one?

MS. TAYLOR: That's the only one for this item.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Do we have anybody, any assessors or anybody from the public that wants to comment on this?

MS. TAYLOR: I'll ask the moderator.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. TAYLOR: AT&T moderators, can you let us know if there is anyone on the line who would like to make a

public comment regarding the Kla through Klc items, including Prop 19 sub-items just discussed? Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. For the record, we request that callers provide their name.

2.2

AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. Ladies and gentlemen, if you wish to make a public comment, please press 1 then 0. And there is currently no one queuing up at this time.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Just for the record, and I think it was stated, but just to make sure it's clear, you know, the BOE has nothing to do with legislation. So we obviously had nothing to do with creating Prop 19, much less had any input in that.

But they did meant -- the caller -- or the written comment also mentions this advisory council which I'm not aware of, you know, who all sits on that. But if staff wants to respond to that or any of the members, please let me know.

Hearing none and seeing none, then we'll go ahead.

Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the next item.

MS. TAYLOR: The next item is K.3.a., Property Tax

Deputy Director's Report, Operational Updates, a report on the status of pending and upcoming projects, activities, and departmental issues. This matter will be

2.3

MR. YEUNG: Yes, good afternoon again, Chair Vasquez and honorable members of the Board. This is David Yeung, deputy director of the property tax department.

Today I have for you an update of the operational going-ons in the property tax department. I will start out with the State-Assessed Properties Division.

Staff has completed 341 appraisals for this season. And that was brought before you earlier today in the value setting of state-assessed properties. This represents a major component of staff's work in the last three months, so I wanted to say thank you and commend staff for their tremendous work and their dedication in getting that done in these trying times.

So next, staff will be pivoting from the appraisal season to the allocation, the property values that the Board adopted this morning, they will be allocated into the counties in which those properties are located and they will be brought back before the Board for the final adoption of the 2021 Board Roll of State-Assessed Properties in the July Board meeting. So that will -- it will come back one more time in its finished form.

Also, staff in SAPD has begun the process of the assessment of the private railroad cars. So that is another key function within the State-Assessed Properties Division. Work has already started on that and the

values will also be brought before the Board for action.

2.3

I will now switch to our State -- our CountyAssessed Properties Division. Work continues on our
community land trust project as you will recall, I have
been bringing this topic to the Board almost on a monthly
basis. It basically chronicles our development of
guidelines for the assessment and valuation of low income
housing built on community land trust land. It is a -it has been a long-term project. It started in 2018 and
we've gone through a couple of iterations of guidance.

We have gone -- we have done one more redraft and it went out for interested parties to review and comment.

It was sent out on LTA 2021/016, released on April 30th.

Comments are due back to the Board on June 4th.

Hopefully we'll be able to bring this project to fruition soon and be able to issue some guidance. If you recall, this guidance has been in development for quite a while and the issues that needed to be solved required legislative amendments. So they were sought and actually received that two -- there is three legislative -- three bills that actually went thought that as gone into this guidance.

Next, I will shift to --

MS. STOWERS: Chairman Vasquez?

CHAIR VASQUEZ: Yes, Ms. Stowers. Go ahead.

1 MS. STOWERS: Thank you. You didn't see my golden 2 hand. Before --3 CHAIR VASQUEZ: I'm sorry. MS. STOWERS: -- we move to the next topic, I wanted 4 5 to kind of make sure I'm clear on the community land trust in the LTA that Mr. Yeung just talked about. Since 6 7 it has the ongoing project, man, before you guys got 8 here, and it is based on several law changes. I just 9 want to clarify, Mr. Yeung, that you will be bringing this LTA back to the Board for public discussion and 10 11 approval before issuing it out the assessors? 12 MR. YEUNG: Thank you, Deputy Controller Stowers. 13 Yes, it will be brought back before the Board. 14 MS. STOWERS: Thank you. I appreciate it. 15 MR. YEUNG: Of course. Of course. 16 CHAIR VASQUEZ: Is that it, Ms. Stowers? 17 MR. YEUNG: Okay, if I --18 Thank you. Go ahead, continue, Mr. CHAIR VASQUEZ: 19 Yeung. 20 MR. YEUNG: Oh, thank you. Okay. Next I will 21 (audio interference) we last met the property tax 22 department has issued six of them. So I will give you a 23 brief out -- highlight of each one. I've already 24 mentioned the first one. I've already mentioned is 2021-

And that LTA released the draft of the guidelines

for communal and trust property.

2.3

The next one is 2021-017, or number 17. That is an announcement LTA. Annually, the Board announces those counties that have adopted a 69.3, which is an inter-county disaster relief provision. We announced it to make sure all stakeholders know which counties are currently able to receive a transfer from another county, a base year transfer from another county because of the disaster relief.

The next LTA is 018, and that is the Disabled

Veterans Increase in their -- it is another LTA which we release annually. It basically announces the dollar limit for the disabled veteran's exemption for the low-income portion of it. So that goes up every year and is provided by code -- Revenue and Taxation Code 205.5.

We actually announce what the household incomes are for disabled veterans in the -- for the disabled veteran's exemption.

Our next LTA that we've issued is 019, and that is the Proposition 19 base year transfer guidance LTA. Once again, that was in the Q and A, the question and format, and it deals with base year transfers.

Two more to go through. LTA 020, published the Alpine County Assessment Practices Report. That was issued May 14th.

