1	
2	
3	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
4	450 N STREET
5	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
6	TELECONFERENCE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
13	SEPTEMBER 22, 2020
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	DEDODMED DV. Tilliam M. Games
27	REPORTED BY: Jillian M. Sumner CSR NO. 13619
28	CSK NO. 13019

1	<u>APPEAR</u>	ING TELEPHONICALLY
2	For the Board of Equalization:	Honorable Antonio Vazquez
3		Chair
4		Honorable Mike Schaefer Vice Chair
5 6		Honorable Ted Gaines First District
7		Yvette Stowers
8	For the Board of Equalization Staff:	Appearing for Betty T. Yee, State Controller (per Government Code
9		Section 7.9)
10		Brenda Fleming Executive Director
11		Henry Nanjo
12		Chief Counsel Legal Department
13 14		Richard Moon Tax Counsel IV
15		Legal Department
16		Lisa Renati Chief Deputy Director Board of Equalization
17		David Yeung
18		Deputy Director Property Tax Department
19		Lisa Thompson
20		Chief Taxpayers' Rights Advocate
21		Sonya Yim Legal Department
		Mark Durham
23		Chief
24		Legislative, Research & Statistics Division
2526		Toya Davis Clerk
27		Board Proceedings Division
28		000

1	STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
2	TELECONFERENCE
3	SEPTEMBER 22, 2020
4	00
5	MR. VAZQUEZ: Good morning. We're ready to
6	call the Board Meeting to order.
7	Ms. Davis, if you could please call the
8	roll.
9	MS. DAVIS: Chairman Vazquez.
10	MR. VAZQUEZ: Present.
11	MS. DAVIS: Vice Chair Schaefer.
12	MR. SCHAEFER: Present.
13	MS. DAVIS: Member Gaines.
14	MR. GAINES: Present.
15	MS. DAVIS: Deputy Controller Stowers.
16	MS. STOWERS: Present.
17	MS. DAVIS: We have a quorum present.
18	MR. VAZQUEZ: The Board Meeting is now
19	called to order.
20	We will start with the pledge of allegiance.
21	If I could just get you to all please stand, and
22	we'll begin with the pledge of allegiance.
23	(Whereupon the pledge of allegiance was
24	recited.)
25	MR. VAZQUEZ: Members, just another friendly
26	reminder. I know I say this every meeting, but,
27	you know, given these new times with all this
28	teleconferencing we're doing, you know, just once

again, really appreciate your patience.

2.6

Because, you know, we're basically all on one telephonic line, which means that it's sometimes not very clear for the transcriber to take -- you know, copy his notes. So please bear with us.

And let's try to, you know -- if you need to speak, just in this sense, now we're all on Zoom here, we could raise our hand, or just let me know through -- if -- you know, who's speaking, so we can identify you and make sure that it's being well recorded.

Now I'd like to open this meeting officially in memory of the U.S. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, who passed this last Friday at the age of 87.

Justice Ginsburg was appointed to the Supreme Court in 1993. The second woman ever appointed to the court. And she served more than 27 years.

She was known for the fierce descending opinions in key cases, and for consistently delivering progressive votes on some of the most divisive social issues.

But she also was known as a judge's judge, for clear and straightforward opinions that provided guidance to the lower courts.

As a young woman, she had faced discrimination when she was denied the opportunity of

a clerkship after graduating from Columbia Law 1 School in 1959 when few women attended law school. 2 But now, as a colleague, Chief Justice John 3 Roberts has lauded her as she will be remembered as 4 the jurist of historic -- historic stature, a 5 tireless and resolute champion of justice. 6 7 So with that, we will officially open our meeting. 8 If I can get Ms. Davis to please announce 9 our first order of business. 10 MS. DAVIS: Our first order of business is 11 12 an announcement from acting Chief of Board Proceedings, Henry Nanjo, regarding public 13 teleconference participation. 14 Mr. Nanjo, are you ready? 15 16 MR. NANJO: Yes, I am. Thank you. 17 MR. GAINES: Chair Vazquez. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry, I think I have --19 MR. NANJO: Good morning. 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: -- wanting to talk to you 21 guys. 22 MR. GAINES: Chair Vazquez. 23 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. Go ahead, Mr. Gaines. 24 MR. GAINES: Yeah. This is Member Gaines. 25 Would you mind if I made a couple of comments? 2.6 27 MR. VAZQUEZ: No, no, no. Go ahead. MR. GAINES: I'm sorry. 28

Yeah, thank you so much.

First of all, I'd just like to start with condolences, thoughts and prayers to you,
Chair Vazquez, and all your family as you mourn the loss of your brother who passed last week.

And so, Chair Vazquez, I just wanted to let you know that we're very sad about this news about your loss. I know you're close to your brother. We had a little chance to talk before our meeting, so thoughts and prayers with you.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

MR. GAINES: Absolutely.

And then also if I could, just to piggyback on your comments, Chairman Vazquez, in terms of Supreme Court Justice, Ruth Bader Ginsburg, who served our country for 30 years with great distinction.

And I thought what was nice about her, there was a -- she had a relationship, a good relationship, a friendship with Justice Antonin Scalia. And I just thought that that was interesting, because, really, they were on opposite sides of the spectrum in terms of their philosophies. And, yet, they were able to enjoy friendship.

But I think, you know -- I think I read they travel together, and some other things. And it just speaks to to Justice Ginsburg and to Justice Scalia.

And I think that's something we need more of

in American society. That we can just disagree on issues, but we can also be respectful of one another's views.

2.6

Ginsburg was only the second woman to serve on the court, which is really hard to believe now that we consider that half of all law school students are women. I think the fact that that is itself directly attributable to the trailblazing done by Justice Ginsburg.

She was also a longtime advocate for women's rights before being seated on the court. And her life and work served to inspire many women and men to fight for justice.

In addition, I'd like to take this opportunity to recognize firefighter Charlie Morton, who lost his life battling the El Dorado Fire in San Bernardino in my district.

This has been a staggering fire season, and the men and women in Cal Fire, the Forest Service, and the local fire departments and other organizations battling these blazes risk their lives daily to keep others safe, and to protect our property.

This hero lost his life in our service, and I'm deeply saddened by his death.

And then, finally, on a note of happiness and hope, we had just heard word that our fellow Member Malia Cohen had her baby.

And so congratulations to Malia and her 1 husband, and bringing joy to the world with their 2 beautiful baby. 3 So thank you so much for those opening 4 comments. 5 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 6 7 Yes, I'm glad you did mention the reason why we're missing one of our Board Members. 8 Malia Cohen, she -- you're right, she 9 just gave birth to her first baby, Madison Victoria 10 Pulley. 11 12 Welcome to the world. I know she's gonna be a fierce activist when she gets older. And wish 13 you nothing but the best. 14 And I don't blame her for taking some time. 15 I would do the same. But we will miss it today at 16 17 our meeting. 18 With that, let me get go back to Mrs. Davis. 19 If you would please announce our first order 20 of business. MS. DAVIS: Our first order of business is 21 an announcement that will be read by Mr. Henry Nanjo, 22 23 acting Chief of Board Proceedings. 24 Mr. Nanjo, are you ready? 25 MR. NANJO: Yes, I am. Thank you very much. Good morning, and thank you for joining 26

today's Board of Equalization meeting via

2.7

28

teleconference.

Throughout the duration of today's meeting you will primarily be in a listen-only mode.

2.6

As you may know from our public agenda notice and our Web site, we have requested that individuals who wish to make a public comment fill out the public comment submission form found on our "Additional Information" Web page in advance of today's meeting.

Or, alternatively, participate in today's meeting by providing your public comment live after the presentation of an item has concluded.

We will begin by identifying any public comment requests that have been received by our Board Proceedings staff, with the AT&T operator providing directions for you to identify yourself.

After all known public commenters have been called, the operator will also provide public comment instructions to the individuals participating via teleconference.

Accordingly, if you intend to make a public comment today, we recommend dialing into the meeting on the teleconference line, as the audio broadcast on our Web site experiences a one- to-three-minute delay.

When giving a public comment, please limit your remarks to no more than three minutes.

We ask that everyone who is not intending to make a public comment, please mute their lines or

minimize background noise. 1 If there are technical difficulties when 2 we are in the public comment portion of our meeting, 3 we will do our best to read submitted comments into 4 the record at the appropriate times. 5 Thank you for your patience and 6 7 understanding. Ms. Davis, back to you. 8 MS. DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, are you ready? 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: I'm sorry. I think I was 10 muted. 11 12 Ms. Davis, please go ahead and give us our first order of business. 13 MS. DAVIS: Our first item on the agenda is 14 C1, Proposed Amendments to Property Tax Rule 462.500 15 by Sonya Yim of the Legal Department. 16 17 Ms. Yim, are you ready to present this item? 18 MS. YIM: Yes, I am. 19 MS. DAVIS: Thank you. Go ahead. 20 MS. YIM: Sure. 21 Good morning, Chairman Vazquez and Members of the Board. This is Sonia Yim from the Legal 22 23 Department. 24 I'm here to recommend and request that the 25 Board vote to adopt the proposed amendments to Property Tax Rule 462.500. 2.6 27 The proposed amendments make the rule consistent with current law, namely Revenue and 28

Taxation Code Section 68, which governs change in 1 ownership of real property acquired to replace 2 property taken by governmental action or eminent 3 domain proceedings. 4 And I'll be happy to answer any questions or 5 provide any additional details. 6 7 Thank you. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 8 Members, are there any questions? 9 Hearing none, can I -- I'd like to entertain 10 a motion to adopt the staff's recommendation. 11 12 MS. DAVIS: Mr. Chairman, I apologize. do have --13 14 MR. VAZQUEZ: All right. Is there any public -- I'm sorry. 15 MR. SCHAEFER: Vice Chair Schaefer. 16 17 so move. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: I'll second that. But before we take a vote, do we need to 19 20 hear the public first, Ms. Davis? 21 MS. DAVIS: Yes. If we can please have the 22 public comment read into the record. 23 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 24 MS. DAVIS: I believe we have -- I believe we have Ms. Yim who would like to read the comment, 25 or is it Mr. Nanjo? 2.6 27 MR. NANJO: It's Henry Nanjo. I will be reading the public comment. 28

MS. DAVIS: Thank you.

2.6

2.7

MR. NANJO: Okay. And this public comment is from a Mr. Jonathan Green. And his comment is as follows:

For my public announcement, taxes should be reduced by 50 because of the reason everyone can't make payments unless they're married.

I'm a single homeowner. It's not fair to me, especially if I don't have kids, and paying taxes for schools. Some people or families are barely making mortgage payments. So how can we lower taxes to at least 50 percent?

And that's the comment.

Mr Green's comment is to agenda Items C1, K5(a) through (d), the assessors' workgroup and the training, as well as the COVID matter. But it will only be read at this point. And then we will attach it to the minutes of the meeting.

Thank you very much.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Ms. Davis, is there anybody on the line that wishes to speak on this item?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ DAVIS: We can check with the AT&T moderator.

AT&T moderator, can you please let us know if there's anyone on the line who'd like to make public comments at this time?

