1	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION		
2	450 N STREET		
3	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA		
4			
5			
6	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		
7	JANUARY 28, 2020		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	ITEM K2		
13	CHIEF COUNSEL REPORT		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	REPORTED BY: Jillian M. Sumner		
28	CSR NO. 13619		

1	]	<u>PRESENT</u>
2		
3	For the Board of Equalization:	Honorable Antonio Vazquez
4		Chair
5		Honorable Mike Schaefer Vice Chair
6 7		Honorable Ted Gaines First District
8		Honorable Malia S. Cohen Second District
9		Yvette Stowers
10		Appearing for Betty T. Yee, State Controller
11		(per Government Code Section 7.9)
12		
13	For the Board of Equalization Staff:	Henry Nanjo
14	-	Chief Counsel Legal Department
15		Brenda Fleming
16		Executive Director
17		Toya Davis Clerk
18		Board Proceedings
19		000
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Г

450 N STREET 1 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 2 JANUARY 28, 2020 3 ---000---4 MS. DAVIS: Our next item is Item K2, the 5 Chief Counsel's report. Chief Counsel Mr. Nanjo will 6 7 come forward to present. MR. VAZQUEZ: Welcome. 8 MR. NANJO: Thank you. 9 Good morning again, Chair Vazquez and 10 Members of the Board. 11 12 Again, this is my quarterly update of the Legal Department status. It's a snapshot. 13 There's a powerpoint that's been 14 distributed. The first slide after the opening is 15 16 basically the Legal team composition. I'm happy to 17 report we were able to fill an AGPA or Associate 18 Government Program Analyst, which has been instrumental in getting us a little better organized 19 20 and getting a little better handle on some of our 21 paperwork and files. 22 In recruitment we are actively recruiting an 23 Attorney III for litigation purposes. That was 24 previously a Tax Counsel II that, because of some 25 challenges we had with the minimum qualifications for that class, we had to go back out and re-class as an 2.6 27 Attorney III. But we are hopefully going to be -- we 28

hopefully will be completing interviews on that in 1 the early part of February, and hope to land somebody 2 very quickly after that. 3 And then we have two Tax Counsel positions 4 that we're filling in. So, again, we're trying to 5 desperately get up to full staff as quickly as 6 7 possible. So that's the good news. The next slide kind of I discussed this 8 previously, but this is just a reminder of the 9 various areas in which your Legal Department 10 operates, and the types of needs that we handle for 11 12 the agency. The important slide is the next one on 13 And that's what we were able to do over the 14 page 4. last guarter. 15 So the good news I'm happy to report is that 16 17 with the additional staff, typically in the past 18 we've kind of ground to a halt during this last 19 quarter, because, as you know, this is when my 20 attorneys are very actively involved in the 21 state-assessed properties tax division appeals, and that takes most of my staff, if not all of them. 22 23 Because we had a couple extra people we were 24 able to continue getting out some of the Public 25 Record Act requests, the publications reviews, opinions, technical advice. So we were able to make 2.6 some progress. Always like to be able to get more. 27 We're still backlogged by probably at least a year or 28

two for some of our requests that we have in the cue. 1 But we are making progress 2 The next couple slides talks about the 3 different categories. Again, this is a repeat from 4 what I previously provided the Board. But, again, it 5 gives you an indication of what we have in the 6 7 different categories. Workload highlights on page 7. We go to 8 regulations. One of the big things that we've been 9 10 working on, as you know, because the assessors and this Board has been able to work out a resolution of 11 12 the certificated aircraft valuation and taxing, we've had to modify our rules to comport with those new 13 regulations and statutes. And that's been done. 14 We provided the emergency regs that took 15 16 affect immediately, and we're now in the process of 17 completing the permanent regulations. Because, as 18 you know, the emergency regulations are for a short 19 duration. 20 Slide eight talks about the technical advice 21 in the areas. Again, our most popular areas of inquiry are questions about various forms of legal 22 23 entities and exemptions and change-of-ownership 24 questions. Slide nine talks about the whole of 2019. 25 Ι was asked to kind of provide an overview of how much 2.6 work the Legal Department does over the course of a 27 calendar year. So this shows that we've worked on 28