And the last one is LTA 021. And that LTA announces the assessment appeals filing period for this year; for 2021.

Next, I will give you a brief look forward as to what LTA will be -- that we are in the -- that's in development right now. And it should be released in the next about thirty days or so. We have a summary of litigation. It is currently in review right now and it should be out within the next 30 days. And that is basically a summary of all the litigation planning the property taxes for the year 2020.

And we're also - the next LTA we're working on also too will basically publish the Orange County Assessment Practices Survey. So those are in development right now.

I will switch now to our training and certification department. Since we last met, the training certification unit has given two more classes remotely via the Teams environment. The course -- we gave a course three, which is a residential appraisal procedures class. That was done on May 10th through the 13th. And we also conducted a course five, which is an income approach to value, also through Teams. That was done in May 17th to the 21st, so just last week.

In -- to prepare for future courses, actually the training and certification unit was able to adapt three

more courses to the Teams environment to be given remotely. They were successful in adopting Course 2-A, which is a replacement cost estimating class for residential structures. A Course 52, which devaluation of restricted lands. And a Course 56. That is a course on advanced sales comparisons and income capitalization approaches.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm particularly excited over the last two courses: the course 52 and the 56. Those are the advanced courses and they are part of the requirement to fulfill for an appraiser to basically fulfill in order to get their advanced certification.

So so far, for the remainder of this year, we have twelve more remote classes scheduled. And an additional five more scheduled for next year. So in total, seventeen have been scheduled so far of those courses, and we look forward to perhaps being able to develop more and offer more when we're able to.

Okay. Let me take a quick look in -- one more area I wanted to cover was our assessment practices survey function. I've already mentioned that we just issued the report for Alpine County. And we are in the process of finalizing Orange County, and that is to be released probably in the next thirty days.

I just wanted to recognize the staff in their -145efforts in -- since COVID, going to the assessor's office has been severely limited, and actually, basically stopped. So we've been having -- we've been adapted in our -- in the way we gather information. We've worked with the county assessors in being able to get much of that information remotely and direct access to some of their information. And where we cannot or we're not able to, we've worked with the assessors and they have provided some of it for us.

So we are cognizant that this working out of how to get and receive this information shifts around a little bit of the work and we try to minimize our impact on any of the assessors. And we are thankful that we've been able to work with them and get the information we need in order to make these assessment practices surveys under our sample continue.

We look forward to future engagements. We've actually been able to schedule out some of our next engagements already. And we're hoping to be able to get and fulfill our duties on the assessment practices survey in a timely manner.

This concludes my update. I'm available for any questions you may have.

CHAIR VASQUEZ: Thank you. I see a hand up from Member Gaines.

MR. GAINES: Yes, thanks, Chair Vasquez. And thank you, David Yeung for your presentation. And I'm just curious, in terms of the surveys that are being conducted, are we being effective given the coded -- COVID environment that we've been living in? You haven't been able to go on the premises, so I'm just asking how effective are we in those surveys?

2.3

MR. YEUNG: Thank you for the question, Board Member Gaines. I fully believe we are being effective. It's -- we've had to adapt the course. Some assessors have the ability to and have the actual systems in which we're able to get at least some of the information remotely. Either review, copy, download, and staff has been able to work with that information with minimal impact.

Some of the assessor's office either their technology is not capable, or because of -- because of internal polices have been unable to share access to their systems. And in that case, we've been strategic. And we've worked with them and had them provide some of the files. They've been able to get us the files remotely, digitally, and we've been able to basically do it -- get the exchange.

We have the assessor's portal available. It is a secure and confidential site where they can actually download information for us, and actually we use it to

exchange information, too. So there are stuff that we do provide to the assessors from that portal.

So with a little bit of technology and a little bit of the spirit of comprise and trying to find a way to get our goals met and completed, I think we've been able to minimize some of the impact. I think we've been effective.

MR. GAINES: Okay. Great. Thank you. And then getting on to the training and certification --

MR. YEUNG: Yes.

2.3

MR. GAINES: You know, I represent a lot of smaller, rural counties, along with Member Cohen. And when I met with a lot of my assessors, there were real concerns about their accessibility to classes. And that, you know, they were so far, so remote that they just weren't able to get to the classes that might be in Sothern California or Sacramento. And so you gave a pretty good overview of a number of scheduled classes that is on that -- the Teams platform.

And can you give me a -- just a kind of a little bit of a review in terms of how that has changed as a result of the pandemic?

MR. YEUNG: Of course.

MR. GAINES: For instance, how many classes did we provide prior to the pandemic on Teams verses what we're

doing now and what your projecting for the future?

MR. YEUNG: Of course. I'd be more than happy to.

Prior to the pandemic we did not give any classes on the

Teams environment. Our classes were either live

in-person or through one of our other formats.

We have self-study courses in which you can go ahead download information and when you have to review them there are a series of questions to answer, and when you answer those and send them in our staff basically grades them. And if you pass, you get continues hours of education for those. We also had a couple of courses where you can actually take them online through our -- that we administer, and we actually host.

There are two more options that are online. One is through the American River College, and that is actually a class developed by the Board. But it is hosted and is actually a junior college class. Registration is through the junior college and so are the rate fees.

MR. GAINES: But that class -- excuse me, David.

Did that class go online as a result of the pandemic, or was that always the case?

MR. YEUNG: It did not go online because of the
pandemic. It was ongoing already.