AT&T MODERATOR: Ladies and gentlemen on the phone lines, if you wish to make a comment at this

time, you may press 1, then 0 to place yourself into 1 the queue. 2 I do not show anyone queuing up at this 3 time. 4 Please continue. 5 MS. DAVIS: Thank you. 6 7 Mr. Chairman, we have -- we have no further public comments at this time. 8 Go ahead, sir. 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 10 So we have a motion that's been second. 11 12 Hearing and seeing no other comments, 13 can we take a roll? 14 MS. DAVIS: Chairman Vazquez. MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 15 MS. DAVIS: Vice Chair Schaefer. 16 17 MR. SCHAEFER: Yes. 18 MS. DAVIS: Member Gaines. MR. GAINES: Yes. 19 20 MS. DAVIS: Deputy Controller Stowers. 21 MS. STOWERS: Yes. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. That's unanimous 22 23 of those present. 24 Ms. Davis, if we can go to the next order of business. 2.5 MS. DAVIS: Next is our Administrative 2.6 2.7 Consent Agenda Item, J1, Approval of Board Meeting Minutes from July 22nd through 23rd, 2020. 28

```
MR. VAZQUEZ: Members, do we have any
1
      questions or comments on our minutes?
 2
               MR. GAINES: Motion to approve.
 3
               MR. VAZQUEZ: It's been moved to be approved
 4
     by Mr. Gaines. I will second that.
 5
 6
               Seeing no hands or comments here, Ms. Davis,
 7
      can you please call the roll.
               MS. DAVIS: Chairman Vazquez.
 8
               MR. VAZOUEZ: Yes.
 9
               MS. DAVIS: Vice Chair Schaefer.
10
               MR. SCHAEFER: Yes.
11
               MS. DAVIS: Member Gaines.
12
               MR. GAINES: Aye.
1.3
14
               MS. DAVIS: Deputy Controller Stowers.
               MS. STOWERS:
                             Aye.
15
               MR. VAZQUEZ: So that's unanimous of those
16
17
      present.
18
               Ms. Davis, can you please call our next
19
      item.
20
               MS. DAVIS: Next is our Administrative
      Consent Agenda Item, J2, Approval of 2021 Board
21
      Work -- Board Workload Plan. Excuse me.
22
               Mr. Chairman.
23
24
               MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. I'm sorry.
25
               MS. DAVIS: No problem.
               MR. VAZQUEZ: Do we have a -- can you hear
26
2.7
      me?
               MS. DAVIS: We can hear you now, sir.
28
```

MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 1 Okay. I'm sorry about that. 2 Members, I'd like to entertain a motion to 3 approve or adopt the staff's recommendation on this 4 item. 5 MR. SCHAEFER: Vice Chair -- Vice Chair 6 7 Schaefer. I had a question. MR. VAZQUEZ: Sure. Go ahead, Mr. Vice 8 Chair. 9 MR. SCHAEFER: I'd like to ask Ms. Davis, 10 why is it necessary that we approve a workload 11 12 program? I mean, is this just something that's done routinely every -- every year, or as a 13 substitute modification? 14 I'm not really aware of just why we're --15 16 why it's before us. 17 MS. DAVIS: Mr. Nanjo. 18 MR. NANJO: Yes, Vice Chair Schaefer, this 19 is Henry Nanjo, Chief Counsel. 20 It is customary, approximately at this 21 time of the year, that the Board adopts a workload plan for the next calendar year, that's 2021. 22 23 This gives the public an opportunity to plan 24 for the dates of the meeting if they wish to 25 participate. And also let's all other entities that may 26 wish to conduct business with the Board be aware of 2.7 the dates that the Board are conducting their 28

meetings for planning purposes. 1 As I may have mentioned to the Board 2 Members at other times, the workload plan is approved 3 by the Board. But if there's ever a conflict that 4 comes up during the year through a noticed motion at 5 a meeting, the Board Members can change any of 6 7 those dates. But this is a way to let the public and 8 others know, so they can pre-plan for the future. 9 MR. SCHAEFER: That -- that makes sense. 10 I thank you, Mr. Nanjo. 11 12 I would move we approve -- it's been moved. And I will go ahead and second that. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: Hearing and seeing no other 14 comments, Ms. Davis, could you please call the roll? 15 MS. DAVIS: Chairman Vazquez. 16 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. 18 MS. DAVIS: Vice Chair Schaefer. MR. SCHAEFER: Yes. 19 20 MS. DAVIS: Member Gaines. MR. GAINES: Aye. 21 22 MS. DAVIS: Deputy Controller Stowers. MS. STOWERS: Aye. 23 24 MR. VAZQUEZ: That's unanimous of all those 25 present. Thank you. 2.6 Ms. Davis, please call the next item. 27 MS. DAVIS: The next item is K, Other 28

Administrative Items. 1 The Executive Director's Report has two 2 items, K1(a) and K1(b), Extension of Time for 3 Official Acts is the first. 4 Ms. Brenda Fleming, BOE Executive Director, 5 and Ms. Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy Director, will 6 7 present these items. Ms. Fleming and Ms. Renati are both ready 8 to --9 Are you both ready to present at this time? 10 MS. FLEMING: Good morning, Ms. Davis. 11 12 Good morning, Chairman Vazquez and Honorable Members. This is Brenda Fleming, the Executive 13 14 Director. Members, good stories to report for you 15 16 today. 17 Members, as of September, believe it or not, 18 we are now three-quarters of the way through this 19 Board's second year. 20 2020, Members, as you know, has been an 21 unprecedented year. And for my report today, I'd 22 like to take this opportunity to highlight a few 23 of your extraordinary accomplishments, and what 24 lies -- a little bit about what lies ahead on the 25 horizon for the this last quarter of the year. First, let me start to give you some 26 updates on the Statewide Informational Hearing. 27 First, I'd like to highlight that beginning 28

one year ago in September of 2019 you held the first in a series of four Informational Hearings designed to get input on issues that are important to the long-term health of California's property tax system.

2.6

2.7

The Board's leadership plays a crucial role in studying emerging state and local government issues that impact the property tax duties under our jurisdiction.

Your leadership also plays an essential role in facilitating public discussions with taxpayers, taxpayer advocates, local governments, policymakers, academics, subject matter experts, and other interested parties that could result in policy development, problem solving, and more.

These Informational Hearings and public forums are opportunities to gather information from industry experts and the public on modernizing California's property tax system.

The plan, if you recall, was to hold four Informational Hearings throughout the state, one in each of your equalization districts.

To date, we've completed three of the four hearings.

A little bit of a -- of a highlight of the walk through, their first Informational Hearing,
Modernizing California's Property Tax System, Part
One, Opportunities, Challenges, and Emerging Issues
was held one year ago in September 2019 in San Diego

in Vice Chair Schaefer's District.

2.6

The September meeting began the Board's focus on emerging issues that may affect the administration of property taxes, and brings to light the challenges facing California's property tax system.

A panel of industry experts discussed the current and future state of property tax administration, you gathered information and heard about workforce challenges related to the Silver Tsunami of retirement, and the high cost of low salaries in relation to specialized skills needed by this property tax industry.

At this meeting you also heard from property tax experts on preparing for the potential impact of a split roll in California.

The second Informational Hearing,

Modernizing California's Property Tax System, Part

Two, was held in December 2019 in San Jose,

California in Board Member Cohen's District.

At this hearing a panel of industry experts discussed the strengths, challenges and opportunities of California's Assessment Appeals Board's assessment of personal property and fixtures and opportunity zones.

The third Informational Hearing, Modernizing California's Property Tax System, Part Three, was held last month -- I'm sorry -- a month before July

2020 in Board Member Gaines' District.

2.6

2.7

Members, you took a deeper dive into the newly qualified ballot initiative split roll, which is Prop. 15, increases funding for public schools, community colleges and local government services by changing tax assessment of commercial and industrial property.

You heard presentation, Members, from proponents and opponents of the initiative, and held a discussion of the potential implementation issues and impact the initiative will have on the BOE, county assessors, and the assessment appeals process should it pass in November.

The fourth and final Informational Hearing in this series will be held for Chairman Vasquez's District. The schedule for this information is pending. And as soon as we have more information, we'll update you.

These Informational Hearings have facilitated action on some of them -- some of the important challenges facing property tax administration, most notably on the current challenges in workforce recruitment and retention.

On September 24th of this year, day three of this month's Board Meeting, you'll be holding a Workforce Planning Workgroup Stakeholders Meeting to have discussion and identify opportunities and recommended action, both short term and long term, to

ensure that the county assessors and BOE have sufficient, skilled appraisers and auditor-appraisers, and training programs for efficient, effective, and modernized property tax administration.

2.6

2.7

The next item, Members, briefly, is the sudden impact of COVID-19 on the Board and Property Tax Administration.

In March, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the governor issued an executive -- executive order implementing a stay-at-home policy. Due to the COVID-19 stay-at-home policy, travel restrictions and social distancing were needed.

To implement alternative means of holding public meetings, the governor's executive order included provisions for our remote hearings.

My team worked tirelessly to find a solution, which provides a live video stream and teleconference access to our meetings, and a means for the public to actively participate.

And I kudos to the staff for their work.

In addition to your regularly scheduled meetings, Members, the Board has held multiple public policy hearings. And I congratulate you on those public policy hearings. Designed to address issues on the many impacts of COVID-19 on property tax administration as they arise from the pandemic and the stay-at-home orders.

These hearings have provided an opportunity for county assessors, Assessment Appeals Boards, taxpayers, and other interested parties to share experiences and have meaningful discussions, and work towards solutions to the challenges that lie before us by facilitating stakeholder testimony and discussion on these important issues in the public forum.

2.6

2.7

These hearings have provided important context and information for the Legislature and the governor to take some timely action to address concerns as they arise in these unprecedented times.

To alleviate the strain identified by taxpayers and property tax administrators, the governor has issued multiple executive orders.

In response, the BOE has issued guidance to help facilitate, implement the policies created by these executive orders and our Board's leadership.

The Board has issued letters to assessors to provide guidance on executive orders. For example, in 6-1-20, allowing taxpayers until June 1st, 2020 to file their personal property statements without incurring a penalty. And on Executive Order N-72-20, which provides an extension of the two-year deadline for County Boards of Equalization and Assessment Appeals Boards to render a final decision on assessment appeals.

Members, your leadership was a central

part of that -- of that -- those actions.

2.6

Most recently, at the August meeting, the Board held the Public Policy Hearing to discuss the impact of COVID-19 on Assessment Appeals Boards and their ability to hold remote -- remote Assessment Appeals Hearings, and how to ensure public access and due process rights of applicants.

This important discussion will continue this month, Thursday, September 23rd and 24th, on day two and three of this month's Meeting.

Finally, Members, I'd like to mention some of the important items on the horizon as we quickly approach the end of 2020.

Over the next few months, at the October,
November and December Board Meetings, the Board will
hear and render decisions on appeals of
state-assessed property values.

The Board will continue to gather information, work with the governor's office and the Legislature to provide guidance on issues affecting property tax arising from the pandemic.

The Board will work with -- will work on the fourth and final Informational Hearing on modernizing California's property tax system, etc. And the sky's the limit on other opportunities for us to help our property tax system and our taxpayers.

As always, I'd like to thank our staff for their response to this crisis, and their commitment

to our continuity of government responsibilities
while they're still caring for our families. And in
this month, while also relocating our offices.

So kudos to the staff for all that they're
doing.

Members, at this point, if there are no
questions, I'll now hand it over to the Executive

Members, at this point, if there are no questions, I'll now hand it over to the Executive Management Team, the Executive Management Team members including Chief Counsel Nanjo, Chief Deputy Director, Ms. Renati, our Property Tax Deputy Director, Mr. Yeung, Mr. Durham, and Ms. Thompson, our TRA, will provide updates on their operational priorities so that you have a full comprehensive view of what the priorities are that we're focused on at this time.

Members, thank you, and that concludes my report.

I'll turn it over to Ms. Renati.

Thank you.

2.6

2.7

MS. RENATI: Thank you, Chairman Vazquez and Honorable Members. I am Lisa Renati, Chief Deputy Director.

Today I will provide an update on the agency's operational priorities and projects.

The first item is our recruitments. We are making marked progress in our efforts to fill our vacancies and streamline our hiring processes.

Currently, we have 51 percent of the agency's

vacancies in active recruitment.

2.6

2.7

We have updated our hiring practices to utilize an until-filled designation, and converted our promotional examinations to an education experience format. Both of these changes allow more flexibility to attract and hire qualified candidates.

We are pleased to announce that Mr. Peter Kim will join the team on Monday, September 28th, as the Chief Communications Officer.

Mr. Kim brings with him almost 14 years of communication experience, which is a great value to this very important role in the BOE.

We will continue to focus on our recruitment and retention plans to ensure our workforce capacity is solidly in place.

Members, the next item is our facilities.

As Brenda -- as Ms. Fleming mentioned, we are looking forward to moving into our new headquarters. It will be the first time in decades that the Executive Office, Legal Department, Board Proceedings staff, the Taxpayers Rights Advocates office, the Legislative Research and Statistics team, the EEO office, and our property tax staff will be located in one building.

We will all benefit from the operational efficiencies realized from working out of one facility.

Currently the project is on time with an

estimated final completion date of December 2020.

2.6

2.7

Next, I would like to discuss teleworking. We anticipate the continuation of sheltering in place and telework protocols through the end of the fiscal year.

With this in mind, the agency's business continuity plan has been updated to ensure we are able to complete our constitutional duties. And the teleworking plan also complies with the governor's orders to help prevent the spread of COVID-19.

Lastly, as regards to the agency's strategic plan, the workforce development aspects of our strategic plan are being implemented.

