

[Assembly Bill 1165](#) (Gloria) @ 03/20/17

[Assembly Constitutional Amendment 5](#) (Gloria)

Program: Property Taxes

Sponsor: California Assessors' Association (CAA)

California Constitution Article XIII A, Section 2 and Revenue and Taxation Code Section 69.5

Effective: If approved by voters, operative 1/1/19

Michele Pielsticker (Chief) 916.322.2376

Rose Marie Kinnee (Analyst) 916.445.6777

Chris Butler (Revenue) 916.445.0840

**Summary:** Subject to voter approval, allows base year value transfers from one home to another when a person buys or builds a new home to accommodate a disabled child's needs.

**Purpose:** To allow parents caring for a disabled child of any age to qualify for a base year value transfer.

**Fiscal Impact Summary:** Revenue loss of \$1,180 per transfer. Total revenue loss unknown.

**Existing Law:** The law requires assessors to reassess real property from its Proposition 13 protected value (called the "base year value") to its current market value whenever a change in ownership occurs.<sup>1</sup> Subject to many conditions, the law allows disabled homeowners to sell their home, buy or build a new one, and "transfer" their base year value to the new home.<sup>2</sup> To qualify, the move must be necessary to meet disability requirements, and the new home must be of equal or lesser value and located in the same county.<sup>3</sup> The base year value transfer allows property taxes to remain essentially the same after the move.<sup>4</sup>

Existing law does not allow a homeowner to qualify for a base year value transfer when the homeowner moves to a new home to accommodate a child's disability-related requirements.<sup>5</sup> The homeowners' qualify for this tax benefit only if the child is put on title to the property.

**Proposed Law:** If voter-approved, this constitutional amendment authorizes the Legislature to extend base year value transfers to homeowners with a severely disabled child. AB 1165 provides the necessary implementing provisions.

AB 1165 becomes operative only if voters approve ACA 5, and, in that event becomes operative on January 1, 2019. (Sec. 4)

**In General: Property Tax System.** In 1978, voters approved [Proposition 13](#). Under this system, property is reassessed to its current market value only after a change in ownership or new construction. Generally, the property's sales price sets the property's assessed value, and annual increases thereafter are limited to the rate of inflation up to 2%.

**Base Year Values.** At the time of the ownership change, the value for property tax purposes is redetermined based on current market value. This established value is described as the "base year value." Thereafter, the base year value is subject to annual increases for inflation limited to 2% per year. This value is described as the "factored base year value." The Proposition 13 system can result in substantial property tax savings for long-term property owners.

---

<sup>1</sup> California Constitution Article XIII A, [Sec. 2](#).

<sup>2</sup> California Constitution Article XIII A, Sec. 2 (a), Revenue and Taxation Code (RTC) [Section 69.5](#).

<sup>3</sup> In addition, [eleven counties](#) offer this property tax benefit to new county residents. Each county has the discretion to accept intercounty transfers. Counties with active enabling ordinances include: Alameda, El Dorado, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Tuolumne and Ventura.

<sup>4</sup> The property tax payment will not be exactly the same because the precise tax rate and direct levies (special assessments, parcel taxes, etc.) typically vary by location.

<sup>5</sup> While adding the child to the title of both homes is a possible workaround, it may be infeasible and cost prohibitive.

This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the BOE's formal position.

**Base Year Value Transfers.** Voters have approved three constitutional amendments permitting persons to transfer their Proposition 13 base year value from one home to another that is of equal or lesser value. The base year value transfer avoids reassessment of the newly purchased home to its fair market value.

- **Intracounty.** In 1986, [Proposition 60](#)<sup>6</sup> amended the constitution to allow persons over the age of 55 to sell a principal residence and transfer its base year value to a replacement principal residence within the same county.
- **Intercounty.** In 1988, [Proposition 90](#)<sup>7</sup> amended the constitution to extend these provisions to a replacement residence located in another county on a county-optional basis. Currently, eleven counties accept transfers from homes located in another county.
- **Disabled Persons.** In 1990, [Proposition 110](#)<sup>8</sup> amended the constitution to extend these provisions to any severely and permanently disabled person regardless of age.