MR. GAINES: Okay. Okay.

MR. YEUNG: So the only thing that we've added

online basically remotely are the classes that we used to give in person. We've adapted them and we've actually been able to work with the Teams environment and actually present them.

I -- they've been well received, and for the counties that you mentioned, the smaller counties, that either one find it a struggle to free up time for somebody to travel to Southern California or somewhere else, or to budget it takes to send somebody down there or house them, put them up in a hotel and to pay their per diem. I think these online -- these Teams environment classes, I think would help tremendously.

MR. GAINES: Um-hum.

MR. YEUNG: One, our classes -- you don't have the -- you don't have that expense of going to another county and having to incur the expense of a hotel and meals and whatnot. You're in your own office, or in many cases probably in your home and you can take them. They don't take up -- it's not a full-full day. I don't believe its eight hours so that you do have some time before and after in which to answer emails. So it does allow a lot of flexibility for some counties that either had a struggle with being able to spare a person. If you are a county of only eight staff members and two of them go, and that's a quarter of your staff that's out of your

office. I just I think it alleviates some of that. then also addresses some of the issues of expense.

So I think right now, I mean, we're looking at more. At some point we will probably begin to offer to in-person classes again. But this remote through either Teams environment or whatever platform that we have, I think it's a good compromise. I think it's a -- I think it will probably stay an option for quite a while.

MR. GAINES: Oh, that's great. That's great. Now, can you tell me. You said we have twelve classes scheduled this year and another five next year. So that's seventeen classes.

MR. YEUNG: Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. GAINES: Seventeen so far. How many of those are on Teams?

MR. YEUNG: So far they're on going to -- they're all projected to be on Teams. We gave two this month. We have two in April. So so far, seventeen and our four, so far we have twenty-four -- twenty-one scheduled, of which we've already given four. So we have -- we got a little bit more work ahead of us. But I think we're making some really good improvements in this area.

MR. GAINES: Okay. And out of those twenty-one scheduled, or no, twenty-one total, excuse me.

MR. YEUNG: Yeah.

_

MR. GAINES: How many would that -- how many classes would that have been prior to the pandemic? Would that number increase at all?

MR. YEUNG: These are all since -- so these are all since the pandemic. We have not given -- we have not given it in a Teams environment. We only started giving them in -- we started development work on them earlier this year.

MR. GAINES: Okay.

MR. YEUNG: I think our first class was in the first part of April.

MR. GAINES: Okay. So we're -- we ought to be reaching a lot people. I guess that's my point is, you know, are we educating folks within assessor's offices and property appraisers and making that -- it sounds like we're making it more efficient.

MR. YEUNG: Yes.

MR. GAINES: It takes less time, costs less money, and you know, this is big issue when were we're talking about Prop 15 and if it passed. But as a result of the pandemic, it's you know, this is a benefit. This is something that we've learned that we can educate online and give employees the opportunity to get the right training that they need. And I think that's very encouraging. So thank you for providing that overview.

I appreciate it.

2.3

2.5

MR. YEUNG: Of course. Thank you. It's one of the few silver linings in -- of this pandemic.

MR. GAINES: Right. Thank you.

MR. YEUNG: Thank you.

CHAIR VASQUEZ: Any other comments or questions from the members?

Saying and hearing none, Mr. Yeung, I think you mentioned that right at the beginning, you were talking about the Community Land Trust Housing Exclusion, (AB) 1206?

MR. YEUNG: Yes.

CHAIR VASQUEZ: You know, I understand that's moving along. And I'm in support of that, but I was just wondering if the Department's provided any information or data to the legislature regarding it?

MR. YEUNG: Wow, gosh. I think I'm pretty sure our part of our team actually initiated contact with the legislature on that. I -- we were actually looking for some information. But as I am -- as a matter of routine, they usually do contact us later on if nothing else to get our technical input on it, and to work with us on a revenue estimate. So initial contact has been made. And we are standing and ready to provide any support they may need on that issue.

1 CHAIR VASQUEZ: Thank you. 2 MR. YEUNG: Of course. CHAIR VASQUEZ: With that, if I don't see any other 3 hands or comments. 4 5 Ms. Taylor, do we have any comments from assessors 6 or the public at this item? 7 MS. TAYLOR: Not that I'm aware of. CHAIR VASQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T on this? 8 9 MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. AT&T, can you let us know 10 if there's anyone who wants to make a public comment on 11 this matter? Each caller will have up to three minutes 12 to speak. For the record we request that the callers 13 provide their name. 14 AT&T MODERATOR: And if you'd like to make a comment 15 please press 1 and then 0 at this time. 16 And we have no one queuing up at this time. 17 CHAIR VASQUEZ: Thank you. If there's no other 18 further discussion or comments, Ms. Taylor, if you would 19 please call the next item? 20 MS. TAYLOR: The next item is K.3.b., Property Tax 21 Deputy Director's Report for our act equalization ratio 22 for fiscal year 2021 to '22. Adoption of the 4-R Act

equalization ratio for the current fiscal year ensures

percentage of market value as all other commercial

that rail transportation property is assessed at the same

2.3

24

25

industrial property. This matter will be presented by Mr. McCool.