Specifically, our focus is on recruitment strategies, which includes resuming our participation in recruitment affairs, including virtual events, making better use of specific classifications to enhance recruitment opportunities and career paths, hiring process improvements, and mentoring activities for success in planning.

This concludes my presentation. I am available to answer any questions you may have.

MR. GAINES: If I could.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes, Mr. Gaines.

MR. GAINES: Yes.

Thank you. I just wanted to thank Lisa

Renati for that presentation. And I'm very excited that you and Brenda have been working on a

communication's director. So it's exciting to hear that Mr. Kim's been hired. And look forward to the key role that he can play for the BOE in making sure that our constituents are aware of what the BOE is doing. So that's a very positive note.

2.6

2.7

Also on the recruitment, very excited to hear that -- that we are promoting from within, while at the same time getting applicants.

Quite frankly, because of the Coronavirus, we have more people in the private sector that are looking for positions. So I see this as a great opportunity for the BOE to fill those vacancies.

And I appreciate the progress that we're seeing on that -- on that front, because it's kind of a stair step. We -- we recruit from within, we bring people up into positions of more authority, and then that creates a vacancy behind it. But we have so many active recruitments going on, I think we're definitely moving in the right direction.

So just wanted to thank you, Lisa. And if there's anything you want to add to that, please let -- let me know. But it sounds great.

MS. RENATI: Thank you, Senator Gaines. We are working very hard, and it's a team effort. And I appreciate your comments.

MR. SCHAEFER: This is Vice Chair Schaefer. I have a comment.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. Go ahead.

MR. SCHAEFER: I notice Peter Kim who just 1 joined us, is he any relation to our Secretary of 2 Transportation, David Kim? And he's not the Peter 3 Kim who's a professor at Stanford University, I don't 4 think? He's not the Peter Kim who is a recognized 5 comedian based in LA? 6 7 I quess it's a whole new Peter Kim we have yet to meet, right? 8 MS. RENATI: I would presume so, Mr. 9 Schaefer. 10 MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you. 11 12 MS. RENATI: Yes. I have no information that -- that, to my knowledge, that he was any of 13 those Peter Kims. He is Mr. Peter Kim, our 14 Communications Expert that we've hired. 15 MR. SCHAEFER: All right. Thank you. 16 17 MS. RENATI: Thank you. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Ms. Davis, please call our 19 next item. 20 The next item is K2(a), Chief Counsel's 21 Report, presented by Mr. Henry Nanjo, Chief Counsel. 22 Mr. Nanjo, are you ready to present the K2? 23 MR. NANJO: Thank you. 24 Thank you, Chairman Vazquez, Vice Chair 25 Schaefer, Honorable Members. Henry Nanjo, Chief Counsel. 2.6 Wanted to go over my quarterly update on the 27 status of the Legal Department. The Legal 28

Department's compensation -- excuse me -- composition has not changed since our last presentation; however, we do have -- currently have two tax counsel positions that are in active recruitment. And we hope to have them filled by the end of the year.

2.6

2.7

On a workload note, as a result of novel Coronavirus, the Legal Department remains in a telework status as we continue through the year to work our way through the Coronavirus.

We do have employees come in as needed, but try to limit their time in the office. And consequently have a lot of our Legal staff working from home.

From a workload highlight, we have started our state-assessed appeals season, in which the Legal Department attorneys play two roles during the state-assessed appeals season.

One, is, as the state-assessed appeals attorney, and repre -- that appeal's attorney is a neutral position and tries to bring the parties together to resolve the issues.

And then we have other attorneys who are assigned as SAPD representation for the Department for State-Assessed Appeals.

At the beginning of the petition/appeals season, two attorneys in the Legal Department are designated to handle responsibilities formerly handled by the Appeals Division.

These responsibilities include verifying that petitions are correctly filed, advising Board Proceedings Division on filing and scheduling, researching appeals issues, preparing for and holding appeals conferences, and drafting summary decisions and hearing summaries, and the publication of any Section 40 decisions, the SAPD representation for state appeals.

2.6

2.7

The remaining attorneys in the Legal

Department represent the State-Assessed Properties

Division, SAPD, in the state-assessed appeals. These responsibilities include consulting with SAPD staff, researching issues, drafting analysis, filing documents with the Board Proceedings Division, and preparing for and attending appeals conferences.

As I may have mentioned to the Board Members, SAPD season presents a challenge to the Legal Department, because virtually all my attorneys are tied up in one role or another with SAPD appeals. So, consequently, our workload metrics show a slight decline in our ability to handle opinions and technical advice.

However, during the period of July through September 2020, we have completed 98 PRA requests. We have 6 pending for administration inquiries. We had completed 8 assignments. We have 5 pending for publication review. We've completed 17 of those; we have 5 pending.

And on regulations, we have four of those completed, and we have two pending.

2.6

As far as providing technical advice, this is through Legal opinions, we have answered or completed 12 that came to us via e-mail; 7 pending letters and memos. We have completed 2, and we have 8 pending.

And for phone calls, we had 8 completed and 4 pending. And then we've had one special project pending, for a total of 161 assignments completed, and 27 pending.

From the Legal Depart -- for purposes of the Legal Department scope, from my last presentation,

I'd like to remind you the wide variety of subject matters that the Legal Department is responsible for.

Those include Public Records Act requests.

This involves working with the appropriate departments to gather any responsive records, reviewing thousands of pages of documents, and redacting confidential information where required.

Administration assignments are related to disclosure and other administrative-type issues. Representative examples include reviewing and responding to Board Member inquiries, including possibly Bagley-Keene issues, and other Board Member issues or questions related to the BOE.

In addition, we coordinate through the Board Proceedings Division to ensure Board Meeting

protocol, relevant laws, including recent executive orders, are followed for teleconference meetings.

2.6

In the area of publication review, we provide legal review of property tax and other publications, including surveys, legal legislative analysis, assessor's handbook provisions, letters to assessors, and annotations.

Representative examples of these projects include review of county surveys for legal issues, and working with the County-Assessed Properties Division to address both staff and assessor concerns.

Another example would be legal review of multiple legislative analyses for recently enrolled legislation, and review of letters to assessors, including announcements concerning the governor's executive orders.

In the area of regulations, any activity related to the consideration or review of regulations and such activities include research interested parties meetings, review comments, drafting, editing and receiving Department of Finance and Office of Administrative Law approvals.

Some representative examples of ones that we have done include further regulatory activities for emergency rule 202, 462.500, which the Board heard the public hearing on today, and rule 370.

In regards to technical advice or legal opinions, we provide property tax advice

to staff, assessors, taxpayers and taxpayer representatives.

2.5

2.6

2.7

Of the 43 completed assignments giving technical advice, 16 were advice to staff and assessors. Technical advice responses were in the form of phone calls, e-mails, letters, memos.

And representative examples of substantive technical advice include assessment appeals. The Legal Department advised a county on assessment appeals filing due dates for taxpayers that disagree with the assessor's value upon restoration of property after calamity, and numerous inquiries regarding legal entities and exemptions.

The Legal Department advised the Property

Tax Department on the ability of an executor to file

a disabled veteran's exemption for disabled or for a

deceased disabled veteran.

For example, just a footnote, I also oversee the Board Proceedings Division as acting Chief. I'm pleased to report that we have completed a successful recruitment, and we will have an additional gentleman joining us as a Staff Services Analyst in Board Proceedings Division who will start on October 5th.

I'd like to extend my thanks to the team for the hard work and extra efforts in making teleconference meetings, additional meet -- and additional meetings to support Board efforts on COVID policy discussions throughout this COVID season.

I'm open for any questions for Legal or 1 Board Proceedings. 2 Thank you very much, Members. 3 MR. GAINES: Chair Vazquez. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 5 Yes, Member -- I see Mr. Gaines. 6 7 Go ahead. MR. GAINES: Great. Thank you. 8 I want to -- am I in order? Did you have 9 comments first? 10 MR. VAZQUEZ: No, no. Go ahead. 11 12 MR. GAINES: Okay. All right. Thank you. I -- one of the -- just, number one, great 13 great news on Board Proceedings in terms of the 14 So that is awesome. hiring. 15 16 And I know that you've been wearing a lot of 17 hats, Henry. Especially last year, and beginning of 18 this year. 19 I recall that you had indicated some new 20 hiring in your Legal Department. Can you give me 21 an update in terms of -- or give us an update in terms of where you are on that? Are we getting close 22 23 to the right number of Legal staff to be fully 24 operational? 25 MR. NANJO: Every person -- thank you. Thank you, Member Gaines. 2.6 27 Every -- every person helps. And we have two tax counsel positions that we're actively 28

recruiting for that may sound familiar. We've been recruiting for those positions for a while.

2.7

We have had a couple of sets of interviews for -- to try to fill those positions. The challenge is we weren't getting candidates who really had any kind of background. And we really need some horsepower, so to speak, in that area.

So we are very hopeful. We kind of cast a wider net, and we changed our recruitment strategy so that we don't have a closing date. Our tax counsel positions are posted until filled.

And we're -- I'm exploring other opportunities to actually visit law schools and other methodologies to try to encourage a wider applicant pool. So we're very hopeful by the end of the year that we will -- those efforts will bear fruit.

MR. GAINES: Good.

And how about workload for everybody? Are you as --

MR. NANJO: It's challenging -- go ahead. I'm sorry.

MR. GAINES: I just know that you were overtaxed last year and beginning of this year. And I'm wondering, is it getting -- my impression is it's getting better. But I just want -- I want to confirm that.

MR. NANJO: I -- in -- in all candor, it is

incrementally getting better. But there is still much more work than -- that Legal has. We've been working with Ms. Renati and Ms. Fleming to continue to try to get resources to augment the Legal staff.

So those efforts look like they're -- they're heading in the right direction.

2.6

2.7

MR. GAINES: Wonderful. Great. Thank you. Thank you very much.

MR. SCHAEFER: Vice Chair Schaefer. I have some comments.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. Go ahead, Vice Chair Schaefer.

MR. SCHAEFER: Mr. Nanjo, you -- when you first started your presentation, you misspoke "compensation" when you meant "composition," which raises my question about compensation.

Are we competitive with other public institutions seeking to hire the same kind of people? How are we in the competitive? Are we paying enough, or are we paying all we can afford?

MR. NANJO: Thank you, Vice Chair Schaefer.

We are paying the same that other state entities are paying attorneys; however, there has been some concerns raised by the attorneys that the compensation is kind of falling behind the locals.

It's my understanding that CalHR is looking into those issues, and that is an ongoing discussion.

But, you know, one of the benefits, as you

3 6

know, of government employee -- employment, is not only being able to serve the public good for -- for that matter, but also the retirement, and some of the additional benefits, which don't -- which are part of the compensation package, but don't appear as salary.

2.6

So that's -- that's there. There is some -- some competitiveness there.

MR. SCHAEFER: All right. Am I -- thank you for your input.

And my second question with the -- on the horizon, we see Prop. 15. If that passed, that would require hiring a lot of people, a lot of additional people. But I think they would be primarily assessors. I can't see that Prop. 15 would require additional staff from the Legal point of view. I think our existing Legal staff can do an expanded job, because it's essentially just the same issues that -- our worries over Prop. 15 personnel-wise have to be focused really on assessors.

Am I right there?

MR. NANJO: Vice Chair Schaefer, actually, it would require a lot of additional work on the part of Legal staff from the standpoint of there would need to be a lot of additional regulations that are are produced and -- and presented to the Board, and implemented.

As you may know, anytime you have new laws, the laws are not typically specific enough for them

to be implemented. That's left to regulate regulations. And as a BOE has responsibilities over the property tax, that would fall to us. So we anticipate a lot more resources being needed to draft regulations.

2.6

2.7

In addition, split roll could possibly -- or Proposition 15, if it passes, could also result in a lot more inquiries about how the laws to apply be applied, what effect it's going to have on existing property owners.

There's a myriad of questions that will likely come to the Legal Department for additional legal opinions. So our anticipation is there would be quite a significant tax on Legal resources if that proposition does pass.

MR. SCHAEFER: I think I've heard some prior information on that, and you've done a very good job in informing us.

Finally, I'm enheartened that you're going to be reaching out to law schools as possibly a source of some talent. I'm a alumnus of Georgetown in Washington.

I would hope that you might make an appearance in Washington, because I think there's a lot of people who are very tax proficient that are employed in the Washington, D.C. bureaucracy, mostly federal people that would love a chance to come work in California and get away from the bad weather, and

all the other problems of being in the cesspool of government.