The constitution provides, in pertinent part:

[T]he Legislature may provide that **under appropriate circumstances and pursuant to definitions and procedures established by the Legislature, any person** over the age of 55 years **who resides in property that is eligible for the homeowner's exemption** under subdivision (k) of Section 3 of Article XIII and any implementing legislation may transfer the base year value of the property entitled to exemption, with the adjustments authorized by subdivision (b), to any replacement dwelling of equal or lesser value located within the same county and **purchased or newly constructed by that person as his or her principal residence** within two years of the sale of the original property.

The Legislature may extend the provisions of this subdivision relating to the transfer of base year values from original properties to replacement dwellings of homeowners over the age of 55 years **to severely disabled homeowners.**

RTC Section 69.5 implements all three propositions.

The BOE's Assessors' Handbook [Section 401 Change in Ownership](#) Chapter 14 at page 106 provides more details, and the BOE's website includes FAQ's for both [Proposition 60/90](#) and [Proposition 110](#).

**In General:** As described below, under certain circumstances, a child may be added to a property's title and qualify for a base year value transfer under existing law.

**Minor Child.** The BOE has previously opined in Property Tax Annotation [200.0076](#) that a minor may obtain the benefit of a base year value transfer indirectly if a guardianship or trust is created for the minor and the minor is placed on title to both homes. The annotation is reflected in Letters to Assessors [2006/010](#), Question A6:

**A6:** A couple's minor child recently became permanently disabled. As a consequence, the couple must sell their current two-story residence and purchase a single-story residence. Because of the child's disability, can the couple purchase a property and file a claim to transfer the base year value from their original property to the purchased property?

Answer: Section 69.5(a) provides that any severely or permanently disabled person may transfer the base year value to any replacement dwelling. Subdivision (b)(3) provides that at the time of the sale of the original property, the claimant (or the claimant's spouse who resides with the claimant) must be severely and permanently disabled. Thus, the disabled child must be the claimant and must be on title in order to transfer the base year value.

<sup>6</sup> [Proposition 60](#), approved November 4, 1986.

<sup>7</sup> [Proposition 90](#), approved November 8, 1988.

<sup>8</sup> [Proposition 110](#), approved June 5, 1990.

This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the BOE's formal position.

A minor may own real property or an interest therein, because the law presumes his acceptance of a beneficial grant (*Estate of Yano* (1922) 188 Cal. 645, 649), but may not convey or make contracts relating to real property, as any such contracts are void.<sup>9</sup> Through proceedings in the Superior Court, a guardian may be appointed for the person, estate, or person and estate of a minor, and real property owned by a minor can be dealt with through guardianship proceedings. Therefore, a minor may obtain the benefit of section 69.5 indirectly through a guardianship or trust. In order to do so, the minor, through his/her trust or estate, must be a beneficial owner (on record title) of both the original property and the replacement property. The act of adding the minor child on title to the original property can be excluded from change in ownership under the parent-child exclusion (assuming a timely claim is filed and the requirements of that exclusion are met).

**Adult Child.** In the case of an adult child, the child could be added to the title of both homes to qualify for the transfer.<sup>10</sup> However, issues unrelated to property tax may make adding a disabled adult child to the home's title infeasible.

**Transfers Granted.** Currently, 5.1 million property owners claim the homeowners' property tax exemption (HOX) for owner-occupied homes. HOX eligibility is a requirement to qualify for a base year value transfer.

As of January 2017, the number of homeowners<sup>11</sup> who have received the benefit of base year value transfers are broken down by transfer type as follows:

- Proposition 60 (Intracounty): 160,886
- Proposition 90 (Intercounty): 66,218
  - Age-Based Transfers: 227,104
- Proposition 110:
  - Disability-Based Transfers 2,018

**Background:** In 2015-16, [ACA 6](#) (Brown and Salas) proposed a similar base year value transfer change, but included additional provisions. ACA 6 was held in the Assembly Appropriations Committee.