MR. MCCOOL: Good afternoon, Chairman Vasquez and members of the Board. Jack McCool with the State-Assessed Properties Division. The item before you is the 4-R Act Equalization Ratio, The Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act, enacted by Congress in 1976. Revenue and Taxation Code 1817 requires the Board to conduct a study and determine the statewide and county-by-county ratio of assessed value to fair market value of commercial and industrial real property.

The OEs research and statistic staff conducted this study using the 2019-2020 property roll and it yielded and estimated statewide ratio of 58.79 percent. This is a decrease of one percentage point from last years 59.79 percent. Staff recommends that the Board assess rail transportation property at 58.79 percent of the market value for the 2021 roll.

Thank you.

CHAIR VASQUEZ: Thank you, Mr. McCool. I have one quick question for you. You know, based on the Act, which you clarified in the effect on the assessed property value, when the ratio increases a percentage point or two as opposed to when it decreases. What is

1 the -- you mentioned, what was the actual impact of that? MR. MCCOOL: So a (audio interference) ratio of assessed value to fair market value. So as the ratio 3 were to increase then the effect would be the railroad 4 5 assessing assessed role as well as our private railroad cars. If the ratio increases the values that appear on 7 the rolls that are adopted by the Board in July will be 8 higher. As the ratio goes down, then the assessed value 9 to those companies will be lower. 10 CHAIR VASQUEZ: Thank you. Any other comments or 11 questions of Mr. McCool? 12 Seeing and hearing none, we did to have a motion on 13 this one, I believe, right? 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The 15 motion before the Board is to adopt the 58.79 percent for 16 our equalization ratio. 17 CHAIR VASQUEZ: Before we move forward on that, Ms. 18 Taylor, do we have any written comments on this? 19 MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vasquez, at this time our 20 close captioning seems to have been stopped, and we're 21 trying to resolve that. 22 CHAIR VASQUEZ: Oh. 2.3 MS. TAYLOR: But we do not have any written comments 24 that we are aware of. 25 CHAIR VASQUEZ: Do we need to take a break, or can

-156-

||we just wait?

2.3

MS. TAYLOR: We might need to take a short recess so we can ensure the captioning restarts.

MR. NANJO: Chairman Vasquez, this Henry Nanjo. To ensure that we're being fully acceptable to the public I would recommend we take a short break to see if we can get close captioning up and running. Thank you, sir.

CHAIR VASQUEZ: Is five minutes sufficient?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes, that should be.

CHAIR VASQUEZ: Okay. It's 2:01. Why don't we take a five-minute break and see if we can recapture that?

We'll try to reconvene at 2:05 or 2:06. Thank

you.

MR. VAZQUEZ: With that members -- Ms. Taylor, we didn't check with the public though, right? We're still looking for public comment on this one?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes. Yes. We are still ready to go to public comment when you are.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Let's go ahead and do that.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. AT&T moderator, can you let us know if there is anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter?

Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. For the record, we request that the callers provide their name.

1 AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. Ladies and gentlemen, once again, if you wish to ask a question, please press 1 3 then 0.There are currently none queuing up at this time. 4 5 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. With that, Members, this item is before us. I would 6 7 like to entertain a motion to consider the staff's 8 recommendation. 9 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Vice Chair Schaefer. So move. 10 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: It's been moved by our Vice Chair. 11 I will go ahead and second that. If there's no other 12 comments or questions, Ms. Taylor, if you would please 13 call the roll. 14 MS. TAYLOR: Chairman Vazquez? 15 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Aye. MS. TAYLOR: Vice Chair Schaefer? 16 17 VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: Yes. 18 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes. MS. TAYLOR: Member Gaines? Member Gaines? 19 20 STAFF MEMBER GAINES OFFICE: He stepped out to use the restroom. 21 Will be back shortly, here. 2.2 MS. TAYLOR: Member Cohen? 23 MS. COHEN: Aye.

MS. TAYLOR: Deputy Controller Stowers?

24

1 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous -- well, that's unanimous of those present, and we may add Member Gaines in a minute here. I guess we could hold the vote until 3 he returns, and while we're doing that --4 5 MR. NANJO: Chairman Vazquez? 6 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes, go ahead. 7 MR. NANJO: Chairman Vazquez, this is Henry Nanjo, 8 chief counsel. I would recommend that it pleases the 9 board, just hold the roll open, and then that way when 10 he's back, Ms. Taylor can get his vote. 11 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I think he's back. 12 MR. GAINES: Yes, thank you. 13 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Member Gaines, go ahead. 14 MR. GAINES: Yes. Aye vote. 15 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: He just voted aye. 16 MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. 17 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of all those 18 present. With that, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the 19 20 next item. 21 MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. The next item is K.4.a., 22 Legislative Research & Statistic Division Chief's Report, 23 update on legislative issues, update on administrative 24 and program related legislative bills impacting the BOE;

and K.4.b., update on legislative actions associated with

25

the implementation of Proposition 19. This matter will be presented by Ms. Renati and Ms. Fleming.

MS. RENATI: Chairman Vazquez and Honorable Members,
I am Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy Director. Today I will
provide a report on the legislation that may impact the
Board of Equalization.

I provide you with -- attached to the plan today is a report which includes a list of all legislation. We continue to monitor bills which affect the BOE, including those regarding property tax, alcoholic beverage tax, and the tax on insurers.

For bills which directly affect the BOE, the team continues to prepare analysis of proposed legislation, including revenue estimates, if applicable. These analysis are made available for view on our website.