And I would think it'd be very worthwhile, if you do any recruitment out of state, that you make an appearance in Washington, D.C. to see what legal talent there we might invite to come help us out.

Thank you.

2.5

2.6

2.7

MR. NANJO: Thank you, Vice Chair Schaefer.

We don't have the resources to travel there.

But within this virtual world, I will definitely reach out to them as well.

Thank you very much.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you, Mr. Nanjo.

I also wanted to just echo the thoughts, and and really welcome -- I know you've gone above and beyond during this, especially during this pandemic. And with just all the activity we've put out with the informational hearings, which I know has created a lot more work for the Legal Department.

And -- and I think one of the things that might be key, I'm thinking, moving forward, especially after the election, I think you mentioned Prop. 15, I think even Prop. 19 could create some more legal opinions that we might be asking of you after that.

So we should definitely revisit this, and see what we could do as a Board to advocate for obviously more resources for the Legal Department

moving forward. 1 But thank you for all your hard work, 2 3 though. MR. NANJO: Thank you very much, Chairman 4 Vazquez. 5 6 MS. STOWERS: Chairman Vazquez, Yvette 7 Stowers here. MR. VAZQUEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Stowers, 8 go ahead. 9 10 MS. STOWERS: Yes. I have a couple of questions or comments to Chief Counsel. 11 12 Chief Counsel, you talked about -- we're now in the state-assessed season, and your attorneys 13 14 are extremely busy. Have they started the hearings? And if so, any feedback on how the remote 15 hearings are going? 16 17 MR. NANJO: Thank you, Deputy Controller 18 Stowers. We actually have not started the hearings 19 20 yet. I believe the first hearings are scheduled for next week or the week after. So we -- we will see 21 22 how it goes. 23 We're trying -- we're trying to anticipate 24 all possible issues. And I have a good team working on that. So I will be able to report on that at the 25 next meeting. 2.6 27 MS. STOWERS: Okay. I look forward to that report. 28

And just kind of piggybacking on everyone else's comments regarding recruitment, I'm very pleased that you're going back out to the universities. And I just wanted to remind you about Taxpayer Assistance Programs.

2.6

I realized that BOE does not have a TAP program, but your sister agencies, CDTFA and FTB does. So that might be a resource pool. They are -- obviously are not being trained in property tax. But they are getting some really good training there. And in the past, we have hired from that as a resource pool.

MR. NANJO: Thank you very much, Deputy Controller Stowers.

I am in pretty good contact with my counterparts, both Mr. Bob Tucker and Jozel Brunett over at FTB. So if they have any applicants to spare, I'm more than happy to take them off their hands.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Thank you all, Members.

Ms. Davis, can you please call the next item.

MS. DAVIS: The next item is K3, Property
Tax Deputy Director's Report, presented by Mr. David
Yeung, Deputy Director of Property Tax.

Mr. Yeung will present K3(a), Operational Updates.

Mr. Yeung.

2.5

2.6

2.7

MR. YEUNG: Yes. Good morning.

Good morning, all. Good morning, Chairman Vazquez and Honorable Members of the Board.

My name is David Yeung. I am the Deputy
Director of the Property Tax Department. And for you
this morning, I have an operational update of the
Department.

First of all, I would like to note that the Property Tax Department, as Ms. Renati already reported, is still in remote working situations. We are still teleworking.

Approximately 90 of the work is done remotely, with a rotational schedule for staff to come on in and to do some of the required stuff that can't be done, such as picking up mail, returning faxes, and -- and other stuff that requires a physical presence.

We are very mindful of the protocols and the distancing practices that would keep our staff safe.

One thing that has changed a little bit as of late is that staff is starting to re-engage in field work. With the start of the COVID-19 restrictions, the Property Tax Department suspended most of the field work.

The first -- the first unit to actually restart is our State-Assessed Properties Division.

They perform field inspections on certain properties

throughout the year.

2.6

2.7

This work is particularly suited for -- for initial -- to restart the field work it is mostly solitary in that the staff goes out and inspects the field, inspects the property, without the need to go to either an assessor's office or to state assessees.

So that has already begun. Staff has -- staff is performing field work on that.

The -- the other unit that we are starting to do some field work is actually in this County-Assessed Properties Division. Our survey program does require, in the past, field work.

We have -- since the COVID-19 restrictions, we have shifted a lot of the initial gathering of confirmation to digi -- to basically remote means.

We -- some assessors have been able to allow us access into their computer systems. We've gathered some information that way.

Where that is not possible, we have had assessors send us information digitally.

And where neither one of those options are -- are feasible, we are now working on how to get our staff into some of the assessors' offices in a safe and efficient manner.

So we are making some progress on that, and we should be able to start on unlimited field work on that.

Also, the next update I have for you is

on our State-Assessed Properties Divisions work. As Mr. Nanjo pointed out, the majority of their work right now is the -- has to do with our assessment appeals.

2.5

2.6

2.7

The State-Assessed Properties Division received valid applications from 24 state assessees. Staff has been working with the actual state assessees and with our Legal Department in writing up the recommendations.

So progress is -- is going very, very well.

We actually anticipate probably the first of those assessment appeals coming to the Board for action and decision next month in October, continuing through November -- November and December, concluding in December. So that is our State-Assessed Properties Division.

In our County-Assessed Properties Division, one of the projects we've been working on is a long-term guidance for community land trust.

As you may recall, that guidance has to do with the assessment of affordable housing built on land trust property. Staff started actually drafting guidance on that almost two years ago. And it follows basically the passage of two new laws that -- those are AB-2818 and SB-196.

With the draft on those, there was an issue that needed to be resolved legislatively. A legislative solution was proposed in Senate Bill

1473. That Senate Bill has been passed by the Legislature, and it is now awaiting governor's signature.

2.6

2.7

So when that bill is finalized, we will include that in -- include that language in our -- in our guidance, and complete the interested parties process, and hopefully bring it before the Board for action and adoption.

After that, our -- my next report is on the split roll initiative. Staff continues to track that initiative. As you well know, that is up on the ballot on November 3rd.

The Board -- the Board's Property Tax

Department has put together an implementation plan as
to -- if the initiative passes, as to how staff is
going to handle the work that will -- that will be
attached to it.

Mr. -- we have been working with Mr. Durham of the Research and Statistics Department. Our implementation plan basically goes hand in hand with a budget change proposal, and Mr. Durham will be able to give a little bit more detail on that in the report after mine.

And my last update on the Property Tax Department is on assessors' handbooks.

Every year the Property Tax Department updates three assessors' handbooks. They are sections 531, 534 and 581. They are cost guides

respectively for residential property or rural properties, and for business equipment.

2.6

2.7

So staff is putting that together right now. It will go through internal review first, and then it will be brought before the Board for adoption by the end of this year. This is an annual update of the three cost guides.

Staff is also working on three other handbooks. Two are revisions, and they are actually fairly extensive revisions. And one is the development of a new handbook.

The two revisions are Assessors' Handbook 215 and Assessors' Handbook 570.

The 215 is guidance on standards for mapping that has been -- that is going through a fairly comprehensive review and redrafting right now.

And we will -- we should be able to start interested parties process on that once the -- once the draft and review is completed.

The Handbook 570 provides guidance on the assessment of commercial aircraft. This is part two of a very long-term project that the Board has -- the Property Tax Department has been involved with.

The first part of that had to do with the -- with the representative period and the assessment of the aircraft as passed by SB-791.

The second part of it is -- second part of

that project is actually the revision of this handbook.

2.6

So staff has already start -- started the draft on that. We are working on one last chapter. And that chapter is probably the most substantive chapter. And that has to do, and that deals with the valuation of such aircraft.

Once the redraft is done, we will also go through the internal review. And once the internal review is complete, it will -- we will start the interested parties process by announcement of an LTA, which will invite interested parties, industry assessors, and other taxpayers to review and comment on the draft.

And we will start with the -- with -- will review their comments and -- and incorporate relevant changes.

And then the very last handbook we are working on is Assessors' Handbook 260. And that is the general exemptions handbook.

If you recall, last year the Board -- we brought before the Board the first part of that handbook. That handbook deals with all the exemptions, other than the welfare. It is such a wide-ranging topic that our -- that we actually broke up the project into four sections.

So right now we are working on the second section on that. So that will, once again, go

through the drafting process and the interested parties when -- when the draft is done.

This concludes my update on the Property Tax Department. I am available to answer any questions you may have.

If not, I turn it back to Ms. Davis.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.6

2.7

28

MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Members, do we have any questions?

MR. SCHAEFER: Question.

MS. STOWERS: Yes, Chair. I do.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Schaefer.

Yes. There's a couple. All right.

Let's start with Vice Chair Schaefer.

MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you.

David, I'd like to ask you, in your comment about a book coming out on commercial aircraft, what do we do with non-commercial aircraft where we have friends who have private planes?

MR. YEUNG: Yes. Both are -- both are subject to property tax assessment. This project deals specifically with commercial aircraft, those owned by basically airlines -- by airlines.

The other type of aircraft, as you mentioned, is covered under a different section of the handbook, and that -- those are general aircrafts. And that -- that is also in queue for a review once we are done with these other -- with the

general aircraft, with the commercial aircraft.

MR. SCHAEFER: Thank you.

2.7

And -- and, Ms. Stowers, sorry. I'm waiting to hear from you now.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Ms. Stowers, go ahead.

MS. STOWERS: Thank you both.

My question is dealing with Prop. -- Prop.

15. I'm not sure if -- if I need to wait for the next report, but since Legal's already kind of given us a flavor of what type of increased workload they're looking at, they anticipate regulations and an increase in legal opinions.

I'm just curious on what type of increased workload are you guys looking at for the county-assessed division?

MR. YEUNG: Of course. I'd be more than happy to answer that, and at the apparel of stealing some of Mr. Durham's thunder, the anticipated workload on the CAPD, the County-Assessed Properties Division will be extensive.

We are talking about arguably the biggest change in -- in the property tax system since basically Prop. 13 was enacted 40, 42 years ago.

I anticipate basically -- wow -- quite an expansion of not only our current duties and revisions of handbooks, that that will -- that will need to conform to Prop. 15 should it pass, but also

some -- basically some new and added type of duties.

And I suspect there will actually be probably quite some workload attached with the assessment appeal side too.

2.6

2.7

One of the issues with Prop. 15 is that it -- it does propose changes to the -- to the -- how innate -- how an assessment appeal is handled, too. So I believe that the workload on CAPD will be profound.

And in very rough number -- and in very rough numbers, if you're looking to quantify it, I would -- right now we're looking at just about doubling CAPD staff in order to handle it through the long term.

MS. STOWERS: Well, and you're talking doubling in the audit-appraiser series, or what type of classifications?

MR. YEUNG: The classifications will -- will most definitely be the auditor -- I mean, the -- the appraiser series from our entry level all the way up to our principles, and all the way up to our principle. It will have an impact on -- on the assistants, the associates, the seniors, our supervisors.

There will be much work involved in that, not to mention our survey program. With the -- with the change and anticipated reassessment of commercial/industrial property, we will have to take

a look at how our survey program is handled in our sampling.

2.6

2.7

I anticipate a whole lot of questions on what basically fits in the commercial/industrial classification and what does not. And -- and a whole lot of technical inquiries from not only taxpayers, but from assessors, too.

MS. STOWERS: And what type of new duties are you anticipating?

MR. YEUNG: There is mention of certain audit functions, the self-certification of -- of one, whether you fit under a small business, or if you own a property that is worth \$3 million or more.

Those that own property less than \$3 million or more, or have 50 or fewer employees, basically are given preferential treatment under -- under the Prop. 15.

So I suspect there will be quite some workload in -- in administering that, whether it's through our survey program, or it's in conjunction with the assessors.

The way it is set up right now under Prop. 15 is they are basically silent. And as to who will do which part, whether it will be a parallel -- a parallel-type responsibility, or whether the state or assessors will carry the full weight of it.

If -- if it is parallel, I suspect that

we will actually have to probably take a look at it in our survey program.

2.6

2.7

MS. STOWERS: So -- okay. Thank you. I appreciate it.

And then my final question is, you said you may be doubling your department. What's your current staffing --

MR. YEUNG: We -- Mr. -- Mr. Durham should be able to provide some actual numbers. But we have performed an implementation plan, and we've gone through with our staff from our supervisor and principal and chiefs on up as to what it would actually take in both staff -- staffing and hour-wise, and classification-wise, in order to handle some of this -- these new duties and expanded duties. And in very rough numbers, it looks just to be about double.