### Commentary:

1. **Effect of the bill.** This constitutional amendment will allow parents caring for their disabled children to qualify for a base year value transfer if they need to move to a home that better accommodates their child's needs. The child could be either an adult or a minor. This bill arises from a situation in San Diego County where parents of a disabled veteran returning home from military service unsuccessfully sought base year value transfers upon moving to a home that will accommodate their child. These parents cannot qualify unless they take the requisite steps to add the child to title.
2. **A direct approach that is administrable.** This bill would eliminate the need for parents to include a disabled child on both homes' titles to qualify for a base year value transfer. The CAA notes that adding the disabled child to the homes' titles is a lengthy, complicated, and costly legal process, of which parents are often unaware, and which may not be feasible. County assessors assert that the law should be modified to allow parents of disabled children to qualify for the base year value transfer due to the adult or minor child's disability. County assessors state that allowing transfers under these limited circumstances would not be complicated to administer.

<sup>9</sup> Family Code [Section 6701](#).

<sup>10</sup> If the child is added on as joint tenant, no reassessment would occur. Additionally, if the child is added as a joint tenant, the parent-child change in ownership exclusion claim could be filed to avoid reassessment.

<sup>11</sup> This number represents those persons listed in a BOE database that have used their one-time benefit and can include the name of both spouses for a single property.

This staff analysis is provided to address various administrative, cost, revenue and policy issues; it is not to be construed to reflect or suggest the BOE's formal position.

3. **The constitutional amendment and the companion measure do not expressly require that the disabled child reside in the home.** The amendment's language only requires that the homeowner reside in the home and have a disabled child. If the child's residency is not a requirement, a homeowner could move closer to a child who lives independently or in a care facility, or buy or build a home that accommodates the disabled child's needs when visiting the parents.
4. **The constitutional amendment and the companion measure do not expressly require that the disabled child be the homeowner's child.** The amendment's language refers to "homeowners with a severely disabled child." In the case of a *minor* child, the language could be interpreted to mean that persons other than a disabled child's parents could also qualify. For example, any person who cares for the minor child, such as a relative or friend, may qualify for the base year value transfer.
5. **Suggested Amendments.** To address the previous comments, the following amendments to ACA 5 are suggested. These amendments previously were made to ACA 6, as amended April 7, 2016:

Homeowners ~~with~~ who are the parents or legal guardians of a severely disabled child and reside with that child, but only with respect to those replacement dwellings purchased or newly constructed on or after the effective date of this subparagraph.

Similar conforming amendments to RTC Section 69.5 in AB 1165 would also be necessary.

**Costs:** If approved, the BOE would have absorbable costs to update its publications and website.

**Revenue Impact: Background, Methodology, and Assumptions.** Predicting the number of additional transfers resulting from this constitutional amendment is difficult. Based on information from county assessors, BOE staff estimates the number of transfers would be small. Consequently, staff estimated the impact of a single transfer based on available data. According to the California Association of Realtors, the median California home price in January 2017 was \$490,000. The Fiscal Year 2016-17 average assessed value of a property receiving the homeowners' exemption was \$372,000. Therefore, for each additional claim granted, the estimated assessed value difference is about \$118,000 (\$490,000 - \$372,000), or \$1,180 per transfer at the basic 1% property tax rate.

**Revenue Summary.** If approved, this constitutional amendment would reduce property tax revenues at the basic 1% tax rate by \$1,180 per claim granted.

**Qualifying remarks.** Generally, eligibility for the described property tax relief requires a sale of the original property; i.e. a change in ownership subjecting the original property to reappraisal at its current fair market value. This revenue estimate does not account for the change in the assessed value to the original property.

This revenue estimate does not account for any changes in economic activity that may or may not result from enactment of the proposed law.