Members, today I will provide you with a status update on two Board supported legislative proposals, regarding the extension of the valuation of intercounty pipeline rights of way and multijurisdictional assessment appeals boards. Both items are included in a committee bill, Senate Bill 825, introduced by the Senate Governance and Finance Committee. This bill passed the Senate, and is currently with the Assembly Revenue & Taxation Committee. We will provide you with updates as they are available.

This concludes my legislative update and I am available for questions. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Members, do we have any questions for Ms. Renati?

If not, I have just a couple quick ones for you.

One, do you know if the California Assessors' Association has taken a position on SB 824, which mandates that all assessors must disclose information or permit records access to the California Department of Tax & Fee Administration?

MS. RENATI: Mr. Chairman, thanks for the question. Senate Bill 824 is a committee bill that makes technical changes to reflect the shift of duties from BOE to CDTFA as a result of AB 102 in 2017. Right now, there's no registered opposition to the bill. I regularly check in with CAA and last time I spoke with CAA they have not expressed a position either way on this bill, but I'd be happy to reach out and confirm this is the case.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I'd appreciate that.

And then on -- and then my second one is regarding AB1206, which would expand and change the ownership exclusion for community land trust housing and the welfare exemption for low-income rental housing. Do you know if there's any opposition to this?

MS. RENATI: Thank you for the question. AB 1206, I -162-

2.3

believe you said, Mr. Chairman.

2.3

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes.

MS. RENATI: Generally speaking, as you know, we monitor bills that are directly or indirectly related to our tax programs. For this bill, it was referred to the Assembly of Revenue and Taxation and Housing Development Committees in early March 2021. However, the bill has yet to be set for a hearing by either committee.

Due to the fact that certain legislative deadlines have already passed, staff -- you know, we presume that this bill will not move forward in the 2021 legislative session. And because it has not been set for a hearing, neither committee prepared an analysis which would have included official opposition to the bill. So I don't have any information on whether anyone had opposition to the bill, but at this point, it doesn't look like this bill will move forward.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Okay. Thank you.

With that, if there's no other comments or questions from the members, we can move on. I believe it's Ms. Fleming is going to be reporting on Prop 19 legislation as well.

MS. FLEMING: Yes. Chairman Vazquez and Honorable
Members, as of today, Members, there are about three
measures related to the implementation of Prop 19. They

are Senate Bill 539, which we've spoken about before; Senate Bill 668, which we've also reported on previously; and then now ACA 9, Assembly Constitutional Amendment 9.

2.3

Regarding Senate Bill 539, again, introduced by Senator Hertzberg. It's a property tax bill regarding intergenerational transfers of real property and base value transfers. It is -- still remains, as I reported last month, it is still remaining on the Senate's third reading file, which means that it's pending Senate floor discussion and/or vote. So no action from -- on that bill from last month to this month.

The next item, Members, Senate Bill 668, which was introduced by Senator Bates is a property taxation bill regarding change in ownership and inheritance exclusion. This bill was introduced in February of 2021, and it seeks to delay the onset of specific provisions of Proposition 19 governing the purchase or transfer of real property between parents or grandparents and their children or grandchildren until February 16, 2023. So it's a two-year extension. This bill was indeed heard on May 6th, and the Senate and Finance Committee for presentation only. No vote was taken.

Chairman McGuire, the chair of the Senate Governance and Finance Committee said that he would be arranging a meeting with the bill's author, Senator Bates, along with

1 himself and authors -- Senator Hertzberg who authored Senate Bill 539. They'll convene, have some discussion and possible additional public hearings on this matter. 3 The final, third measure that we're tracking for 4 5 Prop 19 specifically is ACA 9, which was introduced by Assembly Member Kiley. This measure was introduced on 6 7 May 3rd, 2021. It seeks to repeal provisions of Prop 19 8 and reinstate the prior rules, excluding from 9 classification as a purchase or change in ownership 10 requiring reappraisal and the purchase or transfer of the 11 principal residence and the first million dollars of the 12 other real property. This measure --13 MS. TAYLOR: Ms. Fleming? Ms. Fleming, this is Ms. 14 Taylor. I'm sorry to interrupt, but our captioning has 15 ceased again. 16 MS. FLEMING: Ah. Thank you for letting us know. 17 Chair, if you don't mind, if you would just give us 18 five minutes to try to get it reset. We apologize for the inconvenience. 19 20 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Sure. No problem. We'll take 21 another five-minute break. Is that sufficient? 22 MS. FLEMING: We'll be very quick on this one. 23 Thank you.

24 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

25

(Whereupon, a recess was held)

1 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Member Cohen, I think is the only 2 one we're waiting for now. 3 (Whereupon, a recess was held) CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I see her. She's back. 4 5 Ms. Fleming, I think we cut you off. Do you want to 6 finish your comments? 7 Actually, that -- the timing was --MS. FLEMING: 8 the last sentence was just this bill may be heard -- and 9 again, this is referring to measure AC 9 -- ACA 9. And 10 this bill may be heard in committee on or after June 4th, 2021. So it's still working itself through the 11 12 legislative process. 13 And Members, that concludes my update on measures 14 related to Proposition 19. 15 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 16 Members, do we have any comments or questions on the 17 content of this legislation for Ms. Fleming on this? 18 Seeing and hearing none, Ms. Taylor, do we have any 19 written comments or any comments from assessors or the 20 public? 21 MS. TAYLOR: Not that we're aware of. 22 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T? 2.3 MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. 24 AT&T Moderator, can you let us know if there is 25 anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter?