MS. STOWERS: Okay. I appreciate that. Sounds like a lot of employment opportunity for County-Assessed Property Division.

MR. YEUNG: It -- it does. And I know -- I know that this is not the first time we -- not the first discussion on this issue. We -- we've had discussions on -- on the informational meeting.

Both the assessors and BOE staff has expressed the resources and -- and the staffing it would take to implement this should it pass.

MS. STOWERS: Yes. Great -- great

opportunities for everyone. 1 Thank you. 2 MR. YEUNG: Thank you very much. 3 MR. VAZOUEZ: Thank you. Members, any other questions? 5 Hearing and seeing none, I will -- I'll 6 7 just -- I would also just kind of chime in and ditto a lot of the comments. 8 Dave, I think you're doing a great job. 9 know I've taxed you on several issues, especially 10 legal issues, as we're looking at not only Prop. 15, 11 but also Prop. 19. 12 And I really appreciate the work. 13 I know you've got you a full plate right now. And hopefully 14 moving forward, we're able to augment some resources 15 16 to your division as well. 17 MR. YEUNG: Thank you, Chairman Vazquez. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Ms. Davis, will you please 19 call the next item. 20 MS. DAVIS: The next item is K4(a) through 21 (c), Legislative Research and Statistics Division, Chief's Report, presented by Mr. Mark Durham, 22 Division Chief. 23 24 Mr. Durham will present K4(a) through (c), 25 Operational Updates. Mr. Durham. 2.6 MR. DURHAM: Yes. Excuse me. 27 Thank you. Good morning, Chairman Vazquez 28

and Honorable Members. I'm Mark Durham of the Legislative Research Division.

2.5

2.6

Today's report will provide an update on legislation, and then an update on the legislative budget proposal or the BCP for Proposition 15, which is the split roll initiative.

So to begin with the -- let's just say the calendar, September 30th is the last day for the governor to sign or veto bills; November 3rd is the general election; November 30th, the legislature adjourns, then die at midnight; and then December 7th, the regular legislative convene -- legislative session convenes on the 21, 22 session.

Looking at the bills of interest for the BOE, we have AB-3373, which is an Assembly Revenue and Taxation Bill, Committee Bill. And this is the one talking about the Assessment Appeals Boards that was chaptered on September 9th. So it's AB-3373, Chapter 57, statutes of 2020.

The next one is Senate Bill 1473. This is the Senate Governor Finance Committee Bill. This is for the Local Government Omnibus Act of 2020. This is the one that we have several little things in there talking about the the surveys, and fixing some of the rates that we have to go out. That was sent to the governor on September 10th.

The next one is AB-107, which is the Assembly Budget Committee Bill for State Government.

This one was sent to the governor on September 14th. 1 So that's it for the legislative side. 2 Any questions in the legislative? 3 MR. VAZQUEZ: Members, any questions? Okay. Yes, Ms. Stowers. Go ahead. 5 MS. STOWERS: Yes. 6 7 Can you kind of refresh my memory on the assembly bill dealing with surveys? Is that 8 providing BOE with additional time? 9 MR. DURHAM: What it is -- yes. Thank you 10 for that question. 11 12 It's Senate Bill 1473. And what it does is this one extends the BOE's current county survey 13 cycle for an additional five years. 14 It also clarifies that the BOE must issue a final county 15 16 survey report within 12 months. 17 It also provides that the final county 18 survey report that the BOE files with specified government officials is to include in the addendums. 19 20 And then for the purposes of the welfare 21 exemption, it corrects an erroneous cross-reference in the definition of community land trust. 22 23 And then the final thing it does, is it 24 revises the interest compound used for the assessment 2.5 of historical property. MS. STOWERS: Okay. All right. Thank you. 2.6 MR. DURHAM: You're welcome. 27 I'm moving on to the the overview of 28

the budget.

2.6

2.7

The BCP process, so a budget change proposal or BCP is a formal document that is required when a department has a need for additional resources or a reduction in resources to change the level of service or funding for activities authorized by the Legislature, or to propose new program activities not currently authorized.

The annual budget process preparation usually begins 18 months prior to the beginning of the effective budget year.

And for BCPs with legislative -- for legislative BCPs, instead of 18 months, we have 10 days once the bill is chaptered by the Secretary of State.

So that's why we're -- we're beginning to -- Prop. 15, we've begun that a few months ago.

So for the -- for the Proposition 15, let's just say the BCP, if Proposition 15 passes at the November 3rd general election, the Board of Equalization will submit a legislative BCP to the Department of Finance requesting an additional funding, and positions of approximately \$21 million or 135 new full-time positions to successfully implement the measure, and for the additional workload, as Mr. Yeung mentioned, and for ongoing activities association -- associated with this measure.

We're currently working collaboratively 1 with Department of Finance, LAO, and CDTFA to discuss 2 the resource needs and the implementation plan. 3 For the next steps, we will complete the 4 BCP, we'll continue to add the detail to the 5 implementation plan, and continue to maintain open 6 7 dialogues with the Department of Finance and other control agencies. 8 And with that, that concludes my update. 9 we have any questions? 10 Any questions for the Members? MR. VAZQUEZ: 11 12 MS. STOWERS: I have questions. MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes, Ms. Yvette. Go ahead. 1.3 MS. STOWERS: Thank you. 14 Mr. Durham, you said -- I just lost my -- I 15 16 forgot how many additional people, were those 17 permanent or limited-term positions? 18 MR. DURHAM: We're looking at those to be 19 full-time permanent positions. MS. STOWERS: Okay. 20 21 And --MR. DURHAM: And those would be phased in 22 23 over a three-year period. 24 MS. STOWERS: Okay. 25 Oh, you said 21 million. I know Prop. 15 has a provision to provide reimbursement to the 2.6 2.7 county assessors for upfront administrative costs. Are there any provisions for the Board of 28

Equalization within that Prop. 15? 1 MR. DURHAM: I'll have to get back with you 2 on that. That's a good question. 3 MS. STOWERS: Yeah. I think you might want 4 to reflect that in your BCP if there is an 5 opportunity for reimbursement. 6 7 MR. DURHAM: I completely agree. MS. STOWERS: Okay. Thank you very much. 8 Have a good day. 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: Members, any other questions? 10 Hearing and seeing none, thank you, 11 12 Mr. Durham. I think that was great just for the updates. And -- and I guess actually just keeping us 13 abreast. You know, there's so many moving parts 14 here, especially after November, depending on how --15 16 what the turnout's like with these propositions. 17 MR. DURHAM: I totally agree. And you also 18 mentioned Prop. 19, too. So we're keeping a watchful eye on that as well. 19 20 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah. That's the one, you 21 know, I was having a discussion actually on a Zoom call last week over that, and I didn't realize that, 22 23 you know, if it does pass, it's going to create a lot 24 more work. 25 And there -- I'm even hearing from some of the Members that they're probably going to have to 2.6 come up with some cleanup language, legislative 2.7

cleanup language if it does pass as well that would

28

impact BOE actually. 1 MR. DURHAM: Yes. 2 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 3 MR. DURHAM: Great. Thank you. MR. VAZQUEZ: Ms. Davis -- oh, I'm sorry. 5 Was that it? 6 7 MR. DURHAM: Yes, it is. Thank you, sir. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 8 Ms. Davis, if you could please call the next 9 item. 10 MS. DAVIS: The next item is K5, Taxpayers' 11 12 Rights Advocate's Report presented by Ms. Lisa Thompson, Taxpayer Rights Advocate. 13 Ms. Thompson will present an update on the 14 Taxpayers' Rights Advocate office. 15 Ms. Thompson. 16 17 MS. THOMPSON: Good morning, Chair Vazquez 18 and Honorable Board Members. I'm Lisa Thompson, 19 Chief of the Taxpayer Rights Advocate office. 20 I'm here to provide you with an update 21 on the activities of the Taxpayer Rights Advocate office to keep you informed. 22 23 As you know, the 2020 Taxpayer Bill of 24 Rights Hearing was held on August 18, 2020 where we 25 heard from many taxpayers about problems they were having. And there was some good discussion. Work 2.6 2.7 has begun on the areas brought up during the hearing.

Today's report -- today I will report on the

28

activities related to the concerns that were expressed by the taxpayers at that hearing.

2.6

One taxpayer expressed concerns about a senior community in Orange County called Leisure World Seal Beach, and the inability for people living in the community to pay their property tax bill to the county.

He also had concerns about real property ownership, and said that seniors were being evicted from their homes.

Following the hearing, the taxpayer furnished information to our office, and we are currently analyzing that.

We also reached out to the Orange County
Assessor's Office to ask for information about the
ownership structure of the properties in Leisure
World, assessment procedures, and change in ownership
tracking.

Based on our research thus far, Leisure
World Seal Beach is comprised of 16 mutual
corporations that are stock cooperative. People
living in the community don't own title to the real
estate, they own a share of stock in the mutual
corporation. That gives them the right to occupy a
specific home that is owned by the stock cooperative
corporation, and to use the community amenities.

Because the mutual corporation owns the land and all of the improvements in a particular area of

Leisure World, which includes those homes, the corporate owner is assessed the value of all the property by the assessor's office, and the property tax bill which covers numerous homes is issued to the mutual cooperative corporation that owns all of the property.

2.5

2.6

2.7

The corporate owner then passes on the property taxes for the individual housing units to the people living in those homes.

Along with other monthly charges like
Homeowners' Association Fees, there are specific
sections in the Revenue and Taxation Code that
address the assessment for stock cooperatives for
property tax purposes, but the type of ownership
structure is from a code outside of our jurisdiction.

Based on the Leisure World Web site, people living in these communities can transfer or sell their share of stocks, but they must apply to do so with the stock cooperative mutual corporation, and must be approved.

We are still in the process of our analysis, but it seems like this isn't an assessment issue.

Once we've finished our analysis, we will provide a response to the taxpayer and update the Board on the results of this review.

Another area was where several taxpayers expressed concerns was about the -- their inability to meet the two-year deadline required under Revenue

and Taxation Code Section 69.5 to transfer their base-year value from their original home to a replacement home as a result of this pandemic.

2.5

2.6

The reasons range from them not being able to complete construction on their original home, needed to sell it within the two years after they bought their replacement, or not being able to buy a replacement home within two years after the sale of their original home.

The Taxpayers' Rights Advocate office has confirmed that the two-year time limit is not only in statute, but it is expressly stated in article 13(a) section 2 of the California Constitution.

Unfortunately, we do not have the authority to extend the two-year deadline to qualify for a base-year value transfer.

We located a property tax annotation based on a December 2006 legal opinion that opines that the two-year period is mandatory, and that neither article 13(a), nor section 69.5 provides for an extension of this two-year period.

Because the two-year period limit is to complete the purchase for new construction is essentially stated in the constitution, it would require a constitutional amendment to article 13(a), section 2.

At future meetings I will update you on the progress as we continue to work on matters that

came before the 2020 Taxpayer Bill of Rights Hearing.

Unless there are any questions, this concludes my update.

2.6

2.7

MR. SCHAEFER: Vice Chair Schaefer.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. Vice Chair Schaefer, go ahead.

MR. SCHAEFER: Ms. Thompson, there are several provisions where there can be an extension of the two-year period for one reason or another by -- by us if necessary. But this does not happen. And what you're talking about, it's truly fixed. And as you aptly point out, it requires a constitutional amendment.

My only concern is that we give proper warning when we know somebody's depending on it. If we think somebody who's depending on maybe you having the ability to get them an extension, or us having the ability to get them an extension, and, you know, that isn't so, I feel we might have some obligation to let them know that this is sort of set in stone, and please don't count on the possibility of an extension, because it just isn't going to happen.

Do we ever have an occasion to warn them?

MS. THOMPSON: Well, when we have been

contacted, as well as the tax -- the Property Tax

Department. So since, you know, probably toward the end of March, we have received contacts from taxpayers that were concerned about missing this

deadline.

2.6

2.7

So the tax -- both offices, and we have advised taxpayers that -- that we don't have the authority to extend it. And -- and that it is constitutional, as well as, you know, in statutes.

 $\label{eq:so-so-we} \text{So -- so we have kind of advised them of this.}$

MR. SCHAEFER: Oh, thank you. You're doing a good job.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.

MR. GAINES: Question, if I could.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. Mr. Gaines, go ahead.

MR. GAINES: Yes.