5

1,077 projects. And for a team as small as mine, I 1 think that shows that my team was working very hard. 2 So we appreciate the support the Board Members give 3 us. 4 And finally on page 10 is the workload 5 pending. We still have 103 items that are in our 6 7 We're actively working on those to try to work cue. those down. And I think the additional staff will 8 help. 9 So with that, that's my snapshot for this 10 quarter. If anybody has any questions, I'm more than 11 12 happy to address them. Member Schaefer. 13 MR. SCHAEFER: Mr. Nanjo, I'd asked a 14 question earlier when Ms. Fleming was there as to the 15 difference between certificated aircraft and 16 17 uncertificated aircraft. You only speak as to 18 certificated aircraft. 19 MR. NANJO: Certificated aircraft are the 20 aircraft that the Board has -- the assessors have 21 some jurisdiction over, and that refers to an FAA 22 certification. So there are uncertificated aircrafts. 23 24 Those are usually smaller. The certificated aircraft 25 that we deal with is essentially the commercial airliners. 2.6 MR. SCHAEFER: So they don't escape 27 taxation, they just are handled differently? 28

MR. NANJO: They're handled in a different 1 manner, that's correct, sir. 2 MR. VAZOUEZ: Yes, Ms. Cohen. 3 MS. COHEN: Thank you. 4 Mr. Nanjo, where are your legal opinions 5 captured in the workload matrix? 6 7 MR. NANJO: Those are under technical advice. 8 MS. COHEN: Under technical advice. I see 9 technical subject matter advice. 10 Yeah. Okay. All right. Thank you. 11 12 MR. NANJO: Yeah. So under -- depending on which metrics you're looking on, I think there's a 13 14 category called technical advice. And, for example, on page --15 16 MR. VAZQUEZ: Looks like 8, right? 17 MS. COHEN: And page 3. 18 MR. NANJO: For example, on page 9, the technical advice comes in different forms; e-mails, 19 20 letters and memos, phone calls and special 21 projects. MS. COHEN: Are you able to provide some 22 23 indication, some metrics on the average length of 24 time it takes to respond to these legal opinions? 25 MR. NANJO: You know, I can provide you -it really depends on the complexity of the questions. 26 27 Some of the questions that we get by phone call are maybe something that we can quickly answer. 28

1 Sometimes in the same day.

Other inquiries we get are very complex. 2 They may require some research, and those may take 3 several months, candidly. 4 A lot of the more complex matters, Member 5 Cohen, are things like exemption, questions about 6 7 whether or not a legal entity qualifies for an exemption or qualifies for that kind of charitable --8 they're considered a charitable organization. 9 Because what we'll do is, we'll engage with 10 the person asking us the question, try to find out 11 12 about the organization. A lot of times we'll ask for additional documentation, and do quite a bit of 13 research on those matters. 14 MS. COHEN: So the reason why I raised the 15 16 question is that I just wanted to make sure that we 17 are managing our responses to the assessors, to the 18 assessor's offices. 19 I know your team is working diligently, but 20 I want to make sure we have a turnaround -- a quick 21 turnaround -- quick and thorough, I should say, turnaround. 22 23 MR. NANJO: Yeah. One of the things we do 24 is we do prioritize our requests. So the assessors, 25 because we were kind of their go-to source for expertise, we prioritize those above inquiries from 2.6 members of the public. 27 One of the things we do to try to make sure 28