-166-

Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. For the record, we request that the callers provide their name.

AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. Ladies and gentlemen, if you wish to make a public comment, please press 1 then

Currently there are none in the queue at this time.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

With that, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the next item.

MS. TAYLOR: The next item is K.5., Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office's report, update on the activities of the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate Office. This matter will be presented by Ms. Thompson.

MS. THOMPSON: Good afternoon, Chair Vazquez and Honorable Board Members. I am Lisa Thompson, Chief of the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office. I am here to provide you with an update of the activities of the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office to keep you informed.

First, I would like to update you on preparation for the upcoming Taxpayer Bill of Rights Hearing that will be held August 24th, 2021 at that board meeting. Our forms and publications section completed the design for the posters and flyers for the event. It will be posted to our website shortly and will be printed. Once printed,

we will be distributing them to county agencies involved in the property tax system for them to post in public areas. Largely assessors and tax collectors.

2.3

Currently, we are updating the mailing list, working with the mailroom to ensure there is sufficient supplies for mailing. I will also be working with Peter Kim, the Communications Officer, to publish the event on social media platforms.

Additionally, I will be reaching out directly to taxpayer organizations asking if they could notify their members of the TRA Bill of Rights Hearing. Historically I have contacted the following six taxpayer organizations: CalTax, Howard Jarvis Taxpayer
Association, Fideli Publishing, CalChamber, California Society of CPAs, and California Enrolled Agents.

Next, I will be sharing some statistics on the cases completed by the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office next month to provide some insight on the types of cases.

In April 2021, we completed twenty-three cases.

Eight were in Board Member Gaines' district, six on Board

Member Cohen's district, two in Board Member Vazquez's

district, and seven in Board Member Schaefer's district.

Of the twenty-three completed cases, four were in the administrative category and nineteen were in the valuation category. The administrative category includes

topics such as creating and mailing of tax bills, refunds, penalty cancellations, defaulted taxes, access to data, special assessments, and direct levies on the property tax bill. The valuation category includes topics such as change in ownership, declines in value, appraisal methodology, exclusions, exemptions, new construction, actual enrollment of values, general property taxation, and assessment appeals.

With respect to the administrative category, two cases involved a tax bill penalty for not paying the property tax installment timely. One case involved special assessment charges included on the property tax bill, and one involved access to records.

The Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office assisted the taxpayers by providing information about special assessments and requirements of the tax collector to add penalty when payments are not made timely due to -- or past the delinquency date. The TRA Office also helped the taxpayer understand the provisions under which the tax collector can cancel penalties and how to submit a penalty cancellation request.

Additionally, the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office provided information about how to access parcel maps on an assessor's website and provided contact information for additional resources.

With respect to the valuation category and it's nineteen cases in total, four addressed various aspects of change in ownership. Of the change in ownership cases, two cases addressed transfers of interest in property, which resulted in reappraisal when a party was removed from title, and one case involved property held in trust that was reassessed to market value following the death of a trustee. One of the cases involved supplemental assessments when property transferred from state assessment to local assessment as a result of the sale of the property.

2.3

The TRA Office assisted these taxpayers by providing information about when transfers of interest in real property are exempt from reassessment and on Property Tax Rule 462.200, as to miscellaneous arrangements considered to be security interest which would not constitute a change in ownership.

For the property that was held in trust, we explained that the death of a trustee results in reassessment unless the beneficiary of the trust is someone where an exclusion could apply such as an interspousal or a parent-child.

With respect to the case involving supplemental assessment, the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office directed the taxpayer to resources explaining that a supplemental

assessment can result from property changing from state assessment to local assessments.

And ten of these cases from the valuation category addressed exclusions from reassessments and exemptions, of which three pertained to the base year value transfer for persons aged 55 and over, two pertained to the parent-child exclusion, and two cases involved the grandparent-grandchild exclusion.

For the exemption cases, one pertained to the welfare exemption and the remaining two involved the historical aircraft exemption and low value exemption.

The Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office helped these taxpayers by explaining the exclusion requirements, documentation needed to demonstrate qualification, and that a claim could be submitted requesting the parent-child exclusion, since the property had not yet been reassessed.

Additionally, the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office explained how to submit the parent-child claim form along with a change in ownership statement reporting the change in ownership due to the death of a trustee for several high value properties for which the trustee died a decade ago.

With respect to historical aircraft case, the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office explained the

requirements for an aircraft to qualify for exemption under the Aircraft of Historical Significance provision as to replicas. For the low value exemption case, the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office explained that each county board of supervisors determines by ordinance if its county exempts certain types of property up to the threshold permitted by law.

The remaining six cases in the valuation category concerned assessment appeals and general property tax information. The Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office assisted these taxpayers by explaining information on appeal scheduling procedures, appeal filing deadlines, and remedies if a taxpayer disagrees with an assessment appeals board decision.

For the cases pertaining to general property tax questions, the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office explained the limitations of Proposition 19 on increases to the factored base year value, but the increases beyond two percent a year complies with the law if a property is assessed at market value under a decline in value status below its factored base year value.

Additionally, the Taxpayer Rights Advocate Office provided information on the property tax postponement program to assist a disabled person in seeking property tax savings.