Just with regard to Leisure World that
Lisa Renati brought forward, that's really a share
ownership issue, right? It's not a -- it's not a
real estate transaction; is that correct?

MS. THOMPSON: It -- no, it's -- so he -- he is the taxpayer that brought this to the attention, you know, is concerned that -- that he's not able to pay, or other people in the community are not able to pay their property tax directly to the county tax collector, and -- and essentially hold a deed.

But, yes, you're correct. They -- they don't hold a deed. And it's because of the form of ownership. But we will be providing information to him on this once we're done with our analysis.

But the stock exists in -- in statutes that's from the -- actually the Business and Professions Code that allows the stock owners' stock cooperative to exist.

Our statutes in the Revenue and Taxation

Code address, you know, how that property owned by

stock cooperatives are to be assessed, and as

different people purchase a share that's subject to

reassessment when they transfer or sell.

And so the assessor's office needs to understand how -- how they must assess -- assess that. As you can imagine, the stock cooperative having numerous homes that transfer at different dates will cause a change in ownership for those dates. So --

MR. GAINES: Sure.

2.6

MS. THOMPSON: -- that's how about it.

MR. GAINES: Okay. Is there -- I'm wondering if there is something that we could do on our Web site that would provide information for someone if they're about ready to enter that sort of real estate transaction on providing clarity on property valuation, but also clarity that they don't hold a deed. That it's -- it's a share or shares that they hold. And just making the potential buyer aware of that type of ownership.

Because it's something that I think most of us are not familiar with. To the degree that we can

provide clarity for our constituents, I think it's helpful.

2.6

2.7

MS. THOMPSON: I think -- I mean, we have letters to assessors that -- that address its assessment, you know, for stock cooperatives. But I can consult with our Legal staff to see.

But there's many types of ownership structures out there. And I'm not -- I'm not sure if that really would be in our purview. But I do know that, you know, like when I was looking at Leisure World, their Web site, it talks about, you know, what is purchased. So it is, you know, it is -- it is clear.

And I know that from what we've seen so far -- again, we're not completely done -- is, you know, they enter into -- into agreement, you know, for this. So --

MR. GAINES: Okay.

MS. THOMPSON: So, you know, to follow up with you at a later time as well --

MR. GAINES: Okay. So in this particular case, though, it was a -- was it a relative of the family that was figuring out how to -- he was trying to figure out the ownership, and, therefore, there was a lack of clarity?

MS. STOWERS: Yeah. I think what we'll do is -- is -- I'm happy to circle back. And then as we finish our research, to provide a further -- further

1 update. MR. GAINES: Okay. That'd be great. Thank 2 3 you. MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. 4 MR. VAZQUEZ: Members, any other questions? 5 6 Hearing and seeing none, I was wondering if 7 the Members are comfortable taking a 10-minute break right now? And then we can continue with the 8 meeting. 9 10 Are we good with that? MS. FLEMING: Thank you, Chairman. Staff 11 12 would appreciate it. MR. VAZQUEZ: Alrighty. Why don't we go 13 14 ahead and do that. It's -- I have 11:38. So I guess we'll come back -- we'll reconvene at 11:48. 15 Thank you. 16 17 (Whereupon a break was taken.) 18 MS. DAVIS: Next item -- the next item is 19 L1(a), Board Governance, Part 1, presented by 20 Chairman Vazquez. 21 Chairman Vazquez will present annual -will present an annual review on the Board Members' 22 23 Policy, Mission Statement and commitment to strong 24 governance and considerations of revisions where 25 appropriate. Chairman Vazquez. 2.6 27 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Members, we pledge that every year we 28

would review the Board Members' Governance Policy and consider possible clarifications, corrections, or revisions where appropriate.

2.6

2.7

We've discussed a couple of areas that need clarification or corrections in the past, but instead of doing it piecemeal, I'd like us to review everything, and then at the next meeting consider a list of proposals to discuss and vote on.

Since this is quite a bit of work for less than a month away, I'd like to ask, if the Members were comfortable with it, that I would work with my Vice Chair Schaefer to take the lead with me, and basically come back with some recommendations, proposals, that I will share with you, the Members, before our next October meeting.

And then have the opportunity to discuss it in public. And hopefully then also we would have Ms. Cohen joining us. Because I'd hate to make, you know, especially some of these changes that I'm looking at without her physically, or I should say at least on the Zoom call with some of the things I'm looking at.

And I know we've discussed this already, you know. There was some issue, I know last year when we went through this whole election of the new Chair, whether it was supposed to happen in January or December. So I think we just need some good cleanup language there.

The other thing that comes to mind is, well, the big one, I think, for me is one that I've shared with the Members right -- actually at the very beginning when we first started as new Board Members. I thought, you know, holding the -- whoever is the Chair, putting them in this position for one year, I didn't think it was sufficient. And -- and I think Ms. Cohen agreed with me.

2.7

After serving her first year, she realized that one year goes so quick that it's probably more appropriate in terms of good governance that whoever is the Chair should be in that role at least two years.

But let me take a stab at it, and I'll work with my Vice Chair Schaefer if he's in agreement with me. And we will come back to you, will actually deliver a tentative proposal, or a draft, I should say, to all of you at least 10 days before our next October 20th meeting. So then we could air it out in public, and hopefully come to a consensus and move forward.

And at that time, hopefully it would give you all an opportunity as we make some of these changes or recommendations to take a look at it and give your input as well.

So hopefully we can come up with a document that we can all live with, you know. I think the -- the governance, for the most part, is it

was pretty well written. I just think now that we've, you know, we got almost two years under our belt, there's some things that I think we can do that would improve it, and hopefully enhance it, so we can do a little bit better in terms of making it a little bit smoother. Especially on the leadership side for translations moving forward.

2.6

2.7

The other thing that kind of jumped out at me is that there's -- and some of it is just some terminology, and maybe a little bit of wordsmithing, but we could -- we can go through that, and if you all find anything else that you think we should consider or take a look at, by all means, share your thoughts and ideas, and we'll bring it back up on the October meeting.

But let me open it up to the Members and see what their thoughts are. And if anybody has a strong opinion one way or the other moving forward.

Yes. Ms. Stowers, go ahead.

MS. STOWERS: Thank you.

I -- I agree with you. It's been about 21 months since we adopted the governance plan. And it is a good time to take a look at it and to see if it needs to be updated, revised or amended.

I have known some areas where there is a little conflict on what we're doing with respect to the role of the Chair and the Vice Chair, and the role of the Board.

We talked about it last January. There is a conflict. In one part of the document we say that the Chair and Vice Chair is to be elected in December -- I mean in January.

2.6

And then the other part, the next page of the document, we say is a rotation by district, by equalization districts, and then -- and January.

I'm not really clear on what the motion was where we -- did we -- was the motion to put you in, because -- was it an election for you as a Chair and Vice Chair, or if it was an election just confirming that we continue with the rotation policy?

So I think at the very least, those two areas that we have conflict with should be addressed. And, of course, there's always other areas in the document that may need to be addressed as well.

My concern is on that part, if we don't speak up now on errors that need to be addressed, could we legally send our comments and concerns to you and Vice Chair without violating a serial meeting?

MR. VAZQUEZ: Let me ask Henry about that.

MR. NANJO: If there are any comments, I would recommend that they be sent to Board Proceedings, and we can handle them accordingly.

MS. STOWERS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. NANJO: Thank you.

7 1

1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

2.6

2.7

Other Members? Anybody else want to chime in?

MR. GAINES: Yeah. I -- I would like to hear -- I'd like to hear from Mike.

It sounds like this would be a subcommittee that would gather the two of you, and then you'd report back to the Board next month; is that what I'm hearing?

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes.

And I don't know if Vice Chair Schaefer, I'm putting that out there. I'm not sure he's in agreement. Let me - let me hear his thoughts.

MR. SCHAEFER: Well, I'm pleased to work with the Board on whatever we'd like to do.

I just know that people that are thinking of running for the Board know that there are four districts, and there are four one-year terms they're going to serve. And that the last official announcement on it is it's all being done in sequence, you know, four, three, two, one, or one, two, three, four.

And if we're going to change that after we've had a debate last January, and -- and not made any changes, I think it brings sort of politics instead of certainty into the image of our Board, and the working of our Board.

If any of us have a health problem, or if

any of us should be indicted for buying furniture, or whatever the problem is, they would probably want to resign from the Board instead of continue to be a leader or a Member.

But I -- I favor the orderliness that I came to the Board with, and which exists right now. And I would, of course, want to meet. But we have a problem of communicating with each other.

If I want to discuss with two or three of you my thoughts on procedure, I'm not able to do that except in an open session. And I have questions whether the public has a right to have a seat at the table if all we're going to talk about is baseball, or the weather, or -- or our own internal operating procedures. I don't think those are agenda items really of the nature that Bagley-Keene is meant to protect.

But as Mr. Nanjo warns us, we want to avoid the appearance of impropriety, as well as impropriety. And I think we've done a pretty good job of it to date. And I wouldn't want us to go astray.

Thank you.

2.6

2.7

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah, I appreciate your thoughts.

That's why I was -- well, for me, it's -- it kind of falls in two things. And I think Ms. Stowers kind of hit it on the nail, you know. It's there's

still some ambiguity there. And if nothing else, at least let's clean up that language, right?

Because in the document, the way it's written in some of parts of it, it makes it sound like, you know, it's -- we're going through a rotation. And then in other parts of it, it sounds like there's an election.

So whichever way we decide, whatever people are comfortable with, let's make that final decision, and, one, clean up the language, and then let's get it voted on by all the Members.

And then I think there's a few other little areas as I was reading through it. And I think you other -- other Members have also brought it to my attention where, you know, I think there was one change. And I want to say it was in part 7, paragraph 16, where it says "evaluation", and I think it should be "valuation," with a "V" as in Victor, not an "E."

I mean, a couple little things like that. So I thought, why don't we just take the opportunity, if you're willing to do this, Vice Chair, with me, and let's just kind of go through it, and -- and kind of take advantage of your legal mind, you know, as an attorney, to make sure that we're -- everything is clear, and there's no misunderstandings.

And I think to your point that, you know, people understand, you know, moving forward what we

expect, not only of our Members, but of the group as a whole, the Board, in terms of these, you know, this whole governance piece that we've been talking about.

2.6

And I think, you know, to now, I think we've been doing a pretty good job. I haven't heard too many complaints from the public or the Members.

But like I said, and I think Ms. Stowers hit the nail on the head, and she said, you know, it's been two years, and it might be healthy to just kind of go through it, and have that opportunity to make, you know, fine-tune -- anything we need to fine-tune, and move forward.

MR. GAINES: Yeah. This is Member Gaines. It's just -- thank you.

Yeah. I think it makes sense. I think there is ambiguity on rotation versus vote. And so if you could -- if the Chair and the Vice Chair could take a look at that, and see if there's a way to provide some more clarity, then that's something that could come back to the Board, and we could decide what to do at that point.

But I think that is the right pathway. So I would support that.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Okay.

And going back, Mr. Vice Chair, you know, we could work with one while the two of us sit with Henry, our legal attorney, to make sure, you know, everything's legal in terms of the language.

I guess we should have to make it clear for all, you know, on what we feel is probably the best way to handle this. And then we'll bring it back to the Board in October, and hopefully have a consensus moving forward.

2.6

2.7

MR. SCHAEFER: Yeah. Well, Chair -- Chair
Vazquez, as you know, in my career I've been an
officer of city government, and officer of many civic
and service clubs, and presented dozens of meetings,
which I've run. But I don't come to the Board with
the steeped experience that you do, or our other
Members do, who have served in San Francisco and in
the State Capitol. I learn a lot from each of you.

Ms. Cohen has been particularly instructive that my debates I have with our Chief Counsel sometimes should be done between me and Chief Counsel, and not take up the time of the Board.

But, again, one reason I'm hesitant to deviate from rotation is I've looked upon Member Vazquez, Member Ted Gaines, being Chairman year after next which would be his -- his year of re-election to the Board. He's a Republican, and the Board majority is a Democrat.

That should have really nothing to do with what we do, because I view our work as more non-partisan than anything else. But if somebody felt that whoever is Chair next year should be Chair for two years in a row, because they wouldn't want to

give the unfair advantage of more prestige to see a Republican running for re-election against a Democrat, we're injecting really, totally irrelevant thoughts. Maybe we're not really thinking that way, but that's what media might think about.