that the poor members of the public aren't last on 1 the cue is a lot of times we'll suggest that members 2 of the public, if they partner with their assessor's 3 office and it's a joint request from the assessor and 4 the member of the public, then we give those a higher 5 priority. 6 7 MS. COHEN: How do you prioritize requests? I mean, how do you -- when you've got a handful of 8 requests, how do you triage them to determine which 9 is a higher priority over the others? 10 MR. NANJO: What we are looking for, as I 11 12 mentioned, requests from assessors are priority. If there's some time constraint involved, you know, it's 13 something that's needed to forestall litigation, you 14 know, they're either in litigation or it's a 15 16 situation where litigation is imminently going to be 17 filed if they don't get a response within a certain 18 amount of time, we'll try to prioritize those ahead 19 and try to make those deadlines. 20 Generally speaking we try to triage the most 21 important things that provide relief for either assessors or taxpayers first, and then we try to do 22 23 the other things as the case may be. 24 We do have some taxpayers that are -- it doesn't seem like it's related to a case or 25 something, if I can characterize it as such. 2.6 We have one regular person who inquires to us about 27 hypotheticals, and gives us five or six 28

hypotheticals, and says, "Well, you know, in these 1 five or six cases, what would the rules be on this?" 2 And he never gives an indication that this is 3 relating to a particular client or a matter that he 4 has, so those are lower priority, because they're not 5 time constraint. 6 7 MS. COHEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. NANJO: Sure. 8 Anything else? 9 MR. VAZQUEZ: But along those lines, what 10 would you say right now is your top workload 11 12 priorities? MR. NANJO: The top workload priorities are 13 always -- well, first of all, we try to give the most 14 priority to internal staff. So if there's Program 15 16 staff that has items that they need to do to move 17 forward a regulation, or something to that affect, 18 we'll give those the top priorities. We have quite a 19 few of those. 20 And then the next priority is those from the 21 assessors. And those, you know, come in with varying time lines. Sometimes it's a few -- they need an 22 23 answer in a few months, six months. Sometimes they 24 need the answer more quickly than that, and we try to 25 oblige those as well. MR. VAZQUEZ: And I guess the next one is 2.6 the Board Meeting Reference Manual. I know you're 27 revising that as we speak. When do you think that 28

will be ready, and are you going to be able to 1 provide maybe the old with the new so we can kind of 2 compare the differences? 3 MR. NANJO: Sure. We can do that. 4 My understanding of the Board Member 5 Reference Manuals, it's in final review. Which means 6 7 that we've -- the process is Legal and Board Proceedings has gone through it, kind of made the 8 updates we've suggested. We've sought advice and 9 collaboration from Program staff. That's been done. 10 We've implemented all the edits. 11 12 I believe, not to put pressure on our Executive Director, but I think it's in Executive 13 Office review, or it may be actually passed that and 14 being finalized as we speak. 15 So we hope to have that to the Board Members 16 17 in the next month or two. 18 MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. 19 Any other questions? 20 MR. GAINES: Just in talking to my county 21 assessors in my district, I get a lot of compliments on --22 23 MR. NANJO: Thank you. 24 MR. GAINES: -- your ability to respond and 25 get the information they need. So I appreciate what you're doing in that regard. 2.6 Also speaks to David Yeung, too. 27 I just want to let you know that I've gotten 28

a number of comments on how you're able to answer questions and satisfy needs of assessors. So keep up the good work. MR. NANJO: Thank you, sir. I'm very proud of my Legal team, but I don't want to sell short Program. Program helps us out a lot. If it's a matter that we think Program staff can answer, a lot of times we'll shift it over to Mr. Yeung's staff, and his staff helps supplement our ability to respond. So between Legal and Program, we work very closely to try to get the people asking us questions, the answer as quickly as possible. MR. GAINES: Great. Thank you. MR. VAZQUEZ: Thank you. MR. NANJO: Thank you. -----

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 State of California 3 ) ) SS 4 County of Sacramento 5 ) 6 I, Jillian Sumner, Hearing Reporter for 7 the California State Board of Equalization, certify 8 that on January 28, 2020 I recorded verbatim, in 9 10 shorthand, to the best of my ability, the proceedings in the above-entitled hearing; that I 11 12 transcribed the shorthand writing into typewriting; 13 and that the preceding pages 1 through 12 constitute a complete and accurate transcription of 14 the shorthand writing. 15 16 17 Dated: February 26, 2020 18 19 illian Sumner 20 JILLIAN SUMNER, CSR #13619 21 22 Hearing Reporter 23 24 25 26 27 28