That concludes my update, and I'm available if you have any questions. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. I see a hand from Member Gaines. Go ahead, Member Gaines.

MR. GAINES: Yeah. Thank you, Chair Vazquez. I just wanted to take a moment here. I will not mention the constituent's name, but we got an email related to this. I'd just like to read it to the Board.

"Thank you so much, Matt. Lisa was great and worked everything out with the county. I can't believe it was fixed in only three weeks. We have been dealing with this issue for years. Thank you again for Lisa Thompson. She was great."

So I just wanted to say that publicly. It's nice when we hear back from our constituents if an issue was resolved. And so I want to thank Lisa and the whole team for their efforts in making sure that we're responding to constituents' needs.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. I appreciate that (indiscernible).

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you for the positive note. I know we always throw out the negative stuff, right.

MR. GAINES: We do, yeah. We've got to balance that, right.

1 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Yes. Any other comments or 2 questions? 3 Seeing and hearing none. Ms. Taylor, can we -- do we have any comments -- oh, I just see, yeah excitement. 4 5 Are there any comments from any of the --MS. STOWERS: I do have a comment. 6 7 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry. Go ahead. Ms. Stowers, 8 go ahead. I thought you were just cheering us on. 9 MS. STOWERS: Is it okay if I make this shameless 10 plug -- is my mic muted? 11 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: No, I can hear you. Go ahead. 12 MS. STOWERS: Okay. Great. I just wanted to kind 13 of piggyback on Ms. Thompson's comment that she provided 14 to constituents some information about the property tax 15 postponement deadline. 16 So I just wanted to make a little plug from the 17 State Controller's Office that this is a program that is 18 monitored by the State Controller's Office, and those who 19 qualify, they have until June 1st to put in their 20 applications. If you need any information, you can go to 21 the State Controller's website at sco.ca.gov for 2.2 additional information. That's all. 2.3 CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 24 With that, Ms. Taylor, can we check if there's any

comments from any assessors or the public who wish to

-174-

25

speak on the TRA Report?

2.3

MS. TAYLOR: Sure. We do not have any written comments.

But AT&T Moderator, can you let us know if there is anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter? Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. For the record, a caller may provide their name.

AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. And once again, ladies and gentlemen, if you wish to make a public comment, please press 1, then 0.

And there are currently none queuing up at this time.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. With that, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the next item.

MS. TAYLOR: Our next Item is M.1., public policy hearings, Proposition 19 discussion, the Home Protection for Seniors, Severely Disabled, Families, and Victims of Wildfire or Natural Disasters Act of 2020. There are no planned staff reports or external speakers for this item. Persons who wish to address the Board may do so under this item on the agenda. The matter will be presented by Chairman Vazquez.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Members, consistent with our decision several months ago, we reserve the M.1. Item on every agenda to engage in discussion of Prop 19 issues

and concerns. And we encourage residents and officials throughout the state to bring forward any issues that will need attention or further policy development.

With that, Members, do you have any comments or questions before we open up this to the public hearing, here?

Seeing and hearing none, Ms. Taylor, do we have any comments from any of the assessors or the public who wish to speak on Prop 19?

MS. TAYLOR: I have one written comment from Attorney Kathleen Siemont.

"I would request that the Board address the following. Why was Prop 19 intentionally titled to mislead the public? Who actually drafted? Has BOE reviewed the public response to Prop 19 and the lack of transparency by BOE? None of the implementing forms were made available to the public prior to the February deadline. Why was that? Was there any type of training manual dated prior to the implementation date of Prop 19? Why was there no training for Prop 19 for any of the county recorder's offices? Why are so many recorder's offices in multiple counties providing conflicting information? What training has

2.3

been undertaken to implement Proposition 19 now? Where is the link to publicly available state and county employee's training manuals? These are public records. One of the letters from BOE states that even if the transfer's incomplete, as long as there is a notice of intent to transfer. What exactly does this mean considering that recorder's offices were closed for the pandemic? Why is the public being given conflicting information on what is needed to transfer a property from BOE? was there no response from Mullin's office to several requests for information? How much money did Mullin pocket of the thirty-eight million paid by the California Department of Realtors in political contributions? Why did Mullin's office disclaim involvement in Prop I have the receipts. Who is in charge of the drafting, the regulations, and how can they be contacted? My apologies for the frustration. I can find literally no one who will take responsibility for creating this morass of regulations intentionally designed to fleece and mislead taxpayers about their property rights. Thank you for your attention.

1 ||

Kathleen Siemont."

2

And that concludes our written comments.

3

 $\textbf{CHAIR VAZQUEZ:} \quad \text{Thank you.} \quad \text{Do we have anybody on} \\$

4

the line that wishes to speak on this item?

5

MS. TAYLOR: AT&T Moderator, can you let us know if

6

this matter? Each caller will have up to three minutes

there is anyone who wants to make a public comment on

7

to speak. For the record, we request that the callers

8

provide their name.

press 1, then 0.

10

AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. Ladies and gentlemen,

11

once again, if you wish to make a public comment, please

12

And there are currently none queuing up at this

member of the public who wrote his or her public

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. And thank you to the

comments. I understand and I feel the frustration that

continue to engage and work with us on this as we move

you're feeling. But once again, we just encourage you to

14

time.

forward.

13

. _

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only thing I'd like to just mention to our staff is more to kind of -- and the public to encourage everyone to review Rule 462.540, base year value transfers, that is attached to the LTA number 2021/012 posted on Proposition 19 webpage.