2.5

2.6

And it goes back to the old political Board that we had, unfortunately, that got us all into trouble a few years ago.

So I think we are a new Board. We're our own person. And I tend to favor the automatic non-political rotation. But I am anxious to work with each of you and -- and review this.

I have an open mind on it, and I want you to know I'm very impressed with the caliber people that I've been working with as a BOE Member.

And I'm also very appreciative of the acceptance that I seem to have as to some of the thoughts that I bring. I do send you stuff from time to time that I think help make us better Members that I happen to read about, about equalization where it may go on in the United States.

And, you know, I think we're all in here to help each other come up with a better BOE. And the big thing is we're not the old BOE, we're the new BOE. And I think the old BOE was more politics than we are.

And while I'm proud to be a Democratic Member, I feel I'm serving in sort of a nonpartisan

mode. And I think we all should do that.

2.6

2.7

MR. VAZQUEZ: I agree. And thank you for your comments.

And if you're comfortable with it, why don't we just do it -- I mean, at the end of the day, there's nothing stopping us from coming back to the full Board with, you know, a couple options. And let's see what people feel, how they feel.

MR. SCHAEFER: Well, thank you.

MR. NANJO: Chairman Vazquez, this is -- Go ahead. I'm sorry.

MR. SCHAEFER: Well, I was just -- I have to say I feel in good health. In fact, I was tested for COVID-19 and got rave reviews this last week.

So my Chief -- Chief Deputy and I both went to University of San Diego. I had a county testing station that we had to run across the other day. And so, you know, I feel good. I've never felt really so good in my life. And I'm ready to roar.

But if -- if I was going to have another stroke or something of that nature, I would request either -- I would either resign if I was unable to properly serve, or not want any additional duties.

But right now I want additional duties. I'm hoping a dozen different groups will invite me to come out and explain to them what the BOE is all about.

And, unfortunately, I'm not getting these

7 8

invites because of CV-19. 1 MR. VAZQUEZ: Yeah. That's -- that's one of 2 3 the biggest problems. No, I'm glad to hear the good news on 4 your health. That's always positive. 5 Member -- I think it was -- no, I think we 6 7 were hearing from Henry, our Legal counsel. Did you have something? 8 MR. NANJO: Yes. Yes. Thank you, Chief --9 thank you, Chairman Vazquez and Vice Chair Schaefer. 10 This is Henry Nanjo, Chief Counsel. 11 12 As what I understand the Board would like to do is I'm happy to work with Vice Chair and Chairman 13 to work on proposed changes, whether it be one 14 version or multiple versions to the governance 15 16 policy. 17 We can bring that back to the next Board, 18 so that all the Board Members, including Member 19 Cohen, can have input at that point. 20 And then once we've got kind of a final 21 draft agreed to by the Board Members, I can bring it back under Chief Counsel matters, as governance 22 23 policies are typically brought, and we can have it 24 voted on and approved by the Board. 25 So this is something that is very well timed, and shouldn't be a problem. 2.6 27 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

MR. NANJO: Thank you.

28

MR. VAZQUEZ: So now listening to that -- this conversation, I guess we have two options.

2.6

We could, one, officially set up a, you know, a little, I guess you might say, a little task force or ad hoc between myself and the Vice Chair.

Or if the Board wants, we could just leave it where, you know, Members, all -- any Members could just go ahead and submit their thoughts and their ideas and their recommendations to our Chief Counsel, Mr. Nanjo, and have them just put together, you know -- I guess there would be some options or a proposal. And then we would all discuss it at our next meeting in October.

And then really I think the key is we would -- that would give us also an opportunity for Ms. Cohen, who's not with us today, to weigh in and give her thoughts and ideas. And let's see where there's a consensus moving forward.

What's the wish or the will of the Board?

MS. STOWERS: Chairman Vazquez.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes. Go ahead.

MS. STOWERS: I think the latter is a very good option that every Member will have an opportunity to have input. And putting that input through Board Proceedings and Chief Counsel.

I also would like to say I think it's a great opportunity during that time period, the next 30 days, for us as Board Members to do our own

self-evaluation, and looking at what our governance says, and evaluating ourselves, and how well we have inherited that.

Of course we don't have to report out, but I think it's a great time just to check it for ourselves.

MR. VAZQUEZ: No, that's great.

Any other comments?

2.6

Member Gaines, go ahead.

MR. GAINES: Yeah. Thank you.

I just think we -- I think, you know, the Chair and Vice Chair ought to have that meeting, and meet with Henry Nanjo, and make sure that we're okay from a legal perspective on what the proposals may look like. And then bring that back to the Board.

And that gives us an opportunity to take a look at this another time. And I think that Malia should be -- our fellow Member Malia Cohen ought to be involved, engaged in that discussion, have the whole Board here.

And I would agree with Member Stowers, too, that this gives us an opportunity to reflect and review what's in place. Because there -- there was a lack of clarity. Because it mentions both in our governance policy in terms of, you know, is it rotation, or is it a vote.

So at a -- at a minimum, we could provide clarity in that governance agreement.

Thank you.

2.6

2.7

MR. VAZQUEZ: So, Member Gaines, if I'm hearing you correctly, are you prepared to move that?

MR. GAINES: Yes, I would.

Okay.

So let me just repeat that.

So it's -- is it your recommendation that -that myself and the Vice Chair get together with our
legal counsel, Mr. Nanjo, and put together maybe some
options or proposals that we would share with the
Members at least 10 days prior to our next meeting in
October?

And then have this open discussion, and hopefully then Ms. Cohen -- Ms. -- yeah, Ms. Cohen could join us as well.

If nothing else, at least give her an opportunity to weigh in and -- and give us her thoughts and ideas.

And then have that open discussion in October, on October -- I think it's October 20th.

MR. GAINES: Yeah. Yeah, that would be fine.

MR. SCHAEFER: Vice Chair Schaefer. I would second that.

I would like to know whether it's our Chair or Mr. Nanjo that will be reaching out to Member -- our Member Cohen to invite her, or at least let her know that her input is wanted, you know.

MR. VAZQUEZ: I guess legally would probably 1 have to be Mr. Nanjo, since the two of us would be 2 already in conversation, just to protect ourselves. 3 I'm assuming that's the way to go, 4 Mr. Nanjo? 5 MR. NANJO: Yes. Thank you, Chairman 6 7 Vazquez. And thank you for the inquiry, Vice Chair 8 Schaefer. 9 That -- that would be the way I would 10 recommend it. 11 12 What folks can do is if they have any input, whether it be Member Cohen or Member Gaines, 13 14 or Deputy Controller Stowers, they can send any thoughts to me, and I will compile that, and share 15 16 that with the Vice Chair and Chair. 17 I would leave it to the Chair to schedule 18 the meeting. And we can discuss any changes that are there. And then once we bring the draft or drafts to 19 20 the next meeting in October, everyone can kind of 21 verbalize their thoughts and let us know how well we 22 did capturing it, or what have you. 23 MR. VAZQUEZ: Great. So there's -- we have 24 the motion. And it's been second. Is there any other comments or discussion? 25 MR. NANJO: I'm sorry, Chairman Vazquez. 26 Who -- who seconded that one? I didn't get it for my 2.7

notes.

28

MR. VAZQUEZ: Chair Schaefer, I believe. 1 MR. NANJO: Thank you. 2 MR. VAZQUEZ: Hearing none, can we get a 3 quick roll call vote on that, Ms. Davis? 4 MS. DAVIS: Chairman Vazquez. 5 MR. VAZOUEZ: Yes. 6 MS. DAVIS: Vice Chair Schaefer. 7 MR. SCHAEFER: Yes. 8 MS. DAVIS: Member Gaines. 9 MR. GAINES: Aye. 10 MS. DAVIS: Deputy Controller Stowers. 11 12 MS. STOWERS: Aye. MR. VAZQUEZ: All right. So that's 13 unanimous of those present. 14 So we'll go ahead and get started on that. 15 16 And with that, let me have Ms. Davis call 17 our next item. 18 MS. DAVIS: The next item is L2, Board 19 Member Initiatives presented by Chairman Vazquez. 20 Chairman Vazquez will provide an update 21 on the Los Angeles County Assessor's Office Training 22 Pilot Program. 23 Go ahead, Chairman. 24 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 25 Members, this is my monthly update on the Los Angeles County Assessors Appraiser Training Pilot 2.6 2.7 Program. As you recall, Assessor Prang documented 28

his need for a trained appraisers over a year ago, showing that the demand for additional appraisers training is generally outpacing the resources currently available.

2.6

2.7

Consequently, he initiated his own two-pronged college appraisal workforce training pilot. He currently has 75 to 80 vacant appraiser positions due to the attrition, which will be magnified if split roll passes.

And it -- and he established that for each one dollar spent on a trained appraiser, 10 in revenue is generated.

Last month through a joint effort of the Assessor Prang and the Los Angeles County Supervisor, Hilda Solis, the Los Angeles Community College District Chancellor, Francisco Rodriguez, myself and several others from the Board of Supervisors adopted a motion creating an educational opportunities and career pathways with local community colleges, passed unanimously.

This motion directed the Department of Workforce Development, WDACAS, together with the assessor, the Human Resource Department, and the Chief Executive Officer, to develop and to report back in 45 days with; one, a comprehensive review of training programs and curriculums currently offered by the assessor; and, two, a feasibility analysis of establishing new pilot training programs and

curriculums at the Rio Hondo and East LA community colleges to prepare students for careers with assessors and other county departments.

The report will do three things:

- A) Propose new training programs and curriculums at Rio Hondo and East LA college, to enhance students competitiveness for appraisal job opportunities, and careers in the assessor's office. Programs should be adaptable for implementation at other colleges.
- B) Establish a timeline to implement the training programs and expand them to other colleges countywide.
- C) Identify a funding plan, including of anticipated program costs and funding sources.

And D) Produce a review of Human Resources classifications, criteria to ensure future job opportunities for persons who successfully complete the training program and are, therefore, more job ready for careers with the assessors and/or county departments.

In summary, I personally am very excited about this since the report will focus on the assessor's, appraiser's training needs both initial training and continuing, and it will address classification and compensation issues, as well as the curriculum in the community colleges.

I think we will all benefit from this

8 6

2.6

2.7

information, and I look forward to updating you again next month.

Members, we have any questions?

MR. GAINES: I do, Member Vazquez.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Yes, Mr. Gaines. Go

ahead.

2.6

2.7

MR. GAINES: Thank you. I apologize. I just had a little sandwich.

MR. VAZQUEZ: No problem.

MR. GAINES: But my -- my hope was that in the creation of this pilot, that it wouldn't just go countywide, but it would go statewide. And the leadership that Assessor Prang is displaying in LA is an opportunity, I think, for us to create the model and amend that model if necessary for different jurisdictions throughout the state.

But you're using community colleges, and we have those spread out through our four districts.

And it just seems like a great opportunity, without replicating the creation of the wheel, that we can just use that model, and -- and reach out to our communities in each of our districts to prepare for future employees at the BOE.

And if Prop. 15 passes, as we've said many times, that's going to be accelerated greatly. And so I'm glad that we're moving forward with this. And I just want to make sure that we -- we can make that transition out of LA so that we have the template

in place for each of our districts.

2.6

MS. FLEMING: Members, this is Brenda Fleming, the Executive Director.

Thank you so much for those comments. I just -- I just want to weigh in to give you a bit of insight as to what's -- what's on my -- on my plate as it relates to, you know, just expanding the workforce and the available candidate pool for -- for recruitment. It's not just for -- for the -- for the assessors' offices, but definitely for BOE.

So what we want to do is -- and absolutely will keep an eye on what's going on with the LA County assessor. I think they're doing some really good work there. My staff works with them on a regular basis.

And so we do definitely have contributions to -- to their training and curriculum, especially as it relates to the certification for our appraisers, auditor appraisers, etc.

We're also working with the California community colleges also in addition to supporting Mr. Vazquez and the work that he's doing.

Ms. Cohen is also doing something with the California community colleges, which we started some time back. And, as you know, it's a part of the Board Member Strategic Plan.

But what we're looking at is additional ways in which to extend our training and our

curriculum for the internal work that we're doing for assessors, appraisers.

2.6

2.7

But, again, also just to really expand the -- our ability to -- to develop the new talent, as was indicated in Ms. Renati's comments, where that's a part of the recruitment of retention under our strategic plan.

So this plan overall, as it relates to training, education and career development, really does have multiple tracks. And so I just wanted to weigh in.