We really look forward to your comments and concerns as we try to clarify and define the impacts of such transfers on your property.

With that, if there's no other comments or questions, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the next item.

Oh, wait a minute. Mr. Gaines, I'm sorry, I saw your hand.

MR. GAINES: Thank you. I just -- I wanted to just comment because I think in terms of the ballot in summary and title, I think we could work on that. I'd be nice to have more clarity on these ballot initiatives so that the voters understand them. And you know, I think that needs to be addressed. We need to figure out a way to provide a clearer description of any ballot initiative that moves forward in the future.

In terms of the BOE and its reaction to Prop 19, I think, you know, we did not endorse Prop 19. It was passed by a vote of the people. And so we as electeds of the BOE have to implement this initiative. It falls under our jurisdiction with the Board of Equalization. So we've tried to get information out as quickly as possible. We've updated our website. We've created a special tab for Prop 19. We've had tens of thousands of people trying to gather new information as it was coming

forward.

2.3

And we do have our public hearings as we move forward with regulation. And we invite comments on regulations as we move forward. So we'll continue to do that and want the engagement of the public. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Thank you for that clarification. If there's no other comments or questions, let me ask Ms. Taylor to please call the next item.

MS. TAYLOR: The next item is in Public Comment on Matters not on the Agenda. Persons who wish to address the Board of Equalization regarding items not on the agenda, please note that the Board cannot take action on items not on the agenda, however the Board can schedule issues raised by the public for consideration at future meetings.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry, Ms. Taylor, did you say -- do we have any written comments on hand or no?

MS. TAYLOR: No, we do not have any written comments.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Can we check with AT&T on that?

MS. TAYLOR: Certainly. AT&T Moderator, can you let us know if there's anyone who wants to make a public comment on this matter? Each caller will have up to three minutes to speak. For the record, we request that

the callers provide their name.

AT&T MODERATOR: Of course. And once again, ladies and gentlemen, if you wish to make a public comment, please press 1, then 0.

There is currently no one queuing up at this time.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. With that, Ms. Taylor, if you would please call the next item.

MS. TAYLOR: Our next item is O, Closed Session, discussion and action on personnel matters. The Board Members will now go into closed session to discuss personnel matters.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. And Ms. Fleming, I believe there's -- do we need to officially sign off and sign back on on this one?

MS. FLEMING: Yes. Thank you, Members. Thank you, Chairman. So Members, if you would please, for the Board Members only, if I could ask you to use your leave buttons, you're actually going to exit from this public meeting. If you would kindly click out of this meeting, you then have on your calendars a second and unique Teams Meeting invitation, which is identified as a unique meeting for closed session purposes. Please, immediately, as soon as you can, join that closed session meeting. Again Board Members only have access to that like and the unique identifying information.

8

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

We will convene -- once you're all there, we'll confirm that everyone is inside that meeting and confirm that the confidentiality is intact. At that point, we will convene the closed session. We will remain in that session for the duration of your discussion. We will then exit and I'll give you instructions on how to exit and rejoin the public meeting upon adjournment of the closed session.

So again, just exit this public meeting and please join the closed session. Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. So we'll all leave now and then check your calendars and you can resign into the private closed session, just for members only. Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was held)

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. The Board Members met in closed session to discuss personnel matters and no action was taken.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. And with that, Ms. Taylor, I believe we are all finished with our business today and we can officially adjourn this meeting.

I just would like to thank the members and Ms. Fleming and staff for your continued dedicated work on Prop 19 as well as other business that we handled today.

And with that, once again, I'd like to adjourn in

memory of all the members -- I know the numbers are shrinking, but I know we're still losing lives due to this COVID, and I would just like to adjourn in their memory.

And I believe Member Schaefer might have maybe another adjournment motion, and if anybody else does, please let me know.

Member Schaefer?

2.3

VICE CHAIR SCHAEFER: No, I had no adjournment motion. I just was -- I was impressed today to hear from Member Gaines that we have a \$7,000 homeowner exemption and it's \$100,000 in Idaho. I just wondered if anybody in our legislature is evaluating that issue.

I'm very excited that the governor signed a new law, 704.730ccp, setting a \$300,000 to \$600,000 median exemption for homesteading in California, which has always been under \$100,000. So we're making great strides this year in homestead increase on behalf of the taxpayers, and I think it's high time the legislature does something about the ridiculous \$7,000 exemption California has. And if I knew who in the legislature was interested in that, I'd send them a contribution.

MR. GAINES: Thank you.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you. With that, Members, if there's no other adjournment motions, I would like to

officially adjourn our meeting at 4 o'clock today and remind the public that our next meeting is scheduled for June 29th and 30th.

Thank you all and thank you all for your patience and looking forward to regrouping in June. Have a good time and hope that everybody can stay healthy.

MR. GAINES: Right. Thanks so much. Take care.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Take care, everybody.

MS. STOWERS: Thank you, Members. Thank you, staff.

CHAIR VAZQUEZ: Thank you, Staff. Thank you,

Brenda.

(End of recording)

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

This is to certify that I transcribed the foregoing pages 1 to 184 to the best of my ability from an audio recording provided to me.

I have subscribed this certificate at Phoenix, Arizona, this 4th day of June, 2022.

Klancie Garrel

eScribers, LLC

--000--