We'll come back to you with, you know, much more specific detail. But I just wanted to weigh in to let you know that we are indeed looking at this to leverage these opportunities, learn from, you know, from what you're doing in your districts, but absolutely raising that up to the state level through community colleges so that you can do some of that in your individual districts.

But also through the California community colleges, there may be work that we can do, work online.

And so regardless of where the district is, anyone ideally can register for any of these classes.

So we definitely are going to -- it's a priority for me to really look at how do we continue to develop our workforce in giving career paths, etc.

And then also where we can shake hands with

some of these community colleges to perhaps do some additional extension of our training, our internal training resources that would be helpful as well.

2.6

And Mr. Yeung had shared with you previously, we are currently doing much of this work with the American River College and the Los Rios Community College District, and also some additional online materials through Sac State.

So it is an extension of some of what we've done historically. We clearly are modernizing and extending our reach in that area to make it relevant to what we're doing today, and really taking advantage of the new talent that's there.

So just wanted to weigh in, in support of what's going on in LA County, and what's going on with some work with Assessor Phong La and Alameda area.

So we've got a lot going on, a lot of areas. We'll be working with some of the other Members also, as it looks at looking at curriculum and some additional classification certification issues that we might also be able to take advantage of just in general in terms of the state process.

So, again, there's a lot of complexity behind it. But it's something that -- these are all workable plans.

And, again, just wanted to weigh in to let you know that we are absolutely involved in this,

absolutely I support all that you're doing. And 1 we'll do our part to help make this successful for 2 all of us. 3 So I appreciate the work. Thank you. 4 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. Thank you for that 5 insight. 6 7 Any other questions from other Members? Hearing none, Ms. Davis, do we have anybody 8 from the public who want me to comment on this item? 9 10 MS. DAVIS: AT&T, can you please check to see if there's anyone who is on the public line 11 12 who'd like to make a public comment regarding this item? 13 14 AT&T MODERATOR: Certainly. Ladies and gentlemen on the phone lines, if 15 16 you'd like to make public comment at this time, you 17 may do so by pressing 1, and then 0 on your telephone 18 keypad. And there is no one queuing up at this 19 20 time. 21 Please continue. MS. DAVIS: Thank you. 22 23 We have no public comments, no. 24 MR. VAZQUEZ: And there's nobody -- we had 25 nothing written as well, right? MS. DAVIS: That's correct. 2.6 MR. VAZQUEZ: Well, thank you. And thank 27 you, Members. I really appreciate your feedback. 28

And to -- actually to Mr. Gaines' point,
Member Gaines, that's the goal, you know, or hope.

And I know we've already had some preliminary conversations with some of the community colleges up in your district.

And we hope that this model or pilot that we're working with here in LA, we could take on the road. And maybe just do some minor changes or tweaks to it, and hopefully it could be used with very little modification in your area as well.

MR. GAINES: Absolutely.

Member Gaines.

2.6

2.7

Thank you, Chairman Vazquez.

We have had a discussion with Brian King, who's the chancellor of Los Rios Community College District. And so we're looking forward to hearing him later in the week. But I think we have a a perfect opportunity here.

And I want to thank Brenda for all of her efforts, and working with Los Rios and some of the other community colleges, along with Member Cohen.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Member Vice Chair Schaefer, did -- I think I might have cut you off. Did you want to say something?

MR. SCHAEFER: Are we getting to the end of -- the end of the meeting? I have an adjournment when we get there.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Well, yeah. Well, actually, 1 we're not gonna officially adjourn this meeting. 2 We're gonna recess it till tomorrow. 3 So if you could hold that, we'll do it 4 when we finally conclude, and officially adjourn the 5 meeting. 6 7 MR. SCHAEFER: I had a problem with that. It related to today is the 93rd birthday of one of my 8 constituents in Orange County, the great Tommy 9 Lasorda. He's 93. 10 I just wanted us to --11 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Great man. MR. SCHAEFER: -- take note of that. 13 Would you believe that he has something like 14 71 seasons with the Dodgers, both back in Brooklyn 15 16 and in LA? He has a longer tenure than Vince Scully. 17 MR. VAZQUEZ: He's a legend. 18 MR. SCHAEFER: I don't know if you've met him or not. I haven't. But I know he's got friends 19 20 everywhere. He lives in Fullerton. They've named 21 the Lasorda Fieldhouse in Yorba Linda after him. And, you know, 93, I hope you and I get 22 there. But I want to salute him. 23 24 Thank you. 25 MR. VAZQUEZ: No, I appreciate it. I actually had the opportunity to meet him several 26 2.7 times.

He was actually in our city. He was

28

9 3

actually on our beach in Santa Monica when we were 1 lobbying hard to move the Olympics to LA with the 2 mayor -- then mayor -- well, actually the current, 3 still, Eric Garcia. 4 Well, we weren't successful in moving the 5 6 Olympics. We were trying to get 2024, but now it's 7 going to be 2028. MR. SCHAEFER: He served the Dodgers for two 8 decades, and he's in the Baseball Hall of Fame. 9 And, you know, maybe he'll run for the Board 10 of Equalization next. Who knows. 11 12 MR. VAZQUEZ: Who knows. Sorry for going off the beaten path here a 13 little bit, folks. But with that, well, you know 14 what, before -- I guess we still have to open up 15 Item N, right, Ms. Davis? 16 17 MS. DAVIS: That is correct. The next item 18 is N, Public Comments. 19 Board Proceedings has received at least one 20 public comment. 21 We would also like to open it up for any public comments that are on the AT&T line as well. 22 23 But at first, we'd like to have our 24 acting Chief of Board Proceedings, Henry Nanjo, read at least the one comment that we've received in 25 2.6 writing. 27 Mr. Nanjo, are you ready?

MR. NANJO: Yes, I am.

28

Thank you very much, Ms. Davis.

2.6

We have received a public comment from a John Ringel, historic aircraft owner.

His statement is as follows:

Chairman Vazquez and Board -- BOE Board Members, owners of historical aircraft who normally display our historical aircraft throughout the calendar year have been unable to display them due to all the display days at various airports in California being cancelled starting in the month of March 2020.

This also includes venues outside of California. The reason for this communication is to secure relief for historic aircraft displays during the past and current stay-at-home order due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Section 577 220.5, page 26, aircraft of historical significance states, quote, three, the aircraft is available for display to the public at least 12 days during the 12-month period, immediately preceding the lien date -- lien date for which the exemption is claimed.

Section 577 chapter 5 page 27 states, as used in the statute, available means that if an aircraft was formally scheduled for display at a qualifying site, and the display was canceled, example, because of rain, the date would count as a day available for display -- available for display to

the public.

2.6

At least 12 days shall be defined as displayed or available for display during -- for the 12 periods, each period being four or more hours during one 24-hour periods.

During an average 12-month period, this would require one display per month to satisfy the minimum requirement for property tax relief for historical aircraft as the lowest common denominator.

We firmly believe that COVID-19 pandemic is far more serious than any weather phenomenon, which canceled these events. This should fall under the same qualification.

There is no requirement that the aircraft display site be in California. Some aircraft owners of historic aircraft display their aircraft at venues like Oshkosh in Wisconsin and Sun N Fun in Florida. Both these events were cancelled this year because of COVID-19.

As stated above, if a qualifying site is cancelled because of rain, or in this case COVID-19, the date would count as a day available for display.

We consider formally scheduled as an invitation on a recurring basis each month. While rain may be a cause for cancellation of an event, and now most recently with the fires throughout the state of California due to visibility, certainly the pandemic could be considered for the same

cancellation.

2.6

2.7

We would like some guidance on how we claim days that the aircrafts were available for display, but the venue was not.

Our recommendation would be a sign off by the aircraft owner for display days the aircraft was available with an accompanying letter stating that the aircraft's availability date of display which was canceled, along with the copies of section 577, chapter 5, page 127 stating those displays claimed that were cancelled due to COVID-19.

Speaking on behalf of those who display our historic aircraft, we would like to ask for relief for display days being cancelled due to the COVID-pandemic in order to fulfill the mandate set forth in section 577 for 12 displays in 12 months, the following are offered as options:

One, submit all display signed-off signatures you have participated in 2020 to your county of record, and call it complete for the year.

Two, for all those participating in the historic aircraft displays on record, wave the entire year and start fresh in 2021, or when it is deemed safe for display days to continue for those high -- in the high-risk category.

Three, allow owner of historic aircraft to sign off for displays they normally would have attended during the COVID-19 cancellation displays.

We have been pursuing relief on several funds fronts for this issue since April 2020.

As we approach the end of this tax year, we are asking for a fair resolution as soon as possible.

2.6

2.7

Since the owners of historical aircraft do not pay property taxes on their aircraft if they are participating in this program, the state of California and those local counties are not losing any tax dollars.

The only groups losing out are those interested in looking at our historical aircraft.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

John Ringel, historic aircraft owner.

That completes the statement.

MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you.

Ms. Davis, we don't have anybody else from the public, right? Is that correct?

MS. DAVIS: I'm going to check with the AT&T moderator.

If you can check to see if there are any public commenters on any matters on the agenda that were not announced earlier.

AT&T MODERATOR: Certainly.

Ladies and gentlemen on the phone lines, you may put yourself in the queue by pressing 1, then 0 at this time for public comment.

I do not show anyone queuing up at this

time. 1 MS. DAVIS: We don't have any other public 2 comments, Mr. Chairman. 3 MR. VAZOUEZ: Thank you. 4 Mr. Nanjo, you know, after you read this 5 statement, one of the things that hit me are, are we 6 7 able to get the BOE to respond to that taxpayer's comments? 8 MR. NANJO: Thank you, Chairman Vazquez. 9 I believe Ms. Thompson and I have discussed 10 this person's inquiry, and Ms. Thompson, Mr. Yeung, 11 12 and Legal Department will try to see what we can do, and report back to the Board at some later date. 13 MR. VAZQUEZ: I appreciate it. 14 I know, because it's a fort -- it's 15 basically public con -- we can't engage and have a 16 17 conversation as a Board. 18 But if you could do that, that would be 19 great. Then just give us an update or report. 20 MR. NANJO: Yes, we will. 21 Thank you very much, sir. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 22 23 With that, I'd like to -- we're not 24 adjourning this meeting, but we're just going to take 25 a recess from this Board Meeting and then reconvene tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. 2.6 Thank you for your patience and 27 your time, and we'll see you all tomorrow at 28

10:00 a.m. 1 (Audio cut out) talk about at MR. NANJO: 2 this meeting, but we will definitely circle back and 3 have a discussion with the aircraft owner, and then 4 we will report back at the next Board Meeting either 5 under -- depending on who takes care of it, either 6 7 the Legal Report, the Property Tax Deputy Director's Report, or in all likelihood probably it will be 8 under Ms. Thompson's Taxpayer Rights Advocate Report. 9 MR. GAINES: Okay. And is that something --10 is that something that's solvable? 11 12 MR. NANJO: We're -- we've got some ideas. We'll work on it. 13 MR. GAINES: Okay. Because there's a lot of 14 vintage aircraft throughout the state. I'm sure this 15 16 is a much bigger issue. 17 MR. NANJO: Absolutely. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Mr. Schaefer. Yes, go ahead. MR. SCHAEFER: I would hope we could find 19 20 some relief for them even when it costs a few dollars 21 to -- to the State. Because there's a lot of 22 intangible benefits in historical aircraft. And 23 I think we need to encourage the development of a 24 historical aircraft, even if it costs us a few 2.5 dollars. MR. VAZQUEZ: 2.6 Thank you. Any other comments? 27 Hearing none and seeing none, we will go 28

ahead and take a recess from this meeting, and then we'll reconvene tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. Thank you. All right. (Whereupon the meeting concluded.) ---000---

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	State of California)
4) ss
5	County of Sacramento)
6	
7	I, Jillian Sumner, Hearing Reporter for
8	the California State Board of Equalization, certify
9	that on September 22, 2020 I recorded verbatim, in
10	shorthand, to the best of my ability, the
11	proceedings in the above-entitled hearing; that I
12	transcribed the shorthand writing into typewriting;
13	and that the preceding pages 1 through 102
14	constitute a complete and accurate transcription of
15	the shorthand writing.
16	
17	Dated: October 9, 2020
18	
19	$\bigcirc \cdot M \cdot = \mathbb{Z}$
20	Jillian Sumner
21	JILLIAN SUMNER, CSR #13619
22	Hearing Reporter
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	